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## INTRODUCTION

Annual trawl surveys for estimating the shrimp stock biomass in Denmark Strait have been carried out since 1989 (except for 1991 and 1993). While the survey in 1989 covered the commercial fishery area only, later surveys were aimed to cover the total stock distribution area (Kanneworff \& Lehmann, 1991).

All surveys were carried out in the September-October period because this period normally is the best to avoid severe problems with bad weather and ice cover. Although it is known that shrimp densities are lower at this time of the year compared to the December-May period, where most of the commercial catches are taken - it is hoped that a time series of survey results will show that biomass estimates may be useful as indices of the status of the stock.

In 1989, 1990, and 1992 the surveys were based on the stratified-random technique. From 1994 a new sampling technique based on the Spline Survey Designer Software System (Stolyarenko, 1987; 1993) was introduced (Andersen et al., 1994).

The Icelandic authorities kindly granted permission to carry out research in the icelandic economic zone.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The survey was performed with the 722 GRT trawler Paamiut, using a $3000 / 20$ meshes Skjervey shrimp trawl with bobbins gear and a 20 mm double-bag in the codend. Trawl doors were Greenland Perfect, size 370*270 cm. Trawl geometry was measured with Scanmar acoustic sensors mounted on the trawl doors, and a Furuno trawleye on the headrope.

Standard towing time was 60 minutes. Trawling was carried out in day-time (0800-1800 UTC) only, to minimize the influence of vertical migrations. Distance between the trawl doors was measured continuously during trawling, and the mean wing spread was calculated for each haul. Together with calculated trawling distance (using GPS positions at beginning and end of the haul) the mean wing spread was used to estimate a swept area for each haul.

The survey area (Fig. 1) covered the supposed main distribution area of the shrimp stock, i.e. the offshore area between $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $68^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, bordered to the east by the 600 m depth contour.

Based on information from the earlier surveys a sampling scheme was constructed by means of the Spline Survey Designer Software System (Stolyarenko, loc. cit.). The number of sampling sites (50) was chosen as about $2 / 3$ of the
expected total number of stations that could be visited during the allocated survey period. After completing the primary sampling program (in which two stations were omitted due to extreme bottom conditions), the rest of the stations were selected haul by haul based on information from all the hauls taken during the survey (including 25 hauls from the area south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, taken during a trawl survey immediately before the present).

The total catch was sorted and weighed by species. From each haul a sample of shrimp was taken from the cod-end. Shrimps were sorted by sexual characteristics, and oblique carapace length was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm .

The shrimp catch per standard trawling area ( $0.11 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, roughly corresponding to a haul duration of 60 minutes) was calculated as input value for the Spline computer programme.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Biomass

In total 72 stations were fished, of which 48 belonged to the primary sampling scheme. Fig. 2 shows the distribution of the shrimp biomass as calculated from the basic sampling scheme. The total biomass estimate from the first phase was 7215 tons. It is obvious that further sampling is needed to delineate the observed concentrations around $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ $32^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $66^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 28^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. Further information on the large areas in the west is also desirable but less important due to assumed low shrimp density. In the southwestern corner of the survey area higher densities of shrimp - produced by the model as the result of catches in trawl stations to the south of the survey area - should also be investigated.

In the second phase of the survey one station was placed in the southwestern corner of the survey area, reducing shrimp densities here somewhat (Fig. 3). Further sampling in this area might have been appropriate, but time did not allow.

Four stations were selected around the concentration at $65^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 32^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ (based on one haul in the first phase) and resulted in a better definition of this concentration (Fig. 3).

It was decided to concentrate the sampling during the rest of the survey time in the area between $66^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $28^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $31^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. During the first phase of the survey the commercial fishery took place in the area around the concentration found at $66^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 28^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. When returning to the area in the second phase, the commercial fishery had moved northwest to around $66^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 29^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. In agreement with this the sampling of the second phase resulted in a reduction of the first concentration and the occurrence of a new high density area where the commercial fishery now took place. This raises the question of the stability of shrimp concentrations over the time (about two weeks) between the first phase and the second phase survey in this area: The high density area found in the first phase is - although based on only one station and thus poorly defined - hardly an artifact, as the commercial fishery took place here at that time. Whether the significant reduction of this concentration observed in the second phase is a result of the commercial fishery, due to a dispersion of shrimp, or a combination of both is difficult to decide. On the other hand, the new concentration found in the second phase in the area to where the commercial fishery had moved, may have existed already during the first phase. It may not have been observed by neither the fishery nor the survey. Or it may be the result of a new concentration or movement of already existing concentrations. Under all circumstances this change in abundance may cause a reevaluation of the survey design, e.g. with an immediate delimitation of observed high density areas during the first phase.

After completion of the second phase of the survey a biomass estimate of 4558 tons was calculated for the total area. This estimate is of the same order of magnitude as the estimate from 1989, and higher than the estimates from 1990, 1992, and 1994:

| Year | Biomass estimate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 4879 |
| 1990 | 1860 |
| 1992 | 1044 |
| 1994 | 3800 |
| 1995 | 4558 |

The spline method was discussed in detail in Andersen et al. (1994).

## Stock composition

Overall length frequency distributions for the surveys prior to 1994 were constructed by pooling of samples after weighting with catch and stratum area (Carlsson and Kanneworff, 1993). Although the spline method was used in 1994 and 1995, overall length frequency distributions were constructed based on the stratum areas used in the earlier surveys (Fig. 4 and Table 2 - strata used in earlier surveys are shown in Fig. 6), and the total number of shrimp estimated over the years in the traditional survey area was calculated (in millions):

|  | males | females | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 231.0 | 135.4 | 366.3 |
| 1990 | 142.6 | 85.7 | 228.3 |
| 1992 | 163.6 | 45.3 | 209.0 |
| 1994 | 264.4 | 90.4 | 354.8 |
| 1995 | 315.7 | 109.9 | 425.6 |

The higher biomass estimate found in 1995 compared to 1990, 1992, and 1994 is the result of an increasing number of both male and female shrimp. The 1995 biomass estimate is at the same order of magnitude as the 1989 estimate, but the stock composition is different with a significantly higher total number of shrimp based on a major increase in number of males, while the number of females decreased slightly.

The male component in 1989 consisted of a broad range of year classes ranging from 18 to 32 mm CL (Fig. 4). Since then the male distribution has been dominated by fewer year classes, and the right side of the distribution has been cut off, indicating that sex change is taking place at a smaller size (Fig. 4 and 5). In. 1995 the male component is largely dominated by two groups, one around 24 mm CL and another one - which is responsible for the increase in total number of males compared to 1994 - around 21.5 mm CL.

The female component is reduced continously over the first three years. Inside the female group there is a change towards smaller size, very large females ( $32-36 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{CL}$ ) are almost absent since 1992, while females smaller than 28 mm CL are becoming more numerous, confirming the earlier sex change as indicated by the change in largest male size. In 1989 the female component was dominated by a peak at 30.5 mm CL . In 1995 the dominating peak is at 28.5 mm CL, but several groups of smaller females are indicated.

In 1994 there were only minor indications of recruitment of the large groups of males occurring in 1995 at 21.5 and 24 mm CL. Migrations into the survey area may therefore be assumed, and it is still not known where the smaller shrimp of the Denmark Strait stock should be found.

Overall length frequency distributions from the fishing areas (strata Q2, Q3, Q4, and Q5) south of the traditional fishing area are shown in Fig. 5. A wide range of size groups of both males and females occur, but shrimp smaller than 20 mm CL are almost absent also in these areas.

Fig. 6 shows the calculated numbers of shrimp per stratum in 1995, and Fig.s 7 and 8 show the density in numbers of male and female shrimp as calculated by the Spline Survey Designer program, based on shrimp samples from north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Both male and female shrimp are most abundant in the central area west of the midline to lcelandic waters (males in strata 14, 15, 16, 22 and 23) and females in strata 15, 16 and 23). Compared to earlier years shrimp are much more concentrated in 1995.

## CONCLUSION

The biomass of shrimp in Denmark Strait is estimated to be close to the level of 1989 and higher than the estimates in 1990, 1992, and 1994.

A new sampling design, based on the Spline Survey Designer Software System, was used in 1994 and again in 1995, dividing the survey into two phases with a primary sampling scheme in phase one and additional stations in phase two, selected to improve the delimitation of shrimp concentrations. Due to possible changes in shrimp abundance caused by the commercial fishery and/or movement of shrimp during the survey period, the survey design should be redefined in the future, so that high abundance areas can be explored and delimitated immediately after they are found.

Overall length frequency distributions show that the increase in biomass in 1995 is based primarily on dominant groups of males at 21.5 and 24 mm CL and females at 28.5 mm CL . The shift in the femaie group towards smaller sizes and the absence of the largest male group as found in all succesive surveys when compared to 1989 is still obvious, indicating that a change in size at sex change took place between 1989 and 1990.

Absence of the smaller male and juvenile shrimp in the survey area stresses that the total area of distribution and recruitment patterns of the stock are still unknown. Smaller shrimp were also absent in samples from the fishing areas south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.

Highest abundance of both male and female shrimp were found in the central area west of the midline to lcelandic waters. Shrimp were more concentrated than in earlier years.
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Table 1. List of stations in the shrimp survey north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in Denmark Strait, 1995. Catches are given in kg.

| Station ID |  | Area code | Depth | Trtime | SHR | COD | GHL | -RED | MIX | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 95PA0080001 | 707 | JX118 | 453.0 | 67 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 25 |
| 95PA0080002 | 712 | JZ116 | 311.0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 772 | 774 |
| 95PA0080003 | 714 | KA119 | 423.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 33 |
| 95PA0080004 | 721 | KE119 | 318.5 | 60 | 140 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 111 | 254 |
| 95PA0080005 | 725 | KF120 | 321.0 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 238 |
| 95PA0080006 | 724 | KF123 | 352.5 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 881. | 888 |
| 95PA0080007 | 727 | KF125 | 482.5 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 22 |
| 95PA0080008 | 732 | KH126 | 550.5 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 8 |
| 95 PA 0080009 | 733 | KH124 | 380.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 31 |
| 95PA0080010 | 735. | KJ121 | 363.5 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 45 |
| 95 PA 0080011 | 738 | KL122 | 345.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 35 |
| 95PA0080012 | 743 | KN123 | 256.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| 95 PA 0080013 | 742 | KN120 | 267.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 155 |
| 95PA0080014 | 744 | KN118 | 296.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| 95PA0080015 | 748 | KP116 | 274.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| 95PA0080016 | 749 | KS113 | 245.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |
| 95 PA 0080017 | 750. | KS106 | 379.5 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 44 | 48 |
| 95PA0080018 | 746 | KP107 | 436.0 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 15 |
| 95PA0080019 | 745 | KN110 | 392.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 95PA0080020 | 747 | KP112 | 294.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 PA 0080021 | 737 | KL110 | 440.5 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 95PA0080022 | 741 | KM109 | 444.0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 95 PA 0080023 | 739 | KL106 | 580.5 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 95PA0080024 | 736 | KJ109 | 541.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 95PA0080025 | 734 | KH111 | 395.5 | 60 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 95PA0080026 | 728 | KG110 | 492.0 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 9 |
| 95PA0080027 | 726 | KF111 | 408.0 | 60 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 27 |
| 95PA0080028 | 731 | KH118 | 323.0 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 20 |
| 95PA0080029 | 730 | KG115 | 347.0 | 60 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 29 |
| 95PA0080030 | 719 | KD114 | 307.5 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 77 |
| 95PA0080031 | 718 | KD116 | 289.5 | 60 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 108 |
| 95PA0080032 | 720 | KD111 | 472.5 | 61 | 26 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 55 |
| 95PA0080033 | 716 | KB109 | 504.5 | 60 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 15 |
| 95PA0080034 | 713 | KA113 | 301:5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3652 | 3652 |
| 95PA0080035 | 708 | JX111 | 468.5 | 60 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 32 | 45 |
| 95PA0080036 | 703 | JS106 | 337.5 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 21 |
| 95PA0080037 | 706 | JV105 | 271.5 | 60 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1271 | 1297 |
| 95PA0080038 | 709 | JX106 | 346.5 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 131 |
| 95PA0080039 | 717. | KB104 | 320.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 95PA0080040 | 723 | KF102 | 336.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 95PA0080041 | 729 | KG099 | 235.0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 95PA0080042 | 722 | KE098 | 354.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 95PA0080043 | 711 | JZ097 | 278.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 95PA0080044 | 704 | JS101 | 290.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 255 | 271 |
| 95PA0080045 | 702 | JR098 | 315.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 29 | 33 |
| 95PA0080046 | 705 | JS096 | 243.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1238 | 1240 |
| 95PA0080047 | 701 | JP095 | 248.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 362 | 629 | 993 |
| 95PA0080048 | 751 | JN090 | 212.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 68 |
| 95PA0080049 | 710 | JX100 | 326.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 95PA0080050 | 752 | JZ103 | 266.5 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1248 | 1253 |
| 95PA0080051 | 753 | JT103 | 264.5 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 216 | 216 |
| 95PA0080052 | 754 | JV106 | 315.0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 336 | 340 |
| 95PA0080053 | 755 | JV107 | 369.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 27 |
| 95PA0080054 | 756 | K8111 | 426.0 | 60 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| 95PA0080055 | 757 | KE112 | 367.5 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| 95PA0080056 | 758 | KE110 | 492.0 | 60 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 48 |
| 95PA0080057 | 759 | KE107 | 432.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 95PA0080058 | 760 | KH114 | 265.0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 103 |
| 95PA0080059 | 761 | KG113 | 322.0 | 60 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 | 117 |
| 95PA0080060 | 762 | KF115 | 307.5 | 60 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 50 |
| 95PA0080061 | 763 | KE116 | 318.0 | 60 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 35 |
| 95PA0080062 | 764 | KE118 | 330.0 | 60 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 19 |
| $95 P A 0080063$ | 765 | KG113 | 326.0 | 60 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 74 |
| 95PA0080064 | 766 | KF113 | 284.5 | 60 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 36 |
| 95PA0080065 | 767 | KG116 | 352.0 | 60 | 41 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 101 |
| 95PA0080066 | 768 | KF115 | 331.0 | 60 | 44 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 51 | 108 |
| 95PA0080067 | 769 | KF114 | 325.0 | 60 | 302 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 331 |
| 95PA0080068 | 770 | KE120 | 313.0 | 61 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 72 |
| 95PA0080069 | 771 | KD120 | 348.0 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 331 | 334 |
| 95PA0080070 | 772 | KD119 | 318.0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 38 |
| $95 P A 0080071$ | 773 | KB118 | 293.0 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 989 | 991 |
| 95PA0080072 | 774 | KE119 | 329.5 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 40 |

Table 2. Number of shrimp (thousands) per length group (CL) in total biomass estimate north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, based on pooling of samples weighted by catch and stratum area.

| CL | Males | Prim.fem. | Mul.fem. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 10.5 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 11.0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 11.5 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 12.0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 12.5 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 279 |
| 13.0 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 258 |
| 13.5 | 288 | 0 | 0 | 288 |
| 14.0 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 358 |
| 14.5 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 337 |
| 15.0 | 890 | 0 | 0 | 890 |
| 15.5 | 996 | 0 | 0 | 996 |
| 16.0 | 1119 | 0 | 0 | 1119 |
| 16.5 | 2102 | 0 | 54 | 2155 |
| 17.0 | 2165 | 0 | 8 | 2173 |
| 17.5 | 2409 | 0 | 0 | 2409 |
| 18.0 | 2827 | 0 | 0 | 2827 |
| 18.5 | 5358 | 102 | 126 | 5586 |
| 19.0 | 7986 | 0 | 17 | 8003 |
| 19.5 | 9069 | 34 | 21 | 9123 |
| 20.0 | 13109 | 31 | 34 | 13174 |
| 20.5 | 15243 | 14 | 45 | 15302 |
| 21.0 | 15914 | 102 | 220 | 16236 |
| 21.5 | 18346 | 33 | 214 | 18593 |
| 22.0 | 18140 | 11 | 181 | 18333 |
| 22.5 | 17185 | 37 | 652 | 17873 |
| 23.0 | 18708 | 44 | 1315 | 20067 |
| 23.5 | 22623 | 50 | 1391 | 24064 |
| 24.0 | 22157 | 61 | 2236 | 24454 |
| 24.5 | 21886 | 0 | 2181 | 24066 |
| 25.0 | 23410 | 4 | 3105 | 26519 |
| 25.5 | 19249 | 45 | 2841 | 22136 |
| 26.0 | 18456 | 4 | 4148 | 22608 |
| 26.5 | 14749 | 4 | 5315 | 20068 |
| 27.0 | 8578 | 4 | 7694 | 16276 |
| 27.5 | 5802 | 33 | 8439 | 14274 |
| 28.0 | 2580 | 0 | 9131 | 11711 |
| 28.5 | 1840 | 0 | 12002 | 13842 |
| 29.0 | 557 | 0 | 10557 | 11115 |
| 29.5 | 296 | 0 | 8576 | 8872 |
| 30.0 | 45 | 37 | 8326 | 8409 |
| 30.5 | 21 | 0 | 6418 | 6439 |
| 31.0 | 0 | 0 | 4894 | 4894 |
| 31.5 | 33 | 0 | 3472 | 3505 |
| 32.0 | 30 | 0 | 2145 | 2175 |
| 32.5 | 0 | 102 | 1912 | 2015 |
| 33.0 | 16 | 0 | 727 | 743 |
| 33.5 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 450 |
| 34.0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 174 |
| 34.5 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 131 |
| 35.0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| 35.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 315657 | 753 | 109196 | 425606 |



Figure 1. Map of the survey area (north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and the area of the preceeding survey (south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in Denmark Strait, with sampling sites and catch of shrimp (per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ). Strata with commercial fishery south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ are indicated.


Figure 2. Sampling sites and calculated shrimp densities from the first phase of the survey.


Figure 3. Calculated shrimp densities from the total survey. Sampling sites of the second phase are shown as filled rectangles.






Figure 4. Numbers of shrimp by length group (CL) in the traditional survey area (north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) by year, based on pooling af samples weighted by catch and stratum area.


Figure 5. Numbers of shrimp by length group (CL) in strata south of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in 1995 , based on pooling of samples weighted by catch and stratum area (note different scales on Yaxes).

| $68^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |  |  |  |  | $S$ |  | $\pi N$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | $2$ | 3 0.0 0.0 0.1 | 4 0.1 0.0 0.2 | $5$ |  |
| $67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |  |  |  |  | 6 1.8 0.4 2.2 | 7 2.9 0.8 3.7 | 8 | 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 3.1 \end{array}$ | $11$ |  |
|  |  | $\left\{0 s^{30^{5}}\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 34.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 39.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 143.5 \\ 33.7 \\ 177.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 43.7 \\ 36.4 \\ 80.1 \end{array}$ | 17 5.4 3.0 8.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.2 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ |  |
| $660^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |  |  | 19 | 20 2.8 0.4 3.2 | 21 | 22 36.8 4.1 40.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 16.3 \\ 15.3 \\ 31.5 \end{array}$ |  | 25 | 26 |  |
|  |  | 27 0.1 0.1 0.2 | 28 0.4 0.2 0.6 | 29 0.1 0.0 0.1 | 30 6.6 4.0 10.6 | 31 13.2 2.0 15.2 | 32 | 33 0.4 0.0 0.4 |  | \% |  |
| $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 34 0.1 0.0 0.1 | 35 0.5 0.1 0.6 | 36 0.0 0.0 0.0 |  | ! |  | $1 /$ |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | $34^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | 30 |  | $28^{\circ}$ |  |  | $6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |

Figure 6. Calculated numbers of shrimp (males, females and total, in millions) per stratum north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in 1995.


Figure 7. Density (in numbers) of male shrimp north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, calculated by the Spline Survey Designer based on shrimp samples from this area.


Figure 8. Density (in numbers) of female shrimp north of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, calculated by the Spline Survey Designer based on shrimp samples from this area.

