Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization

Serial No. N2544 NAFO SCR Doc. 95/35

SCIENTIFIC COUNCIL MEETING - JUNE 1995

JOINT ICES/NAFO WORKING GROUP ON HARP AND HOODED SEALS, 5-9 JUNE 1995
(SEA-59)

Recoveries in Greenland, 1949-1994, of Tagged or Branded Harp and Hooded Seals

by

Finn O. Kapel

Greenland Institute of Natural Resources
Tagensvej 135, DK 2200 Kobenhavn N

ABSTRACT

More than 92,000 harp seal pups have been tagged or branded at the whelping patches by Canadian, Norwegian or Russian researchers since 1949. Most of these were tagged in the Newfoundland region, either in the Gulf of St. Lawrence or at the "Front" off Newfoundland/Labrador (total about 56,000 - with the most intense tagging effort in the years 1978-1993: 34,700). About 17,400 harp seals have been tagged around Jan Mayen, where the major effort was put into experiments in 1983-1991 (total 15,800). In the White Sea 19,100 harp seals have been tagged, most of them in the years 1989-1992 and 1994 (16,300). A significant number of tagged harp seals have been recovered in the tagging area in the same or a following year, or in other areas. In Greenland, 1025 harp seals tagged at Newfoundland have been reported, 44 from Jan Mayen, and 3 from the White Sea. The distribution of these recoveries is reviewed in this paper.

About 9,500 hooded seals have been tagged or branded by Canada, Norway and Russia since 1951: 4,800 in the Gulf and Front areas of Newfoundland, 1,500 at the Davis Strait whelping patch, 101 at the Denmark Strait moulting field, and 3,200 in the Jan Mayen whelping area. A number of these seals have been recaptured in the tagging areas the same or a following year, or in other regions. In Greenland, 62 tagged or branded hooded seals have been reported to date: 50 from the Newfoundland areas (almost equal numbers from Gulf and Front), 8 from the Davis Strait, 3 from the Denmark Strait, and one from Jan Mayen. By far most of the recaptures of hooded seals were reported from Ammassalik district, Southeast Greenland (n = 35, 56 %), followed by South Greenland (n = 14, 24 %). The remaining 20 % of the recoveries were spread along the coast of West Greenland.

The Greenland recoveries contribute to the knowledge on the general distribution of harp and hooded seals and the routes and timing of the annual migrations, but cannot be used for assessment of stock size (mark-recapture analyses) because reporting efficiency is variable or unknown.

INTRODUCTION

Tagging of harp and hooded seals at the whelping patches in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, off Newfoundland-Labrador (the "Front"), and in the Greenland Sea around Jan Mayen was initiated by Canadian and Norwegian researchers around 1950 (Rasmussen and Øritsland 1964, Sergeant 1965), about ten years later also by Russian researchers, mainly at the harp seal whelping patches in the White Sea (Popov 1970). In 1971 Norway tagged some hooded seals at the moulting patches in the Denmark Strait, and in 1984 a Canadian expedition tagged about 1500 hooded seal pups at the Davis Strait whelping patch.

Most of the tagging was carried out on newborn pups, but a few adult seals were also tagged at the whelping patches, and the Denmark Strait tagging in 1971 was directed at subadult hooded seals.

The purpose of the tagging experiments was primarily to obtain evidence on the movements and migrations of harp and hooded seals, and on possible exchange of animals between the various whelping stocks. Further, some of the large scale tagging experiments in the early 1960s, the late 1970s and early 1980s in the Newfoundland area, and the years 1977–1991 in the Jan Mayen area were intended to and have been used for estimation of pup production by mark–recapture analyses (Bowen and Sergeant 1983, Sergeant 1975, 1991, Øien and Øritsland 1991, 1992). In addition, teeth from recaptured harp seals have provided a material for evaluation of the method of age determination used in this species (Bowen, Sergeant and Øritsland 1983).

Reviews of recaptures of tagged harp and hooded seals were included in the published papers on the early tagging experiments (Rasmussen and Øritsland 1964, Sergeant 1965, Popov 1970), and to some extent in more recent literature (Bowen and Sergeant 1983, Sergeant 1975, 1991). Additional information can be found in a number of unpublished meeting documents (e.g. Sergeant 1971, Sergeant and Hoek 1974). Recoveries from Greenland, in particular, were previously summarized in papers presented at NAFO or ICES meetings (Kapel 1982, 1989, 1993, 1994, Larsen and Kapel 1979, Larsen 1985). The purpose of the present paper is to give a complete survey of all recoveries in Greenland of tagged harp and hooded seals, and examine whether any change in the pattern of recapture reporting has occurred.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Marking of harp seals

More than 92,000 harp seal pups have been marked at the whelping patches. Most of these were tagged or branded in the Newfoundland region (total about 56,000), either in the Gulf of St. Lawrence or at the "Front" off Newfoundland/Labrador (29,000 and 26,700, respectively). The most intense tagging effort was done in the years 1964–1970, 1977–1980 and 1983 (Table 1). The Canadian tagging was primarily carried out by personal from the Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Arctic Biological Station and Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Center, and until 1976 the Norwegian Institute of Marine Research participated in the tagging at the Front. In the Greenland Sea, around Jan Mayen, about 17,400 harp seal pups have been tagged by the Institute of Marine Research, the majority during experiments in 1983–1984, 1987, and 1989–1991 (Table 2). In the White Sea, a total of about 19,100 harp seals have been tagged by the Northern Branch of the Institute for Polar Fisheries and Oceanography (SevPINRO, Archangelsk) in cooperation with the Norwegian Institute for Marin Research. In this area, the most intensive tagging was carried out in the years 1989–1992 and 1994 (Table 2).

Marking of hooded seals

The number of hooded seals that has been marked is significant lower than for harp seals, altogether about 9,500. Hereof 4,788 were tagged or branded in the Gulf and Front areas,

1,465 at the Davis Strait whelping patch, 101 at the Denmark Strait moulting field, and 3,172 in the Jan Mayen whelping area (Table 3).

Marking techniques

In the early tagging experiments a number of different tag types were used, applied either in the seals' tail or hind flipper (Rasmussen and Øritsland 1964, Sergeant 1965, Popov 1970), later on various branding techniques were employed (Homestead, Beck and Sergeant 1972, Sergeant and Hoek 1974) but since the early 1970s most harp and hooded seals were tagged with Dalton rototags in one or both hind flippers. Different colours have been used for the Canadian tagging experiments, but all rototags applied by Norwegian and Russian researchers were yellow. A serial number was printed on one part of the tag, whereas the other part wore the mailing address of the tagging institution (with few unfortunate exceptions).

Recovery reporting

A number of recaptures were done in the tagging areas in the same season or a following year. These recoveries are not treated further here, and recoveries outside the tagging areas in other regions than Greenland are only mentioned in present paper to the extent that they put the Greenland recaptures into perspective.

When a Greenland hunter catch a marked seal, he is supposed to deliver the tag, or the part of skin with a brand mark, to the local trade department, or the municipality authorities, with information about date, place etc. of the recapture. This agency will lay out the reward to the hunter (at present DKR 75), and ship the tag (mark) with the available information to the Greenland Fisheries Research Institute (GFRI, now Greenland Institute for Natural Resources). GFRI checks the tag, sends a letter of thanks with tagging information to the hunter (and a copy to the relevant agency in Greenland), and forwards the recapture data to the tagging institute. A file of all tagging and recovery information is kept at the institute. Sometimes the tag is accompagnied with the lower jaw of the seal, which offers an opportunity of checking our skill in the method of age determination from cross-sections of the canine teeth. A sample of about 250 of such teeth of "known age" is now available at GFRI, and in a number of instances the dublicate tooth was sent to the tagging institute.

The reporting efficiency in Greenland is not known with great precision. Apparently, the hunters most often deliver the tag shortly after the recapture, but in several cases a tag recovery was only reported several months, or years, after recapture, and it is quite possible that a number of tags were kept by the hunter, or dropped, and never reported. We have the impresssion that reporting is more efficient from settlements, where field work has recently occurred, and good personal contacts have been established. A dedicated effort to trace non-reported recaptures locally has not been made, but through prompt feed-back to reporting hunters and occasional general information on the tagging-recovery scheme it was hoped that increased awareness and cooperation was achieved.

RESULTS

Harp seals tagged at Newfoundland

The total number of tags (and brands) of Newfoundland origin reported from Greenland (1025) constitute about 2 % of the number of marked animals (55.912). It might be more appropriate to relate the Greenland recoveries to the "surviving tags", i.e. subtracting the number of tagged animals recaptured during the initial whelping and moulting period before calculating recovery rates for Greenland, but the relevant information was not available for all tagging experiments in time for preparing this manuscript. It is estimated that the overall rate resulting from such an exercise would be of the order 2½–3 %.

There is, however, significant differences between recovery rates of the various experiments, and an apparent change from the earliest to the latest experiments: During the years 1949–1954 a total of 1548 harp seals were tagged at Newfoundland, of which 97 (6.3 %) were recovered later (Rasmussen and Øritsland 1964, Sergeant 1965, Bowen and Sergeant 1983). 55 of the recaptures (3.6 %) were done in the icefields immediately after tagging, 15 (1.0 %) in Greenland during the following summer, and 2 (0.1 %) at Labrador–Newfoundland during the first fall migration. During the following four years more recoveries were reported from the whelping/moulting layers (13), Greenland (8), Arctic Canada (2) and the fall migration (2). Most of the recoveries for this period thus came from the ice fields (total 4.4 %), followed by Greenland (1.5 %).

Similar detailed information is not available for all the later periods, but estimates of the recovery rates in Greenland can be given: For the period 1963–1970 the Greenland recoveries constituted less than one percent (0.84) of the surviving tags, of which the majority (0.65 %) was reported during the first year of life. For this period, as for the previous period, there are few reports af recapture of tagged seals after the second year of life (less than 0.01 %).

This pattern changes during the following years: About 2.3 % of the harp seals tagged 1971–1977 was reported from Greenland, of which 0.95 % during the first year of life, 0.39 during the second, 0.45 from 2–4 year old seals, and 0.47 from seal older than 5 years (up to 15 years, Table). From the large scale tagging in 1978 to 1980, 544 tags have been returned from Greenland, corresponding to 2.67 % of the surviving tags, distributed with 0.63, 0.59, 0,59 and 0.87 % at the above–mentioned age groups, respectively. For the last large scale tagging experiment in 1983, 257 tags have up till now been sent in from Greenland (total 2.16 % of surviving tags with 0.48, 0.34, 0.70 and 0.64 % from age group 0, 1, 2–4 and 5+, respectively).

The regional and seasonal distribution of tags or brands reported from Greenland is illustrated by Table 4 and Figs 1–2. As they all derive from seals taken in deliberate hunting, you would expect them to reflect the pattern of the hunting activity.

19 (1.9 %) of the tags of Newfoundland origin were reported from North Greenland (Thule district), 378 (37.0 %) from North West Greenland (Upernavik and Uummannaq districts), 394 (38.6 %) from Central West Greenland (the Disko Bugt region), 134 (13.1 %) from Southwest Greenland, 68 (6.7 %) from South Greenland (Narsaq, Qaqortoq and Nanortalik districts), 27 (2.6 %) from Southeast Greenland (Ammassalik district), and 1 tag (0.1 %) from Northeast Greenland (Scoresbysund). This regional, as well as the seasonal, distribution is in general agreement with the known distribution of catches af harp seals in Greenland

(Rosendahl 1961, Kapel 1975).

There is, however, some interesting features when the distribution pattern is looked upon in greater details (Annex Tables): From the first tagging programs (1949–1969), most of the Greenland recoveries came from the Disko Bugt region (42 %), particularly the inner part of the bay (35 %). During the following period. 1970–1977, the same region again accounted for about 42 % of all recoveries, but now only 19 % came from the inner part of the bay, whereas 23 % was reported from the southwestern area, the entrance to the Disko Bugt. This area continued to contribute with a high percentage of the recoveries from the large scale experiments in 1978, 1979, 1980 and 1983 (35, 22, 33 and 19 %, respectively), whereas rather few tags were received from the inner part of the bay (9, 12, 15 and 9 %, respectively).

During the 1949–1969 tagging period the number of returns from the regions north and south of the Disko Bugt was almost equal (31 and 27 %, respectively), and there was no recovery from East Greenland. During the following period (1970–77), however, 50 % of the reports were from the northern regions (Uummannaq, Upernavik and Thule districts), and less than 7 % from Southwest and South Greenland – and 1 % from Ammassalik, Southeast Greenland. The northern regions continued to contribute with many tags from the 1978, 1979, 1980 and 1983 year classes (34, 47, 31 and 43 %, respectively), and increased numbers came from the southern regions (20, 13, 17 and 28 %) or from Ammassalik district (3, 5, 4 and 1 %). Only one harp seal tag of Newfoundland origin has been reported from Scoresbysund, Northeast Greenland (tagged in the Gulf 1980, recovered 3½ years later).

The seasonal distribution of tag returns have varied over the years within the different regions, but apparently without any obvious trend. A general observation is that quite a few tags are reported from the winter and spring months, not only from immature animals but also from adults.

A change in the age composition has occurred: As already mentioned, rather few recaptures of seals beyond two years of age were done prior to 1978 (26.6 %). For the following four tagging experinents, about half of the tags were recovered from immature 2–4 years old (21, 19, 26 and 32 %, respectively) or adult seals (> 5 years, 34, 28, 36 and 30 %).

Harp seals tagged at Jan Mayen

Of the 44 harp seal tags of Jan Mayen origin reported from Greenland since 1977, 28 (64 %) were returned from Ammassalik, Southeast Greenland (Table 5). Most of them were caught between July and November, and the majority (26) were young or immature animals (less than 4 years old). This is in contrast to the harp seals tagged at Newfoundland caught in the same district and same months, of which 44 % were more than 5 years old.

Two young of the year and a ten year old harp recovered in Scoresbysund district, Northeast Greenland were also caught between summer and late fall, but a eight year old animal was taken in mid–March, possibly heading for the near–by whelping patch.

12 harp seals tagged at Jan Mayen have been reported from West Greenland, seven from South Greenland and five further north as far as in the Disko Bugt (appr. 70° N). Most of them were immature animals caught in late fall or early winter, but a five year old animal was taken i Southwest Greenland i May.

Harp seals tagged in the White Sea

Three harp seals tagged in this whelping region in 1992 were recaptured in Greenland the same year, two in Ammasalik district and one in the southernmost district of West Grenland (Nanortalik).

Hooded seals

Of the 58 hooded seals tagged in the Northwest Atlantic (Gulf, Front, Davis Strait), 33 (57 %) were recaptured in Ammassalik district, Southeast Greenland (Fig 3). The main hunting season in this area is July–August, and there are few recoveries before or after this season. Most recaptures (21) were one or two years old animals, but three young of the year bluebacks and three adult (5+) hooded seals were also reported. From this district is also reported one recapture of a hooded seal tagged in the Denmark Strait (17 years after tagging !) and the one and only Jan Mayen recovery, in May at the age of seven years.

From South and Southwest Greenland 18 hooded seals originating from the Northwest Atlantic whelping patches and 2 from the Denmark Strait moulting area have been reported. Most were caught between late March and early June (15) or late July–August (3). Four were few months old bluebacks, five were one–year old, and the remainder aged up to 10 years.

7 hooded seals have been reported from Central or North West Greenland. One was a blueback tagged in the Davis Strait and recaptured in late May just south of the Disko Bugt. Two other bluebacks and a one–year old were taken farther north in Nortwest Greenland in October–November. Three older animals (4–6 years) were reported from May, June and August, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The distribution of recoveries of harp and hooded seals in Greenland is in general accordance with the known distribution of catches, but the relative contribution of recoveries from districts or regions varies and is not always reflecting the relative importance of catches in the area in question. This may in part be due to different attitudes to the importance of reporting a recapture; it is likely that reporting is more efficient in the hunting districts than in the fishing district, partly because the local population through contact with researchers on field work is more aware of the studies of seals being carried out.

The observed change of geographical distribution of recoveries from the early experiments to the more recent ones is probably both influenced by the above–mentioned factors and a real change in hunting effort. The growing importance of shrimp fishery in the Disko Bugt area may thus have lead both to a real decrease in seal hunting effort and less interest in reporting.

The early results from tag recoveries gave the impression, that the Greenland hunting was mostly directed towards young animals. Results from the large tagging experiments between 1978 and 1983 have, however resulted in recoveries of many tags from older harp seals, which seems to indicate that quite a few adult harps spend the summer and autumn in Greenland waters. In fact, some of them (and thus not only young or immature animals) seem to stay in open water areas along the coast of Greenland until late in winter or early spring. Whether this is a new feature, or just a new piece of information obtained by the intensive tagging

programs, is nor easy to determine, both because the tagging effort has varied over the years and because the reporting effort as mentioned is likely to vary as well.

For hooded seals the most striking result is that almost all recoveries have come from marking in the Northwest Atlantic (including the Denmark Strait). Only one tag from the Jan Mayen whelping patches has been reported from Southeast Greenland recently, which means that the recovery rate in Greenland is much lower for that region. Recaptures from other areas (with less hunting effort than Southeast Greenland) indicate that hooded seals from the Greenland Sea primarily disperse in the eastern part of the North Atlantic (Øien and Øritsland 1987, 1991, 1992).

The recaptures of harp and hooded seals in Greenland thus contribute to the knowledge of migration and dispersal of these species, and new details in this pattern may still be found. It is, however, unlikely that the information can be uses in any quantitative way, e.g. for mark-recapture assessments, for a number of reasons including that the reporting efficiency is unknown, and hardly can be calculated.

REFERENCES:

Bowen, W.D. and Sergeant, D.E. 1983. Mark-recapture estimates of harp seal pup (*Phoca groenlandica*) production in the Northwest Atlantic. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 40: 728-742.

Bowen, W.D., Sergeant, D.E. and Øritsland, T. 1983. Validation of age determination in the harp seal, *Phoca groenlandica*, using dental annuli. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 40: 1430-1441.

Homestead, R., Beck, B. and Sergeant, D.E. 1972. A portable instantaneous branding device for permanent identification of wildlife. Proc. 8th Ann. Conf. Biol. Sonar and Diving Mammals, 1971. J. Wildl. Mgnt. 36: 947-949.

Kapel, F.O. 1975. Recent research on seal and seal hunting in Greenland. Rapp. P.-v. Réun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer 169: 462-478.

Kapel, F.O. 1982. Studies of the hooded seal, *Cystophora cristata*, in Greenland 1970-80. NAFO Sci. Counc. Studies, 3: 67-75.

Kapel, F.O. [MS] 1989. Summaries of harp and hooded seal recaptures in east and West Greenland. Working Paper SEA-15 to ICES Working Group on Harp and Hooded Seals, Oct. 1989 (8 pp).

Kapel, F.O. [MS] 1993. A note on recaptures of tagged and branded hooded seals in Greenland, 1956-1993. Working Paper SEA-41 (revised) to the Joint ICES/NAFO Working Group on Harp and Hooded Seals, Sept. 1993 (4 pp).

Kapel, F.O. [MS] 1994. A review of recoveries in Greenland of tagged or branded harp and hooded seals. ICES C.M. 1994/N:5 (Poster).

Larsen, F. and Kapel, F.O. [MS] 1979. Seasonal and regional distribution of tagged harp seals recaptured in Greenland. NAFO SCR Doc. 79/XI/13, Ser. No. N024 (6 pp).

Larsen, F. [MS] 1985. Report on harp seal recoveries in Greenland, 1981-84. NAFO SCR Doc. 85/I/13, Serial No. N947 (4 pp).

Popov, L.A. 1970. Soviet tagging of harp and hooded seals in the North Atlantic. Fisk.Dir. Skr. HavUnders. 16: 1–9.

Rasmussen, B. and Øritsland, T. 1964. Norwegian tagging of harp seals and hooded seals in north Atlantic waters. Fisk.Dir. Skr. 13(7): 43–55.

Rosendahl, Ph. 1961. Grønlandsk jagt– og fangststatistik. Geogr. Tidsskr. 60: 16–38.

Sergeant, D.E. 1965. Migrations of harp seals *Pagophilus groenlandicus* (Erxleben) in the Northwest Atlantic. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 22(2): 433–464.

Sergeant, D.E. 1971. Calculation of production of harp seals in the western North Atlantic. ICNAF Redbook 1971, III: 157–184.

Sergeant, D.E. 1973. Transatlantic migration of a harp seal, *Pagophilus groenlandicus*. J. Fish. Res. Board. Can. 30: 124–125.

Sergeant, D.E. 1975. Estimating numbers of harp seals. Rapp. P.–v. Réun. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer 160: 274–280.

Sergeant, D.E. [MS] 1978. Results of tagging and branding of hooded seals, 1972–78. ICNAF Res. Doc. 78/XI/86, Serial No. 5303 (4 pp).

Sergeant, D.E. 1991. Harp seals, man and ice. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 114: 153 pp.

Sergeant, D.E. and Hoek, W. [MS] 1974. Harp and hooded seals tagged and branded by Canada 1971–1974. ICNAF Res.Doc. 74/117, Serial No. 3365 (2 pp).

Øien, N. and Øritsland, T. [MS] 1987. Markings and recaptures of harp and hooded seals in the West Ice. Working Paper SGS–11 to the ICES Working Group on Harp and Hooded Seals in the Greenland Sea, Copenhagen, Oct. 1987 (7 pp).

Øien, N. and Øritsland, T. [MS] 1991. Recaptures of harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) tagged as pups in the Greenland Sea, pup production and dispersion patterns. Working Paper SEA–33 to the Joint ICES/NAFO Working Group on Harp and Hooded Seals, Copenhagen, Oct. 1991 (21 pp).

Øien, N. and Øritsland T. [MS] 1992. Using mark–recapture methods to estimate pup production of harp seals (*Phoca groenlandica*) in the Greenland Sea. ICES C.M. 1992/N:10 (10 pp).

Table 1. SUMMARY OF RECOVERIES IN GREENLAND OF HARP SEALS TAGGED OR BRANDED AT NEWFOUNDLAND (GULF AND FRONT)

Year	Area	Nat.	Pups tagged	Surviving tags	Recaptures in Greenland Total	Yr 0	1	2-4	>5
1949	FR	NOR	95		1	1	-	-	-
1950	GU	CAN	125		3	3	-	-	-
	FR	CAN	59		-	-	-	-	
1951	FR	CAN	178		-	-	-	-	-
	FR	NOR	29	23	-	-	-	-	-
1952	GU	CAN	203		-	-	-	-	-
	FR	CAN	371		9	4	4	1	-
	FR	NOR	68	62	-	-	-	-	-
1953	FR	CAN	304		9	7	2	-	-
1954	GU	CAN	116		1	-	1	-	-
1963	FR	SOV	79	77	2	2	-	-	-
1964	GU	CAN	2.971	1.313	-	-	-	-	-
1966	GU	CAN	1.350	1.345	31	31	-	-	-
	FR	CAN	3.581	1.919	4	4	-	-	-
1968	GU	CAN	2.219	1.164	12	8	4	-	-
1969	GU	CAN	1.556		12	3	7	2	-
	FR	NOR	12		1	1	-	-	-
1970	GU	CAN	1.966	420	1	1	-	-	-
	FR	NOR	47		3	1	-	1	1
1971	GU	CAN	60	br	-	-	-	-	-
	FR	NOR	68		5	-	2	1	2
1972	GU	CAN	550	br	9	5	2	2	-
	FR	NOR	61		4	1	-	3	-
1973	GU	CAN	70	br	3	2	-	-	1
	FR	CAN	934		9	6	2	-	1
1974	GU	CAN	391	br	4	2	-	1	1
1975	GU	CAN	918	+br	22	9	2	5	5
	FR	NOR	38		-	-	-	-	-
1976	GU	CAN	363		12	4	2	3	3
	FR	CAN	99		3	2	1	-	-
	FR	NOR	301		16	6	4	-	6
1977	GU	CAN	1.297		30	12	5	8	5
1978	GU	CAN	4.378	4.170	81	11	22	17	31
	FR	CAN	5.000	4.984	176	45	38	38	55
1979	GU	CAN	2.680	2.574	71	22	16	14	19
	FR	CAN	2.884	2.365	78	27	14	14	23
1980	GU	CAN	3.632	3.601	72	11	15	15	31
	FR	CAN	3.615	2.645	66	12	15	21	17
1981	GU	CAN	69		-	-	-	-	-
	FR	CAN	346		4	1	-	-	3
1982	GU?	CAN	103		5	3	1	1	-
1983	GU	CAN	3.862	3.679	86	21	10	28	27
	FR	CAN	8.401	8.217	171	36	31	55	49
1984	FR	CAN	148		2	2	-	-	-
1989	?	CAN	?		1	1	-	-	-
1990	GU	CAN	112		1	1	-	-	-
??	?	CAN	?		5	.	.	.	.
SUM	**GU**		**29.194+**		**456**	**149**	**87**	**96**	**123**
	FR		**26.718+**		**563**	**158**	**113**	**134**	**157**
	?				**6**	**1**	**.**	**.**	**.**
TOTAL			**55.912+**		**1025**	**308**	**200**	**230**	**280**

Table 2. SUMMARY OF RECOVERIES IN GREENLAND OF HARP SEALS

a. TAGGED AT JAN MAYEN (THE GREENLAND SEA)

Year	Pups tagged	Surviving tags	Recaptures in Greenland Total	Yr 0	1	2-4	>5
1951	50		-	-	-	-	-
1952	33		-	-	-	-	-
1953	159		-	-	-	-	-
1954	17		-	-	-	-	-
1955	99		-	-	-	-	-
1957	2		-	-	-	-	-
1958	18		-	-	-	-	-
1959	1		-	-	-	-	-
1960	2		-	-	-	-	-
1961	9		-	-	-	-	-
1963	5		-	-	-	-	-
1967	1		-	-	-	-	-
1968	17		-	-	-	-	-
1970	11		-	-	-	-	-
1971	6		-	-	-	-	-
1972	35		-	-	-	-	-
1974	7		-	-	-	-	-
1977	481	480	1	1	-	-	-
1978	498	488	4	2	1	-	1
1979	1		-	-	-	-	-
1980	14		-	-	-	-	-
1983	1.310	1.301	3	1	-	1	1
1984	1.334	1.328	7	1	1	4	1
1985	615	612	2	1	1	-	-
1986	4		-	-	-	-	-
1987	2.138	2.073	9	2	3	2	2
1988	316	316	1	-	1	-	-
1989	3.798	3.792	6	3	1	2	-
1990	3.006	3.004	3	-	2	1	-
1991	3.328	3.327	8	3	2	3	.
1992	3		-	-	-	.	.
1993	?						
1994	15		-	-	.	.	.
TOTAL	**17.331**		**44**	**14**	**12**	**13**	**5**

b. TAGGED IN THE WHITE SEA

1963 -71	2.791		-	-	-	-	-
1987	27		-	-	-	-	-
1989	1.626		-	-	-	-	-
1990	3.352		-	-	-	-	-
1991	4.161		-	-	-	-	-
1992	2.314		3	3	-	-	.
1993	?		-	-	-	.	.
1994	4.871		-	-	.	.	.
TOTAL	**19.142**		**3**	**3**	**-**	**-**	**-**

Table 3. MARKING OF HOODED SEALS AND RECOVERIES IN GREENLAND[1]

Year	Tagged or branded at Newfoundland Gulf	Front	Davis Str.	Denm. Str.	Recovered in Greenland Gu	Fr	Ds	Dk	Tagged at Jan Mayen	Rec. in Grl.
1951	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	18	-
1952	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
1953	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
1956	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-
1957	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1958	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-
1959	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-
1960	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-
1961	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-
1962	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-
1963	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	-
1964	-	10	-	-	-	2	-	-	13	-
1965	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-
1966	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-
1967	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-
1968	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	-
1969	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-
1970	-	30	-	-	-	1	-	-	26	-
1971	13	37	-	-	-	1	-	-	25	-
1972	29	26	-	-	1	-	-	-	83	-
1973	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.	-
1974	20?	-	-	101[2]	-	-	-	3	3	-
1975	75	73	-	-	2	-	-	-	8	-
1976	80	5	-	-	4	-	-	-	5	-
1977	64	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	35	-
1978	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	-
1979	28	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	67	-
1980	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-
1981	182	.	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
1982	163	.	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
1983	69	835	-	-	-	-	-	-	294	-
1984	426	415	1465	-	9	4	8	-	403	-
1985	-	702	-	-	-	14	-	-	350	-
1986	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1441	1
1987	-	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-
1988	.	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
1989	.	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
1990	.	.	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-
1991	.	.	-	-	.	.	-	-	.	-
1992	.	.	-	-	.(3).		-	-	21	-
1993	.	.	-	-	.	.	-	-	.	-
1994	.	.	-	-	.	.	-	-	.	-
SUM	2507	2281	1465	101	24	23	8	3	3172	1
	4788				50					

1) When information is available the number of marked pups is given. except

2) 72 subadults. 7 adult males and 19 adult females.

Table 4. Recoveries in Greenland of harp seals tagged at Newfoundland, 1949-1994, by month, district and recovery age.

	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	I	II	?	SUM
THU >5	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	2	1	1	-	-		10
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	2	-	-	-	-		7
1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-		2
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUM	-	-	-	-	-	2	11	4	1	1	-	-		19
UPV >5	-	-	1	3	2	6	21	23	9	2	-	-		67
2-4	-	-	-	4	5	14	19	23	2	-	-	-	1	68
1	-	-	-	-	2	10	14	4	3	-	-	-	1	34
0	-	-	-	-	8	8	5	3	1	-	-	-		25
SUM	-	-	1	7	17	38	59	53	15	2	-	-	2	194
UMQ >5	-	-	-	5	8	8	1	1	1	1	-	-		25
2-4	-	-	-	3	4	11	4	1	1	-	-	-		24
1	-	-	-	-	6	31	7	5	3	1	-	-		53
0	-	-	-	-	5	45	23	3	2	-	-	-	3	81
SUM	-	-	-	8	24*	95	35	10	7	2	-	-	3	184*
CWe >5	-	-	-	5	8	1	2	3	6	2	3	-	4	34
2-4	-	-	1	7	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	-	1	31
1	-	1	-	2	2	4	1	6	1	2	2	-	1	22
0	-	-	-	1	5	14	17	11	-	-	2	1		51
SUM	-	1	1	15	19	23	24	23	10	6	9	1	6	138
CWw >5	-	-	1	11	10	9	3	15	20	12	4	-		85
2-4	4	3	-	4	4	3	3	10	9	14	6	3		63
1	1	-	-	-	4	3	5	10	4	5	8	4		44
0	-	-	-	1	12	8	7	9	5	6	8	7		63
SUM	5	3	1	16	30	23	19*	44	38	37	26	14		256*
SW >5	4	1	4	8	7	3	-	7	2	2	-	3	2	43
2-4	-	-	2	11	2	2	-	2	1	2	1	-		23
1	6	1	3	4	-	-	-	-	1	2	4	3		24
0	-	-	1	9	4	3	-	1	3	9	4	9		43
SUM	10	2	10	32	13	9*	-	10	7	15	9	15	2	134*
S >5	-	-	-	5	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		7
2-4	-	-	1	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		7
1	2	-	-	4	4	1	1	-	2	1	-	-		15
0	-	-	4	11	15	5	-	2	-	1	-	-		38
SUM	3*	-	5	23	22	7	1	2	3	2	-	-		68*
AMM >5	-	-	-	-	-	5	1	2	4	-	-	-		12
2-4	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		3
1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	1	-	-	-		5
0	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	1	-	-	-	-		6
SUM	-	-	-	-	6*	9	3	4	5	-	-	-		27*
SCO >5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		1
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUM	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-		1
?? 2-4	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		2
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	2
SUM	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	4
TOT >5	4	1	6	37	36	33	33	53	44	20	7	3	6	283
2-4	4	4	4	32	23	36	36	41	16	18	9	4	2	229
1	9	2	3	10	20	50	30	26	15	11	14	7	2	199
0	-	-	5	5	50	86	53	30	11	16	14	18	4	309
SUM	18*	7	18	84	131*	206*	153*	150	86	65	44	32	14	1025

Table 5. Recoveries in Greenland of harp seals tagged at Jan Mayen, 1977-1991, by month, district and recovery age.

	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII	I	II	?	SUM
THU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
UPV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
UMQ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
CWe >5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-		1
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		1
SUM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-		2
CWw >5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-		2
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-		2
SW >5	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUM	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1
S >5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		1
1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-		3
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	-		3
SUM	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-		7
AMM >5	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-		2
2-4	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	3	-	1	-	-		9
1	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	3	2	-	-	-		9
0	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	3	-	-	-		8
SUM	-	-	-	-	3	5	7	6	6	1	-	-		28
SCO >5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-		2
2-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-		2
SUM	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-		4
TOT >5	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-		5
2-4	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	3	-	3	2	-		13
1	1	-	1	-	2	-	2	3	2	-	1	-		12
0	-	-	-	-	-	2	4	-	4	2	2	-		14
SUM	2	-	1	1	3	6	7	7	7	5	5	-		44

HARP SEAL TAG RECOVERIES IN GREENLAND SINCE 1949



Fig. 1. Regional distribution of recoveries in Greenland of marked harp seals.



Fig. 2. Distribution by region, month and age of recoveries in Greenland of harp seals marked at Newfoundland (Gulf and Front).



Fig. 3. Recoveries in Greenland of marked hooded seals.