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#### Abstract

A trawl-acoustic survey on pelagic redfish ( $S$. mentella) in the Irminger Sea and adjacent was carried out by Germany, Iceland, Russia and Norway waters in June/July 2001. Approximately $420000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m} .{ }^{2}$ were covered. The stock size measured with the acoustic instruments was assessed to be about 715000 t at depths down to the deepscattering layer or about 350 m , with redfish having a mean length of 34.6 cm . Highest concentrations of redfish were in the SW part of the area covered. In addition to the acoustic measurements, an attempt was made to estimate the redfish below the deep scattering layer. This was done by correlating catches and acoustic values at depths between 100 and 450 m . The obtained correlation was used to transfer the trawl data at greater depths to acoustic values and from there to abundance. A total of approximately 1075 thous. tonnes were estimated to be at depths between 0 and 500 m and about 1056 thous. tonnes below 500 m depth. Below 500 m , the densest concentrations were found in the NE part of the area. The average length of the fishes caught below 500 m was 39.5 cm . The estimated abundance derived from the trawl data should be treated with great caution.


## Introduction

Several acoustic surveys have been conducted on the oceanic redfish in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters. During the period of commercial fishery in the area, which commenced in 1982, the former Soviet Union and later Russia, carried out acoustic surveys annually until 1993. These surveys provided valuable information on the distribution and relative abundance of oceanic redfish and on the biology of the species as well as on the oceanographic conditions of the area surveyed (e.g. Pedchenko et al., 1995). The acoustic measurements were, however, not considered sufficient for stock assessment purposes (Anon. 1991).

In 1991, Iceland conducted a pilot acoustic survey (Magnússon et al.,1992a). The same year, Iceland and Russia decided to conduct an acoustic survey on the oceanic redfish in the Irminger Sea in 1992, in accordance with an agreement between the two countries. The results of the surveys were presented at the ICES Statutory Meeting 1992, (Magnússon et al., 1992b) in a combined paper containing two separate survey reports. It became obvious from the surveys in 1992 that for an acoustic assessment, two vessels were hardly sufficient to cover the whole area of distribution within a reasonable time period (Anon. 1993).

In 1993, Russia conducted a survey in the Irminger Sea, in the summer time. Iceland carried out a short survey in September in the same year (Anon.1994a) with no reliable stock size estimate, since the area coverage was limited.

In 1994, Iceland and Norway carried out survey, with two vessels, covering the main distribution area down to 500 m depth (Magnússon et al., 1994). Approximately $190000 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{2}$ were covered, resulting in a stock size estimate of
about 2.2 million tonnes or 3.5 billion individuals. Most of the fish was measured in the area east of Cape Farewell. In the report from the survey, the view of the ICES Study Group on Redfish Stocks (Anon 1994b), that the entire area of distribution could not be covered sufficiently by only two vessels (Anon. 1993.) was supported.

In 1995, Russia carried out a single vessel survey for redfish, covering the main distribution area down to 500 m depth. The stock was estimated to be 2.5 million tonnes and 4.1 billion individuals (Shibanov et al., 1996a). As the survey was only covered by one vessel, the NWWG meeting in 1996 (Anon, 1996), considered the results to be unreliable.

In 1996, Iceland, Germany and Russia carried out the survey in June/July. Approximately $250,000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ were covered. The acoustic assessment yielded a stock size of about 1.6 million tonnes or 2.6 billions individuals at depths down to 500 m (Magnússon et al., 1996). This estimate was considered to be an underestimation of the stock, due to mixture of the redfish towards depths below 500 m . The oceanic redfish concentrations were densest between 200 and 300 m depth, mainly within temperature range of $3.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature recorded during the survey were somewhat higher than observed during previous acoustic surveys.

In 1997, Russia carried out a single vessel survey in June/July resulting in a stock estimate of 1.2 mill. tonnes down to 500 m depth (Melnikov et al., 1998).

In 1999, an international acoustic survey on pelagic redfish was carried out in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters in June/July, with participation of Iceland, Germany and Russia. The acoustically estimated biomass of the oceanic $S$. mentella in the upper 500 m of the water column was 0.6 mill. t (Anon., 1999a,b). The observed decrease in survey abundance compared with the years 1994-1996 was very drastic and exceeded the removed biomass by the fishing fleets. The area covered was the most extensive in the time series until then, but covered only a portion of the current horizontal distribution of the oceanic stock. Therefore, the estimate of 0.6 mill $t$ was considered an underestimate. The stock above 500 m was observed more south-westerly and deeper than it has been during former acoustic surveys in this decade, and a gradual increase in temperature in the observation area has been observed. This may have influenced the distribution pattern of the redfish as the highest concentrations were found in the colder, i.e. south-western, part of the survey area.

During all of the surveys until 1999, oceanic redfish was only measured by acoustics down to approximately 500 m depth. Attempts have been made to measure below that depth, but basically without success in obtaining any stock size estimate. The reason is mainly due to a "scattering layer", which is a mixture of many vertebrate and invertebrate species, mingled with the redfish (Magnússon, 1996).

Although several attempts have been made by Russia and Iceland to map the distribution of pelagic redfish at depths below 500 m (Anon, 1998; Anon 1999b; Sigurðsson and Reynisson, 1998), the 1999 survey provided for the first time an estimate on the abundance of the pelagic S. mentella ( $>500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) in the order of 0.5 million tonnes. Hydrographic observations indicated that the highest concentrations of redfish below 500 were associated with eddies and fronts.

At the $88^{\text {th }}$ ICES Statutory Meeting, it was decided (C.Res 2000/2D02) that the Planning Group on Redfish Stocks (PGRS) should meet to plan an international acoustic survey of oceanic redfish, to be carried out in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters in June/July 2001 (ICES C.M. 2001/D:04). The main objective was a trawl-acoustic assessment of the oceanic redfish stock in the area. The basic area coverage was determined to be extended from what has previously been used and was defined in Anon (1995). As the results from the survey in 1999 indicated that the covered area did not reach the boundary of the distribution area of pelagic redfish in the acoustic layer, the PGRS felt it was necessary to expand the area both to south and west. As the fishery has also changed towards greater depths during the last years it is also considered important to continue expansion of the vertical coverage to assess the stock which is below the acoustic layer (below 500 m depth). The results of this survey are given here.

Along with the trawl and acoustic measurements since 1992, hydrographic data were obtained during all the surveys. The results indicate a relationship between the hydrography and distribution of redfish in the survey area. Shibanov et al. (1996b) found that the main concentration of redfish in the upper 500 m during June/July were found in the Subarctic Water mass (located in the central parts of the Irminger Sea).

During the last years, there has been a discussion on the stock structure of redfish in the Northwest Atlantic. In this report, no attempt was made to distinguish between different stocks of S.mentella in the survey area, as only one of the participating nations divided catches into different stocks. Therefore, reference is only made to depth.

## Material and Methods

## Acoustic assessment of redfish

The primary material consists of acoustic and biological data collected on pelagic redfish in the Irminger Sea. The Icelandic part of the survey was carried out by the Marine Research Institute (MRI), Reykjavík, with the research vessels Árni Friðriksson from 21 June to 12 July with 18 days in field and Bjarni Sæmundsson during the time period 18 June to 12 July with 19 days in the field. The German part was carried out by the Federal Research Centre for Fisheries, Institute for Sea Fisheries, Hamburg, with the research vessel Walther Herwig III during the time period 17 June to 10 July, with 12 days in the field. The Russian part was carried out by PINRO, the Marine Research Institute in Murmansk, with the research vessel Atlantniro in the field from 19 June to 11 July. The Norwegian research vessel G.O.Sars from the Institute of Marine Research, Bergen was in the field from 15 June-11 July for 10 days. The primary goal of the participation of G.O. Sars was to carry out special experimental work applying the upgraded and rebuilt deep towed vehicle system observing and estimating abundance of redfish deeper than 400 m (Anon 2001). Additionally, they were to acquire backscattering cross section (target strength) data at favourable redfish distributions both above and below the deep scattering layer. The data of the experimental work is not introduced here, but some of the trawl data along with the biological observation collected onboard G.O.Sars is used.

The vessels covered an area of approximately 420,000 square nautical miles within the boundaries of about $52^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $64^{\circ} 30 \mathrm{~N}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $54^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, mostly on transects $45 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. apart (Figure 1). The time schedule for the cruises, the distribution of the areas and the technical settings were discussed and agreed at a planning meeting in February 2001 in Bergen (Anon. 2001). However, some of the planned transects were altered and rescheduled during the survey due to different reasons.

A 38 kHz Simrad EK500 split-beam echo sounder and integrator was used for the acoustic data collection on all three ships (Bodholt et al., 1989), and a BI500 post-processing system (Foote et al. 1991). Prior to or after the survey the acoustic equipment on all vessels was calibrated with the standard sphere method (Foote et al., 1987). The settings of the acoustic equipment used during the survey are given in Table 1.

During the survey, the post-processing systems onboard all the vessels were used for scrutinising the echograms. Mean integrated values of redfish per $1 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. were recorded, but the average of $5 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. was used for the calculations.

Inter-calibration of acoustic equipment was planned between the participating vessels as circumstances would allow. On 23 June, an inter-calibration took place between Bjarni Sæmundsson and Atlantniro. The vessels sailed the same course with Atlantniro trailing Bjarni Sæmundsson at a distance of $0.5 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. and a 10 degrees offset. The vessel speed was 8 knots. The distance covered was 2 times $20 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. During the first half, a long transmitter pulse and a narrow bandwidth was used onboard Atlantniro but a medium pulse and medium bandwidth on the latter. The echo recording consisted mainly of a fairly strong layer of myctophids from about 350 m down to 650 m . In the uppermost 50 m , a layer of plankton and other small organisms was observed. Very scattered registrations of redfish were observed in the depth interval $225-350 \mathrm{~m}$. The integrator values within the depth intervals 13-400 and 400-600 were used to establish a relationship between the two vessels (Figure 2). This was done according to the maximum likelihood as outlined by MacLennan and Pope (1983).

Earlier investigations (Magnússon et al., 1994; Magnússon et al., 1996; Reynisson and Sigurðsson, 1996) have shown that the acoustic values obtained from oceanic redfish exhibit a clear diurnal variation, due to a different degree of mixing with smaller scatter as well as changes in target strength. In order to compensate for these effects to some degree, it was decided to discard the acoustic data obtained during periods of the most pronounced mixing, i.e. during the darkest hours of the night, and to estimate the values within the missing sections by interpolation.

In further data processing, the number of fish was calculated for statistical rectangles, the size of which were 45 n.m. in latitude and 1 degree in longitude. As the observed length range of the redfish in the 1999 acoustic survey had
increased from previous years, a length base target strength formula of TS=20 $\operatorname{lgL}-71.3 \mathrm{~dB}$ was used instead of a constant TS of -40 dB (Reynisson, 1992) as has been used previously for all lengths. This equation gives same results as -40 dB does for 37 cm redfish and is also equal to a $-38.3 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{kg}$ as has previously been used by Russia. The total number of fish within sub-areas was then obtained by summation of the individual rectangles. The subareas are as shown in Figure 3 (Anon. 1993), and are slightly changed from earlier years as the limits where shifted to fit the squares used and to fit the NAFO/NEAFC areas divisions. The acoustic results were further divided into numbers and biomass based on the biological samples representative for each sub-area.

For the entire survey area, single-fish echoes from redfish were expected to be detectable down to at least 200-250 m . In order to include all echoes of interest, a low integration threshold was chosen. As shown in Table 1, the integration threshold was set at $-80 \mathrm{~dB} / / 1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ on all instruments. Based on the depth distribution of redfish observed during the survey and the expected target strength distribution, the method outlined by Reynisson (1996) was used to estimate the expected bias due to thresholding. The results of the biomass calculations were adjusted accordingly.

Earlier investigations on Walther Herwig III and on Atlantniro indicated that when using low integration threshold noise could possibly be a problem. In order to increase signal-to-noise ratio, it was decided to use the long pulse length and narrow bandwidth on the above mention vessels. The transducers was hull-mounted on all vessels

The net used on Bjarni Sæmundsson was a Gloria type \#896 with a vertical opening of approximately 44 m . The net employed on Walther Herwig III was a Gloria type \#1024, with a vertical opening of approximately 45 m . On Atlantniro, a Russian pelagic trawl (design PT 78.7/416) with a circumference of 416 m and a vertical opening of about 43 m was used. On Árni Friðriksson, a Gloria type \#1024 with a vertical opening of about 42 m was used. There were however differences between the Gloria types used on Árni Friðriksson and Walther Herwig III as the type used by Árni Friðriksson was designed as a blue whiting type.

At the planning meeting in Bergen, in February 2001, it was decided to restrict trawling in the upper 500 m to the minimum needed for the acoustic assessment (type 1 hauls). It was also decided to take the hauls mainly during the hours of darkness when acoustic measurements are difficult (Reynisson, 1996). This was done although catches at night are generally poorer.

## Experimental redfish abundance estimation

The classic method of continuous echo-integration beyond 500 m depth along survey tracks is applicable only under specific conditions, mainly because of the increased influence of the vessel's noise, as well as the mixing of redfish with various components of sound scattering layer. An additional difficulty is due to the decrease of the effective angle of the transducer beam, especially for single fish registration at high depths. This in particular, demands a lower Sv-threshold, down to $(-85)-(-90) \mathrm{dB}$ for correct echo-integration. For hull-mounted transducers this may cause problems with noise. Therefore, acoustic estimation of redfish with a hull mounted transducer is very difficult.

During the preparatory meeting, a Russian proposal on the experimental redfish abundance estimation at depths below 500 m was discussed (WD3 in Anon. 1999a). The method is based on a combination of standardised survey catches and the acoustic data, where the correlation between catch and acoustic values during trawling in the upper layer is used to obtain acoustic values for the lower layer, based on catches in the lower layer. To be able to make such trial, it was decided to make haul at different depth intervals, evenly distributed over the survey area.

During the survey, the vessels made a total of 88 deep trawl hauls (type 3) in the depths range 500-950 m which were relatively evenly distributed all over the survey area (Figure 1). Each trawl haul of 6 nautical miles (about 150 min duration) was taken at three depth intervals, $500-600 \mathrm{~m}, 600-750 \mathrm{~m}$ and $750-900 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{m}$. at each depth. The catches were standardised to one nautical mile and converted into acoustic values using a linear relationship between catches and acoustic values at depth above 500 m . In addition, 44 hauls were taken inside the deep scattering layer in 2 steps, usually at around 300 m and 450 m (total of 4 n.m.- type 2 hauls).

Data for the correlation calculations were obtained during trawling only. In addition, scrutinised acoustic values were only taken from exactly the same position and depth range covered by the trawl. Acoustic data was both collected at depth range corresponding to the fishing depth and in the entire layer of redfish distribution in depth.

The regressions (geometric mean linear regressions of $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Kx}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{Cx} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ) between acoustic values and catches recorded above 500 m , for each vessel are given in Figures 4-7.

The principle condition for the stock assessment by trawl method is to know the selectivity of each trawl used and algorithm of conversion of trawl data (catch per towed mile) to equivalent acoustic data (surface density of fish distribution in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ units) to provide further estimation of abundance on the basis of both acoustic and trawl data using standard method for calculations of acoustic surveys.

The mean vertical opening of the trawl <dHtr> relative to which SA was estimated to be 45 m on Walther Herwig III (7 hauls), 44 m on Bjarni Sæmundson (8 tows of type 1), , 42 m on Árni Friðriksson (10 hauls) and 43 m and on Atlantniro (9 hauls).

When processing data from Atlantniro by analogy with similar works in 1999 for the convenience to estimate $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$, <dHtr> was taken equal to 50 m . Besides, when constructing the regression data were used not only from 9 tows of type 1 but also from 5 tows of type 2 and 9 tows of type 3 made on redfish concentrations against weak sound scattering layer where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ was derived with the change of threshold at BI-500 during processing of echograms.

Calculated regression for trawls with $50 \times 50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ mouth, (based on calculations given in Anon 199a) is given for comparison:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr} 0}=0.137 * \text { Catch } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to redfish target strength $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{kg}}=-38,3 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{kg}$ and effective trawl width $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eff}}=25 \mathrm{~m}$ (which is equivalent to coefficient of trawl efficiency relative to the area of trawl mouth - $50 \%$ ). This is precisely the value of Leff for trawls of such type, which has been used for the most recent 15 years in trawl-acoustic surveys by PINRO vessels to estimate $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {tr }}$ and densities of fish concentrations by catches, to predict catches on the basis of acoustic estimates etc. by using formula as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}=\text { Catch }(\mathrm{kilo} / \mathrm{mile}) * 4 \mathrm{p}^{*}\left(10^{0.1 \mathrm{TSkg}}\right) * 1852 / \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an algorithm to convert catches to the equivalent $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {tr }}$ it was accepted to use the linear regression $\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{K}^{*}$ Catch or power regression $\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{C}^{*}(\mathrm{Catch})^{\mathrm{D}}$, according to the correlation degree. These regressions are characterised by lower correlation compared to regression of $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{B}$ but give lesser relative error in estimation of $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {tr }}$ for small catches (below 10-20 kilos/mile), mainly taken during the survey.

Regression values of K for trawls of Walther Herwig-III" (Figure. 6) were very close to the theoretical K=0,137 with the satisfactory correlation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{WH}}=0.1322 * \text { Catch } \quad\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.91-0.94\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{WH}}=0.4855 *(\text { Catch })^{0.6577} \quad\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.976\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Data analysis from Atlantniro showed almost identical regressions between acoustic values and catches for both $y=A x+B$ and $y=K x$ types of regression (Figure. 7):

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{AT}}=0.2481 * \text { Catch }+0.2201 & \left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,757\right) \\
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{AT}}=0.2568 * \text { Catch } & \left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0,75\right) \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

For Bjarni Sæmundsson it is possible to use both types of regression, linear or power one (Figure 5):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{BS}}=0.2621 * \text { Catch } & \left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6-0.8\right) \\
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{BS}}=1.366 *(\text { Catch })^{0.5087} & \left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.534\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

It is however, clear that the presence of only one haul with the catch exceeded 20 kilos per mile on Figure 5 brings essential uncertainty in the results.

As for Árni Friðriksson, the regression analysis showed almost no correlation between catches per mile and acoustic values in the fishing layer $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}<0.1\right)$. The efficiency of tows of type 1 was also estimated very low (catches did not
exceed 3,3 kilos per mile even in the southern part of the area where maximum density of redfish distribution was observed). More or less satisfactory correlation $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.35\right)$ was, however, found between catches and mean SA per tow for redfish distributed throughout the entire "acoustic layer", i.e. in the depth range 150-400 m:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}_{\text {TOTAL }}=5.685^{*} \text { Catch } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To convert the estimated SA to the thickness of fishing layer, the mean for all tows of Árni Friðriksson ratio between density of redfish distribution in the whole water column and in the fishing layer was used $\left(\left\langle\mathrm{SA}_{\text {TOTAL }} / \mathrm{SA}\right\rangle=2.23\right)$. As a result, estimated regression was accepted as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{AF}}=2.5493 * \text { Catch } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it is seen that trawl efficiency (at least as resulted from the studied tows of type 1 ) is estimated to be 20 times lower than for instance on Walther Herwig-III (equation (3), which had similar trawl).

Correlation analysis of acoustic values and catches showed that the best correlation, as a rule, is reached if acoustic values is taken for the whole water column where redfish could be detected. This could be related to fish behaviour (avoidance) in the area of trawl operation. In most tows in depth less than 500 m , redfish seem to move down in front of the trawl mouth by $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$. Therefore, it could be more correct to consider correlation between catches and $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {total }}$ (i.e. by all depths) and subsequently to choose an optimum algorithm to convert $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {Total }}$ to acoustic SA values corresponding to the vertical opening of the trawl.

## Biological and hydrographic measurements

Standard biological observations needed for the acoustic assessment were carried out, as decided at the preparatory meeting in Bergen (Anon, 2001a).

In addition, otoliths were collected and observations on external and partly muscular melanosis, parasite infestation as well as on stomach contents were recorded. Samples for studies within the EU Project REDFISH (QLK5-CT1999-01222) were also collected onboard all vessels.

On all vessels, temperature and salinity measurements were made with CTD probes, usually after each haul and at the turning points of the cruise down to 1000 m depth (Figure 1). During the survey, 155 CTD stations were taken, whereof 52 were made by Atlantniro, 36 by Bjarni Sæmundsson, 25 by Árni Friðriksson and 28 by Walther Herwig III. The Russian oceanographic section (so-called $3-\mathrm{K}$ section -9 standard stations) in the Irminger Sea was included in the Joint survey programme and taken in June by G.O.Sars down to the bottom.

## Results

## Acoustic assessment of redfish.

The means of integrated values $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{nm}^{2}\right)$ within the statistical rectangles are given in Figure 8 . The average $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ value of all rectangles was about 3.2 with a standard deviation of the mean of 1.27 . As can been seen in Figure 8, it is only in the SW part that the distribution area was not covered. The stock abundance estimate of oceanic redfish within the covered area of $420,000 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ amounts to about 1.4 billion individuals or 715000 tonnes. Details are given in Table 2 and Tables $4-9$ where the number of fish is divided according to the proportion of males and females and the corresponding mean weight obtained from the biological samples within sub-areas.

The average depth of the deep scattering layer (DSL) and standard deviation is shown in Figure 9, combined for all vessels. As seen, the depth of the layer that redfish can be detected is, on average, only between 150 and 220 m during the nighttime but increases to its maximum of about 330 m around noon. As a consequence, the redfish is hard to detect and measure below those depths. The depth distribution of the redfish observed on Bjarni Sæmundsson and Árni Friðriksson is shown on Figure 10. With the threshold used ( -80 dB ), the expected underestimate in the depth intervals indicated in the figure ranges from $028 \%$ with a weighted mean of $7 \%$. The diurnal distribution of the acoustic values is shown in Figure 11.

The results of the acoustic inter-calibration (Figure 2) are highly dependent on the depth interval chosen and it is questionable whether the data are representative for redfish since the main scatters within the two depth intervals are either plankton or myctophids. The observed differences in the relationships between depth intervals could indicate either the variation of the local abundance of scatters or instrumental threshold effects. Our choice was therefore to depend on individual calibration of the acoustic equipment onboard each vessel.

## Experimental redfish abundance estimation

Estimation of redfish distribution by the trawl method both inside and outside the sound scattering layer for all three types of tows was done by conversion of catches (Catch, kilo per mile) to equivalent acoustic estimates of density $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ with the account for regression coefficients of trawl efficiency and coefficient $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}}$ allowing for the width of the entire depth range of redfish distribution relative to vertical opening of the trawl in each particular tow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}=\text { Catch } * \mathrm{~K} * \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{H}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of "layer by layer" tows in the sound scattering layer (DSL) and below (tows of type 2 and 3 ), $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was defined by towing depth:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{MAX}}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{MIN}}+\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{TR}}\right) / \mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{TR}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\text {MAX }}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {MIN }}$ are maximum and minimum depth of headline way during tow, and $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{TR}}$ is the mean vertical opening of the trawl relative to which regression coefficients K were calculated. In tows of type $3, \mathrm{H}_{\text {MIN }}$ in most cases was taken 500 m , and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ varied from 650 to 950 m . In tows of type 2 with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{MAX}}=450 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {MIN }}$ varied from 50 to 300 m in dependence on depth of the uppermost edge of the DSL.

For "target" tows of type 1, in each of them $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was taken as a ratio between SA for redfish in the entire "acoustic layer" above $500 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{SA}_{\text {TOtAL }}\right)$ and SA just in the fishing layer.

According to the results of the regression analysis, the coefficients K (formula 12) for each vessel were used:

| Wather Herwig-III | $: \mathrm{K}=0.132$ | (tows of type 1, 2, 3) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atlantniro | $: \mathrm{K}=0.2568$ | (tows of type 1, 2, 3) |
| Bjarni Sæmundsson | $: \mathrm{K}=0.2621$ | (tows of type 1, 2, 3) |
| Árni Friðriksson | $: \mathrm{K}_{1}=2.5493$ | (tows of type 1) |
| Árni Friðriksson | $: \mathrm{K}_{2}=0.2621$ | (tows of type 2 and 3) |

The reason we chose two different K-coefficients for Árni Friðriksson is the following. The use of the regression coefficient $K_{1}$ for tows of type 2 and 3 leads to unreasonably high estimates of $\mathrm{SA}_{\operatorname{tr}}$ (up to 200-300) which is in contradiction to the results obtained from other vessels at adjacent tracks (stations). Therefore, we make the assumption that the efficiency of the trawl used by Árni Friðriksson is likely to increase with increase in depth. For example, a comparison of results of tows by Árni Friðriksson and Bjarni Sæmundsson in the same area (in the eastern part of Subarea A) shows that catches in tows of type 3 were similar for both vessels (within 10-15 kg per mile). In this relation for tows of type 3 and 2 by Árni Friðriksson, a coefficient $K_{2}$ was taken equal to the regression coefficient of Bjarni Sæmundsson.

Figures 12 and 13 shows the redfish distribution both above and below 500 m as derived from the above mentioned method. The circles indicate units of $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}$. It is clearly seen that having "normalised" the size of catches to K and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{H}}$ coefficients using formulas (12), the distribution in $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ above 500 m reflects fairly adequately the distribution of redfish obtained with the acoustic instruments. The highest catch rates below 500 m depth were in the north-eastern part of the area and decreased towards the south-western part.

Estimates of $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ by subareas were defined as arithmetical mean. Estimates of $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ for trawl stations located on "borders" of the Subareas when averaging were taken with the statistical weight of 0,5 each. Totally, there were 9 such "border" tows ( 1 of type 1,3 of type 2,5 of type 3 ). Tows of G.O. Sars, (except for 4 trawls of type 1 and 2), were not used when estimating the total abundance as G.O. Sars used a small mid-water trawl, which considerably differed in parameters from the trawls of the other four vessels. The four above mentioned tows in Subarea A with

0 -catches of redfish were, nevertheless, included into the estimation, as they allowed to some extent to compensate for bad coverage of tows above 500 m in the north-eastern area.

After obtaining the $\mathrm{SA}_{\text {tr }}$ per each subarea A-F, the estimation number and biomass was calculated using the same target strength (20Log length - 71.3) as for the acoustically observed SA values. The results are given in Tables 10a and 10 b , based on the data obtained when using a linear relationship between catch and acoustics. It was considered impossible to only include the data in the scattering layer as most of the hauls of type 2 were taken during dark hours, when acoustic measurements were not usable. Therefore a part of the biomass obtained with acoustic method is involved in the assessment obtained by the trawl method for the redfish inside the sound scattering layer above 500 m .

In order to obtain total redfish biomass in layers above 500 m , tows of type 1 and 2 were combined in one category. As a result, assessment of the redfish stock distributed above 500 m obtained by the trawl method constituted 1075 thou. tonnes ( 2 billion individuals; Table 9a). About 1060 thou. tonnes ( 1.4 billion individuals) were estimated to be at depths below 500 m (Table 9b).

By applying a power regression between the catches and the SA values of the Icelandic vessels, the abundance above 500 m is calculated to be about $10 \%$ higher or about 1234 thous. tonnes. The abundance below 500 m is calculated to be 2177 thous. tonnes using the power regression, which is almost twice as the value obtained when using the linear relationship.

## Biology and hydrographic measurements

Length and weight. In the layers shallower than 500 m , the percentage of males exceeded the females and compiled $65.9 \%$. The proportion of females has decreased steadily within the past decade. In the layer deeper than 500 m , the sex ratio was similar ( $59.5 \%$ males, $40.5 \%$ females). Fish length in catches ranged from 20 to 56 cm . The mean length of redfish in the upper layer (<500 m) was 34.4 cm and the mean weight was 546 g . In the lower layer (>500 m ), the mean length was 39.4 cm and the mean weight was 781 g . In sub-areas A and B, the mean length of redfish caught in the deeper layer was $4-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ higher than for redfish in the upper layer, whereas in sub-areas $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ and F , the sizes of redfish were similar in both layers (Tables 4-9).

The length frequencies from all trawl stations were aggregated by area and depth and scaled to abundance, both using the acoustic data as well as the trawl method. Those values are listed in Table 11 and illustrated in Figures 1415. The peak at 35 cm of the length frequency above 500 m (Table 11a) has also been observed in previous surveys. However, there are indications of recruitment of fish smaller than 30 cm , as observed in 1999. In sub-area F, about $40 \%$ of the fish were $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$. In the layer $>500 \mathrm{~m}$ (Table 11b), two peaks are visible at 35 and 43 cm .

Food and feeding. Analysis on feeding and food composition is given in Table 12. In both the shallower and deeper layer, a great proportion of the redfish stomachs was everted. Of those which were not everted, $83 \%$ of the investigated redfish from the upper layer had food items in the stomachs, as the proportion in the lower layer was $42 \%$. In the upper layer, the redfish fed mostly on Amphipods, Euphausids and small squid. In the lower layer, the redfish were also eating the above mentioned prey organisms, but in addition, shrimp and small fishes were also rather dominant (Table 12).

Maturity stages. Table 13 lists the maturity stages by sex and sub-areas A-F above and below 500 m . The stages are described in the Report of the Study Group on Redfish Stocks (ICES CM 2001/D:04). The great majority of both males and females were identified as maturing ( $39-82 \%$, Stage 2 for males, Stage M for females), as expected from earlier investigations. In comparison with the 1994, 1996 and 1999 surveys, the proportion of juveniles was considerably lower for males and slightly higher for females in both depth zones. Males and females in the shallower layer were predominantly in the recovery stage, whereas in the deeper layer, males were mostly maturing and females mostly in the postspawning stage. Since some inconsistencies were observed in the maturity staging, a reliable analysis of geographical effects was not possible. Further research and training is necessary to distinguish between the different stages. Figure 16 displays the maturity ogive by sex and depth zones. In general, all fish at 39 cm length were identified as being mature. $50 \%$ maturity is reached at around 33 cm length for both sexes. Females caught in the lower layer mature larger.

Parasite infestation. Table 14 gives results of the parasitological investigation of redfish infested by Sphyrion lumpi, the occurrence of pigmented patches (of red and black colour) on the fish skin, as well as of melanin in muscular tissue, implemented according to Bakay and Karasev, 2001. Preliminary analysis of the data obtained in combination with those from studying the parasite fauna of redfish indicate a single reproductive part of this species population to inhabit the Irminger Sea pelagial and adjacent area of the Labrador Sea. As in previous years, the infestation by $S$. lumpi and occurrence of pigmented patches on redfish skin was higher in females than in males throughout the whole area investigated.

On the whole, infestation by S. lumpi in redfish males made up $17.6 \%$ and $31.2 \%$ in females in the layer shallower than 500 m . The occurrence of pigmented patches was $14.7 \%$ and $24.1 \%$, respectively. The data derived in 2001, indicate a relatively stable occurrence of pigmented patches and melanin in muscles in fish from the upper layer of the pelagial, compared to 1999 (Anon., 1999; Sigurdsson et al., 1999), while the infestation by S. lumpi decreased to about half of the percentage observed in 1999. As for the fish inhabiting the layer below 500 m , a similar pattern is observed for them. Variability of the data characterising the occurrence of lesions in redfish is noted for the subareas surveyed. As a result of the preliminary analysis, these differences are conditioned by peculiarities of the length-age composition of catches (samples) and vertical age stratification of redfish aggregations (Table 14).

Age readings. The text table below shows the number of age determined S. mentella, collected on G.O.Sars in the northern part of the area. Lowest and highest age, and mean age $\pm 1$ standard error are shown. The material was grouped in two depth groups, i.e. shallower and deeper than 500 meters. It is worth noticing that the mean age of the S. mentella currently fished upon in the area deeper than 500 meters was 30 years. The age-length relationship is shown in Figure 17. Otoliths were collected on all vessels, but the results are not available yet.

| Depth interval | Number fish age <br> determined | Lowest age <br> [year] | Highest age <br> [year] | Mean age <br> [year] | St. error <br> [year] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shallower than 500 m | 26 | 8 | 38 | 22 | 9 |
| Deeper than 500 m | 682 | 8 | 65 | 30 | 10 |

Hydrographic data. A total number of 155 CTD-stations were carried out during the survey (Figure 2) measuring temperature and salinity against depth mostly down to depths of ca. 1000 m . Due to the early stage of processing of the CTD data, only temperature is included here.

The survey covers the area from the eastern side of the Reykjanes Ridge in the Iceland Basin westwards into the Irminger Sea, the eastern and central parts of the Labrador Sea south to $51^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. The oceanographic conditions of the area depend on cyclone current systems bound usually called as Subpolar Gyre (Dickson et al., 1988) to the basins involved - Irminger and Labrador Seas. This current system includes the "warm" Irminger Current along the Reykjanes Ridge and west of Iceland around the Irminger Sea, the cold East and West Greenland Currents along Greenland, the cold Labrador Current in the western part of the Labrador Sea along the Canadian coast, and at last the southern boundary of the overall current system at the "warm" northern boundaries of the North Atlantic (Bersch et al., 1999).

The main water masses in the upper 1000m layer in this area are :

- the warm, saline and less dense Subpolar Mode Water (SPMW), also referred to as Modified North Atlantic Water (MNAW) in the Irminger Current found at the eastern and northern boundaries of the Irminger Sea;
- the colder, fresher or less saline and denser Subarctic Water (SAW) occupying the upper layers of the central parts of Subpolar Gyre in the Irminger and Labrador Seas.
- the cold and low saline Labrador Sea Water (LSW) found in the intermediate layers within the Subpolar Gyre far to the southeast and southwest of Cape Farewell. It spreads at $600-2000 \mathrm{~m}$ depth both eastwards and southwards into the Irminger Sea as well as into the Iceland Basin east of the Reykjanes Ridge.
- the warmer, saline and less dense North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) which is associated with the North Atlantic Current, in the south of the study area.

The present oceanographic conditions observed during the survey are illustrated by the horizontal distribution (Figures 18-20) and vertical sections (Figures 21-26) of temperature. The general temperature distribution in July

2001 in the Irminger and Labrador Seas at 200 m depth are from $7-8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the East over the Reykjanes Ridge to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ east of Greenland and below $3.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the Labrador Sea. Also the horizontal temperature distribution reveals the presence of a number of eddies in the survey area. Furthermore, a general heating-up at the surface to $9-11{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was observed.

During the survey, the highest concentrations of acoustically measured pelagic redfish at depths less than 500 m were found in the Subarctic Water, south of Cape Farewell between 52 and $58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and associated with temperatures of around 3.3 to $5.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see Figures 18 and 19).

Below 500 m depth, the conditions were more complex. However, the hydrographic observations indicated that the highest concentrations of redfish were associated with eddy activity and fronts in the northern part of the area with temperatures around $3.1-5.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see Figure 20).

## Discussion

## Acoustic assessment of redfish

The survey seems to cover horizontally most of the distribution area, but over 420,000 square nautical miles were covered, which is the most extensive acoustic estimation of redfish in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters so far. The boundaries of the horizontal distribution were more or less reached in all directions except in the southwestern part. Compared with earlier investigations at this time of year (Magnusson et al., 1994, 1996; Anon 1999a), the redfish were more westerly (and south-westerly) distributed.

The present results show that in sub-area A, where the highest concentration of redfish was observed prior to 1999, the biomass recorded was similar as in 1999 which is only about $10 \%$ of measured abundance in 1994 and 1996. In sub-area B, also as in 1999, less than $30 \%$ of the 1994 and 1996 value is measured. In sub-area E, south-west of Cape Farewell, the abundance was lower than in previous years and was only about $45 \%$ of the maximum in 1994. In areas C, D and F, the coverage has never been as extensive as now and the acoustic abundance is higher than ever observed. In sub-area D, the abundance now is more than 4 times the value in 1994 and 5-6 times the abundance in 1996 and 1999.

Even though discarding the acoustic data obtained during the times of the most pronounced mixing with the scattering layer, the diurnal variation of the integration values was evident. In Figure 11 the mean normalised redfish integrator values during this survey, averaged over every two hours of the day are shown. During night time (i.e. from about 22:00-08:00 GMT), the integration values usually decrease. Compared with the surveys in 1994-1996 (Magnússon et al., 1994, Magnússon et al., 1996) this is, however, not as pronounced now as it has been.

The mixing with the deep scattering layer will certainly affect the results. The observed depth distribution of the redfish in this survey was similar to the observed distribution in 1996 and in 1999 (Magnússon et al., 1996, Anon 1999). However, the last surveys do show a deeper distribution compared with the international surveys conducted in 1992, and 1994 (Magnússon et al., 1992a,b and Magnússon et al., 1994, 1996). Whether this indicates that the acoustic measurements of the oceanic redfish were in general more affected by mixing with the scattering layer this year as compared to earlier surveys is difficult to reveal. When trawling within the deep scattering layer down to 500 m , i.e. in the layer where redfish could not be distinguished from other scatters (type 2 hauls on Figure 1), the catches were often relatively high in areas where acoustically measured abundance was relatively high (Figures 8 and 13). Furthermore, in areas of low acoustic values, i.e. in the north-eastern part the catches were low. This supports the idea of the pelagic redfish mixing with the scattering layer. It is however difficult to speculate whether this was also the case or not in the former surveys, as in previous years hauls were infrequently taken in the scattering layer.

Since 1994, the results of the acoustic estimate indicate a drastic decreasing trend (Table 3). In the same period, the total catch has been about 800000 tonnes, at the same time as the acoustically measured abundance estimate has decreased by about 1.5 million tonnes. However, it should not be excluded that the results form earlier years might have been an overestimation of the abundance. During the same period, the fishery has also developed towards greater depth and towards bigger fish and in recent years the majority of the catch has been caught at depths below 500 m . (Anon. 2001b).

During the survey, the acoustic data obtained was scrutinised down to $800-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Redfish was detected with the acoustic equipment down to about 900 m , but the density was very low and it was often very difficult to distinguish the redfish from other scatterers. During this survey, the redfish occurred in and below the deep scattering layer of meso-pelagic organisms. Due to the uncertainty in distinguishing between redfish and other organism below 500 m , as well as a poor $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$-ratio, a reliable estimate of redfish abundance below 500 m by acoustic means has been considered impossible with the equipment used. The preliminary results using the towed transducer (G.O.Sars) indicate that using a transducer lowered into the scattering layer might improve the technique of measuring redfish acoustically. The results are, however, not worked up yet.

## Experimental redfish abundance estimation

During Russian trawl - acoustic surveys in 1995 and 1997 attempts were made to assess the redfish stock at depth lower than 500 m . According to an expert estimation in 1995 the stock constituted nearly 800000 tonnes (unpublished data) and in 1997 it was estimated to be 500,000 tonnes (unpublished data). In the joint survey in 1999 (Anon, 1999) attempt was made to estimate the abundance below 500 based on similar method as presented here. It was estimated that about 500000 could be below 500 m depth with a high degree of uncertainty. The estimate given here (Tables 9 and 10) based on the experimental calculations both above and below 500 m depth, must just be considered as an attempt to measure the abundance in and below the acoustic layer as the applicability of the applied method can only be verified after replicate measurements. The uncertainty in the results are great and for instance by changing the regression type used for converting the catch to acoustic values changes the results below 500 m by a factor of 2 .

Based on the trawl data below 500 m , one can see that although the catch rates are usually low, the distribution area of the redfish at those depths is very large and exceeds the distribution area of the redfish above 500 m . It is clear, and should be kept in mind, that all the assumptions made in the calculation of the abundance make the calculations unreliable.

Furthermore, the relatively poor fit between the observed catches and acoustic values above 500 m (type 1 hauls) for some vessels (on which the trawl estimation is based), decreases the reliability of the results given. There might be several reasons for this poor fit, but for Árni Friðriksson, which gave the worst fit, investigations are taking place to verify if the trawl used is suitable for redfish investigations. It is therefore strongly recommended to interpret the magnitude of the redfish abundance obtained with the trawl method with great care.

The way the total biomass estimate by the trawl method above 500 m is derived, it is not possible to combine the acoustic results with the trawl results, both due to behavioural factors such as avoidance, but also due to the design of the trawl hauls. SA estimates for redfish obtained at night time, when the major part of fish is "disguised" in the sound scattering layer, which is rising almost up to the surface, are essentially underestimated. Therefore, night estimates of acoustic values are interpolated to the nearby estimates obtained in the morning and evening hours. At the same time, this portion of the redfish biomass is estimated by the trawl method based on tows of type 2 conducted at night.

## Biology and hydrographic measurements

The observed length distribution pattern of pelagic redfish in the shallower layer (150-450m) of the surveyed area is similar to previous surveys. As in 1999 , a considerable proportion of fish $<30 \mathrm{~cm}$ was found, mainly in the western and southwestern part of the area (sub-areas E \& F). In sub-area E, a small peak around 30-31cm indicates further recruitment of redfish, probably belonging to the recruiting redfish observed in 1999 around 28-29 cm which are thought to have migrated from the East Greenland shelf (Stransky, 2000). This would also imply slower growth of these redfish (around $1 \mathrm{~cm} /$ year) in the pelagic environment, compared to the growth observed for demersal $S$. mentella (around $2 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{year}$; e.g. Magnússon et al. 1988). This might also be due to reaching maturity. In sub-area F, representing the southwest corner of the survey area, about one fourth of the fish were $27-29 \mathrm{~cm}$. It is unclear, from which area these fish recruit. In the layer $>500 \mathrm{~m}$, the observed two peaks in the length distribution is due to an area effect, since a large proportion of smaller fish was found in sub-areas D and E.

The overall picture from the maturity, stomach and parasite data is that redfish inhabiting the layer shallower than 500 m do not differ significantly from redfish found deeper than 500 m . The results are similar to earlier observations
(Bakay, 1999; Bogovski and Bakay, 1989; Magnusson et al., 1992; Magnusson et al., 1996).
As stated in the report from 1999 (Anon 1999b), hydrographic conditions in the survey area had undergone pronounced changes (e.g. Pedchenko 1997, Bersch et al. 1999, Mortensen and Valdimarsson 1999; Pedchenko, 2000). These changes were revealed in reports from joint surveys in 1994, 1996 to 1999 and consisted in a gradual warming over the most part of the Irminger Sea. The progress of the heating can be followed at 200 m depth as a southwestwards gradual withdrawal of the 3.5 and $4.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is otherms out of the Irminger Sea between 1996 and 1999 (see Figure 26). The heating tendency was also reflected in the vertical temperature distribution (Figures 21-25). The conditions in July 2001 survey were quite similar to the situation in 1999, but with slightly higher temperatures in the eastern and central parts of the Irminger Sea.

Analysis of the results from the surveys in last decade and oceanographic conditions during the same period, allows following conclusions:

Strong positive anomalies of temperature observed in the upper layer of the Irminger Sea with a maximum in 1998, are related to an overall warming of North Atlantic waters in 1994-1999. These changes were also observed in the Irminger Current off Iceland (Malmberg et al., 2000) and in the Labrador Sea (Mortensen, Valdimarsson, 1999). Thus an increase in temperature and salinity has been found in the Irminger Current since 1997 to higher values than for decades, as well as a withdrawal of the Labrador Sea water due to a slow-down of its formation by winter convection since the extreme year 1988 (ICES WGOH STATUS REPORT 2001).

Warming-up of the $0-200 \mathrm{~m}$ layer also had an effect on the distribution of redfish aggregations. With a temperature rise, major aggregations shifted to the south and southwest and the distribution depth increased from year to year, which probably was the main reason for a considerable underestimation of the redfish stock in the upper 500m layer in 1999 in comparison with TAS results in 1994 and 1996.

The results of the survey in June-July 2001 proved the conclusions (Pedchenko et al., 1997; Pedchenko, 2000) that feeding aggregations of mature redfish were distributed within the Subpolar Gyre and their location depended on the dynamics and structure of waters.

Temperature conditions in the upper layers of the Irminger Sea in July 2001 were similar to or even slightly warmer than those observed in July 1999, being thus the warmest for the period 1994-2001. That indicates a relatively strong Irminger Current ("warm and high saline") and a weak input of Labrador Sea Water ("cold and low saline") in the Irminger Sea.

## Concluding Remarks

A total of about 715.000 t redfish was measured acoustically above 500 m . It is clear that there has been a significant downward trend in the acoustically measured stock size estimate since 1994. Of the observed abundance, $47 \%$ was within NEAFC area and $53 \%$ in the NAFO area (Table 15).

The observed decrease in acoustic abundance since 1994 is very drastic and exceeds the removed biomass by a factor of 2 .

Redfish is mixed with the scattering layer.
The stock above 500 m is now observed more south-westerly and deeper than it was prior to 1999. Gradual increase in temperature in the survey area has been observed from 1994-1999 and the temperature in 2001is similar to the situation in 1999. This may have influenced the distribution pattern of the redfish in June -July 2001 as the highest concentration was found in the colder part of the survey area.

Based on the trawl method, About 1057 thous. t were estimated below 500 m using a linear relationship between catch and acoustic values. Using power relationship, the abundance is double. Based on the results given in this report the authors want to stress that the abundance estimate given, mu st be considered as highly uncertain, and is only given here as a very rough indicator of the abundance. Of the estimated abundance, $84 \%$ was within NEAFC area and $16 \%$ in the NAFO area (Table 15).

Based on the trawl method, About 1075 thous. t were estimated above 500 m using a linear relationship between catch and acoustic values. Based on the results given in this report the authors want to stress that the abundance estimate given, must be considered as highly uncertain, and is only given here as a very rough indicator of the abundance. Furthermore, it is not possible to combine these results with the results obtained with the acoustic instruments. Of the estimated abundance, $36 \%$ was within NEAFC area and $64 \%$ in the NAFO area (Table 15).

It is not possible to combine the results from the acoustics and the results from the trawl method.
Below 500 m depth, the hydrographic observations indicate that the highest concentrations of redfish are associated with eddies and fronts.
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Table 1. Instrument settings of the acoustic equipment onboard the participating vessels. The sound speed value is approximate for the prevailing hydrographic condition in the survey area.

| Vessel | Bjarni Sæmundsson | Atlantniro | Árni <br> Friðriksson | G.O. Sars | Walther Herwig III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Echo sounder/ | Simrad EK500 | Simrad EK500 | Simrad EK500 | Simrad EK60 | Simrad EK500 |
| integrator | /BI500 | /BI500 | /BI500 | /BEI500 | /BI500 |
| Frequency | 38 kHz | 38 kHz | 38 kHz | multi | 38 kHz |
| Transmission power | 2000 W | 2000 W | 2000 W | 1000 W | 2000 W |
| Absorption coefficient | $10 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ | $10 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ | $10 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ | $10 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ | $10 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| Pulselength | 1.0 ms | $1.0 \mathrm{~ms}(3.0 \mathrm{~ms})$ | 1.0 ms | multi | 3.0 ms |
| Bandwidth | Wide | Wide (Narrow) | Wide | multi | Narrow |
| Transducer type | ES38-B | ES 38-B | ES38-B | multi | ES38-B |
| 2-way beam angle | -20.6 dB | -21.2 | -20.9 dB | multi | -20.9 |
| Integration threshold | $-80 \mathrm{~dB} / / \mathrm{m} 3$ | $-80 \mathrm{~dB} / / \mathrm{m} 3(-84)$ | $-80 \mathrm{~dB} / / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  | $-80 \mathrm{~dB} / / \mathrm{m} 3$ |
| Sound speed | 1470m/s | 1470m/s | 1470m/s | 1470m/s | 1470m/s |

Table 2. Results of the acoustic abundance computation (in numbers *1000 and in '000 tonnes) and area coverage for redfish at depths down to 500 m for each sub-area.

|  | Sub-Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | Total | Unit |
| Area coverage | 126179 | 106502 | 25616 | 76052 | 52840 | 34481 | 421671 | $\mathrm{~nm}^{2}$ |
| No of fishes | 168068 | 402917 | 49947 | 511538 | 220545 | 17383 | 1370399 | 000 |
| Abundance | 88 | 220 | 30 | 267 | 103 | 7 | 716 | Thous.tonnes |

Table 3. Results (biomass in '000 t) for the international surveys conducted in 1994, 1996 and 1999.

|  | Sub-area |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year | A | B | C | D | E | Total |
| 1994 | 673 | 1228 | - | 63 | 226 | 2190 |
| 1996 | 639 | 749 | - | 33 | 155 | 1576 |
| 1999 | 72 | 317 | 16 | 42 | 167 | 614 |

Table 4a. Redfish trawl data < 500m. Sub-Area A. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 25 | 187 | 4 | 199 | 2 | 191 | 6 |
| 26 | 235 | 2 |  |  | 235 | 2 |
| 27 | 241 | 3 | 222 | 5 | 229 | 8 |
| 28 | 236 | 4 | 258 | 5 | 248 | 9 |
| 29 | 283 | 6 | 303 | 1 | 286 | 7 |
| 30 | 316 | 8 | 324 | 9 | 321 | 17 |
| 31 | 348 | 19 | 331 | 5 | 344 | 24 |
| 32 | 397 | 15 | 392 | 7 | 396 | 22 |
| 33 | 438 | 16 | 425 | 13 | 432 | 29 |
| 34 | 493 | 32 | 455 | 6 | 487 | 38 |
| 35 | 542 | 37 | 491 | 10 | 531 | 47 |
| 36 | 569 | 45 | 563 | 16 | 567 | 61 |
| 37 | 631 | 24 | 545 | 15 | 598 | 39 |
| 38 | 681 | 25 | 659 | 14 | 673 | 39 |
| 39 | 734 | 12 | 722 | 16 | 727 | 28 |
| 40 | 775 | 5 | 744 | 9 | 755 | 14 |
| 41 | 838 | 4 | 723 | 1 | 815 | 5 |
| 42 |  |  | 872 | 1 | 872 | 1 |
| 43 |  |  | 965 | 1 | 965 | 1 |
| Total number |  | 261 |  | 136 |  | 397 |
| Avg. weight | 522 |  | 521 |  | 522 |  |
| Avg. length | 34.6 |  | 35.0 |  | 34.7 |  |

Table 4 b . Redfish trawl data > 500m. Sub-Area A. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 22 | 130 | 1 |  |  | 130 | 1 |
| 23 | 134 | 1 |  |  | 134 | 1 |
| 24 | 167 | 2 | 152 | 1 | 162 | 3 |
| 25 | 183 | 2 | 184 | 7 | 184 | 9 |
| 26 | 199 | 1 | 209 | 6 | 207 | 7 |
| 27 | 238 | 3 | 232 | 5 | 235 | 8 |
| 28 | 272 | 4 | 251 | 6 | 259 | 10 |
| 29 | 276 | 9 | 278 | 9 | 277 | 18 |
| 30 | 307 | 12 | 319 | 13 | 313 | 25 |
| 31 | 366 | 16 | 334 | 13 | 352 | 29 |
| 32 | 375 | 21 | 377 | 20 | 376 | 41 |
| 33 | 423 | 35 | 430 | 20 | 425 | 55 |
| 34 | 460 | 42 | 462 | 16 | 460 | 58 |
| 35 | 507 | 60 | 513 | 23 | 509 | 83 |
| 36 | 545 | 60 | 546 | 35 | 545 | 95 |
| 37 | 600 | 70 | 599 | 40 | 600 | 110 |
| 38 | 664 | 66 | 666 | 49 | 665 | 115 |
| 39 | 720 | 105 | 704 | 32 | 716 | 137 |
| 40 | 798 | 108 | 784 | 48 | 794 | 156 |
| 41 | 839 | 132 | 864 | 85 | 849 | 217 |
| 42 | 914 | 112 | 920 | 82 | 916 | 194 |
| 43 | 971 | 112 | 987 | 99 | 978 | 211 |
| 44 | 1026 | 97 | 1058 | 98 | 1042 | 195 |
| 45 | 1107 | 85 | 1126 | 91 | 1117 | 176 |
| 46 | 1126 | 44 | 1198 | 45 | 1162 | 89 |
| 47 | 1214 | 26 | 1246 | 21 | 1228 | 47 |
| 48 | 1294 | 10 | 1316 | 15 | 1307 | 25 |
| 49 | 1313 | 1 | 1363 | 3 | 1350 | 4 |
| 50 |  |  | 1327 | 1 | 1327 | 1 |
| 56 | 1250 | 1 |  |  | 1250 | I |
| Total number |  | 1238 |  | 883 |  | 2121 |
| Avg. weight | 791 |  | 844 |  | 813 |  |
| Avg. length | 39.9 |  | 40.4 |  | 40.1 |  |

Table 5a. Redfish trawl data < 500m. Sub-Area B. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 23 | 165 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 150 | 2 |
| 24 | 168 | 1 |  |  | 168 | 1 |
| 25 | 187 | 2 |  |  | 187 | 2 |
| 26 | 210 | 1 |  |  | 210 | 1 |
| 27 | 228 | 1 | 245 | 6 | 243 | 7 |
| 28 | 271 | 6 | 275 | 2 | 272 | 8 |
| 29 | 307 | 6 | 311 | 5 | 309 | 11 |
| 30 | 333 | 5 | 326 | 6 | 329 | 11 |
| 31 | 368 | 9 | 383 | 4 | 373 | 13 |
| 32 | 401 | 11 | 387 | 8 | 395 | 19 |
| 33 | 447 | 12 | 412 | 3 | 440 | 15 |
| 34 | 501 | 60 | 508 | 5 | 502 | 65 |
| 35 | 540 | 47 | 526 | 18 | 536 | 65 |
| 36 | 596 | 56 | 578 | 19 | 591 | 75 |
| 37 | 640 | 38 | 627 | 32 | 634 | 70 |
| 38 | 661 | 19 | 667 | 28 | 665 | 47 |
| 39 | 713 | - | 730 | 21 | 725 | 30 |
| 40 | 756 | 5 | 780 | 9 | 771 | 14 |
| 41 | 806 | 1 | 863 | 4 | 851 | 5 |
| 42 |  |  | 751 | 1 | 751 | 1 |
| 43 |  |  | 960 | 2 | 960 | 2 |
| Total number |  | 290 |  | 174 |  | 464 |
| Avg. weight | 538 |  | 585 |  | 555 |  |
| Avg. length | 34.8 |  | 35.9 |  | 35.2 |  |

Table 5b. Redfish trawl data $>500 \mathrm{~m}$. Sub-Area B. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 23 | 145 | 1 |  |  | 145 | 1 |
| 24 | 158 | 1 |  |  | 158 | 1 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 190 | 2 | 190 | 2 |
| 27 | 244 | 2 | 235 | 3 | 238 | 5 |
| 28 | 268 | 5 | 236 | 2 | 259 | 7 |
| 29 | 292 | 4 | 259 | 1 | 286 | 5 |
| 30 | 301 | 5 | 319 | 7 | 312 | 12 |
| 31 | 344 | 10 | 368 | 13 | 358 | 23 |
| 32 | 394 | 9 | 379 | 11 | 386 | 20 |
| 33 | 432 | 11 | 430 | 10 | 431 | 21 |
| 34 | 474 | 30 | 451 | 7 | 469 | 37 |
| 35 | 513 | 33 | 519 | 15 | 515 | 48 |
| 36 | 563 | 40 | 555 | 13 | 561 | 53 |
| 37 | 606 | 30 | 595 | 17 | 602 | 47 |
| 38 | 673 | 23 | 666 | 18 | 670 | 41 |
| 39 | 722 | 36 | 691 | 10 | 715 | 46 |
| 40 | 797 | 28 | 797 | 17 | 797 | 45 |
| 41 | 838 | 34 | 852 | 14 | 842 | 48 |
| 42 | 924 | 44 | 944 | 24 | 931 | 68 |
| 43 | 990 | 39 | 992 | 32 | 991 | 71 |
| 44 | 1083 | 31 | 1094 | 25 | 1088 | 56 |
| 45 | 1145 | 18 | 1209 | 17 | 1176 | 35 |
| 46 | 1200 | 13 | 1206 | 16 | 1203 | 29 |
| 47 | 1202 | 8 | 1244 | 5 | 1218 | 13 |
| 48 | 1261 | 1 | 1338 | 4 | 1323 | 5 |
| 49 | 1321 | 1 |  |  | 1321 | 1 |
| Total number |  | 457 |  | 283 |  | 740 |
| Avg. weight | 748 |  | 788 |  | 764 |  |
| Avg. length | 38.9 |  | 39.3 |  | 39.0 |  |

Table 6a. Redfish trawl data < 500m. Sub-Area C. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 32 | 405 | 2 | 383 | 1 | 397 | 3 |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 458 | 6 | 442 | 1 | 455 | 7 |
| 35 | 527 | 6 | 538 | 3 | 530 | 9 |
| 36 | 416 | 4 | 554 | 5 | 492 | 9 |
| 37 | 634 | 3 | 632 | 5 | 633 | 8 |
| 38 | 670 | 3 | 651 | 6 | 657 | 9 |
| 39 | 740 | 1 | 685 | 2 | 703 | 3 |
| 40 | 757 | 1 | 762 | 3 | 761 | 4 |
| 41 |  |  | 824 | 2 | 824 | 2 |
| Total number |  | 26 |  | 28 |  | 54 |
| Avg. weight | 530 |  | 628 |  | 581 |  |
| Avg. length | 35.6 |  | 37.3 |  | 36.5 |  |

Table 6b. Redfish trawl data > 500m. Sub-Area C. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 26 |  |  | 286 | 1 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 434 | 1 |  |  | 434 | 1 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 429 | 1 |  |  | 429 | 1 |
| 34 | 490 | 4 |  |  | 490 | 4 |
| 35 | 524 | 2 | 594 | 1 | 547 | 3 |
| 36 | 612 | 2 | 565 | 3 | 584 | 5 |
| 37 |  |  | 629 | 4 | 629 | 4 |
| 38 | 676 | 1 | 612 | 1 | 644 | 2 |
| 39 | 679 | 2 | 746 | 2 | 713 | 4 |
| 40 |  |  | 826 | 1 | 826 | 1 |
| 41 | 854 | 1 |  |  | 854 | 1 |
| 42 | 887 | 1 |  |  | 887 | 1 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 1078 | 3 |  |  | 1078 | 3 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $46$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  | 1346 | 2 | 1346 | 2 |
| Total number |  | 18 |  | 15 |  | 33 |
| Avg. weight | 672 |  | 714 |  | 691 |  |
| Avg. length | 37.3 |  | 37.8 |  | 37.5 |  |

Table 7a. Redfish trawl data < 500m. Sub-Area D. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 195 | 1 | 216 | 1 | 206 | 2 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 260 | 1 |  |  | 260 | 1 |
| 27 | 288 | 5 | 275 | 3 | 283 | 8 |
| 28 | 303 | 4 | 323 | 3 | 312 | 7 |
| 29 | 335 | 15 | 328 | 17 | 332 | 32 |
| 30 | 374 | 28 | 362 | 14 | 370 | 42 |
| 31 | 415 | 40 | 405 | 25 | 411 | 65 |
| 32 | 457 | 75 | 459 | 21 | 457 | 96 |
| 33 | 497 | 176 | 520 | 26 | 500 | 202 |
| 34 | 540 | 238 | 565 | 60 | 545 | 298 |
| 35 | 582 | 239 | 608 | 81 | 588 | 320 |
| 36 | 634 | 151 | 641 | 99 | 637 | 250 |
| 37 | 689 | 96 | 687 | 84 | 688 | 180 |
| 38 | 745 | 57 | 741 | 54 | 743 | 111 |
| 39 | 782 | 20 | 792 | 31 | 788 | 51 |
| 40 | 870 | 9 | 900 | 12 | 887 | 21 |
| 41 | 893 | 1 | 964 | 3 | 946 | 4 |
| Total number |  | 1156 |  | 534 |  | 1690 |
| Avg. weight | 565 |  | 615 |  | 581 |  |
| Avg. length | 34.4 |  | 35.2 |  | 34.7 |  |

Table 7b. Redfish trawl data > 500m. Sub-Area D. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 25 |  |  | 202 | 1 | 202 | 1 |
| 26 | 244 | 1 |  |  | 244 | 1 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 323 | 1 |  |  | 323 | 1 |
| 30 | 366 | 2 |  |  | 366 | 2 |
| 31 | 372 | 1 | 440 | 1 | 406 | 2 |
| 32 | 477 | 2 | 498 | 1 | 484 | 3 |
| 33 | 493 | 2 | 481 | 2 | 487 | 4 |
| 34 | 516 | 4 | 526 | 1 | 518 | 5 |
| 35 | 583 | 7 | 588 | 4 | 585 | 11 |
| 36 | 640 | 2 | 656 | 3 | 649 | 5 |
| 37 | 709 | 2 | 670 | 3 | 686 | 5 |
| 38 | 736 | 2 | 707 | 2 | 721 | 4 |
| 39 | 767 | 1 |  |  | 767 | 1 |
| 40 | 795 | 1 |  |  | 795 | 1 |
| 41 |  |  | 909 | 2 | 909 | 2 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 959 | 1 |  |  | 959 | 1 |
| Total number |  | 29 |  | 20 |  | 49 |
| Avg. weight | 567 |  | 609 |  | 584 |  |
| Avg. length | 34.6 |  | 35.3 |  | 34.8 |  |

Table 8 a. Redfish trawl data $<500 \mathrm{~m}$. Sub-Area E. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 21 |  |  | 116 | 1 | 116 | 1 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 162 | 3 | 163 | 1 | 162 | 4 |
| 24 | 192 | 3 | 176 | 6 | 181 | 9 |
| 25 | 193 | 2 | 210 | 3 | 203 | 5 |
| 26 | 221 | 6 | 219 | 11 | 220 | 17 |
| 27 | 251 | 10 | 237 | 10 | 244 | 20 |
| 28 | 280 | 15 | 280 | 12 | 280 | 27 |
| 29 | 308 | 26 | 297 | 19 | 303 | 45 |
| 30 | 347 | 26 | 343 | 34 | 345 | 60 |
| 31 | 383 | 33 | 384 | 27 | 383 | 60 |
| 32 | 427 | 42 | 410 | 15 | 422 | 57 |
| 33 | 482 | 70 | 474 | 21 | 480 | 91 |
| 34 | 522 | 93 | 527 | 36 | 524 | 129 |
| 35 | 555 | 81 | 588 | 39 | 565 | 120 |
| 36 | 615 | 74 | 610 | 40 | 613 | 114 |
| 37 | 690 | 37 | 673 | 20 | 684 | 57 |
| 38 | 707 | 28 | 697 | 14 | 704 | 42 |
| 39 | 773 | 10 | 741 | 10 | 757 | 20 |
| 40 |  |  | 801 | 5 | 801 | 5 |
| 41 |  |  | 903 | 2 | 903 | 2 |
| Total number |  | 559 |  | 326 |  | 885 |
| Avg. weight | 506 |  | 480 |  | 497 |  |
| Avg. length | 33.5 |  | 32.9 |  | 33.3 |  |

Table 8 b. Redfish trawl data $>500 \mathrm{~m}$. Sub-Area E. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 22 | 120 | 1 | 133 | 1 | 127 | 2 |
| 23 | 171 | 1 |  |  | 171 | 1 |
| 24 | 170 | 1 | 212 | 1 | 191 | 2 |
| 25 | 204 | 1 | 202 | 2 | 202 | 3 |
| 26 | 207 | 2 |  |  | 207 | 2 |
| 27 | 226 | 1 | 239 | 1 | 232 | 2 |
| 28 | 260 | 3 |  |  | 260 | 3 |
| 29 | 299 | 8 | 312 | 2 | 302 | 10 |
| 30 | 328 | 3 | 348 | 3 | 338 | 6 |
| 31 |  |  | 352 | 3 | 352 | 3 |
| 32 | 426 | 4 | 391 | 3 | 411 | 7 |
| 33 | 481 | 5 | 469 | 2 | 478 | 7 |
| 34 | 517 | 8 | 514 | 2 | 516 | 10 |
| 35 | 537 | 9 | 586 | 2 | 546 | 11 |
| 36 | 613 | 7 | 593 | 2 | 608 | 9 |
| 37 | 648 | 6 | 579 | 1 | 638 | 7 |
| 38 | 697 | 3 | 666 | 4 | 679 | 7 |
| 39 |  |  | 764 | 1 | 764 | 1 |
| 40 | 855 | 1 | 790 | 2 | 811 | 3 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 1092 | 1 |  |  | 1092 | , |
| 45 | 1360 | 1 |  |  | 1360 | 1 |
| Total number |  | 66 |  | 32 |  | 98 |
| Avg. weight | 487 |  | 462 |  | 479 |  |
| Avg. length | 33.0 |  | 32.6 |  | 32.9 |  |

Table 9a. Redfish trawl data < 500m. Sub-Area F. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 20 |  |  | 92 | 1 | 92 | 1 |
| 21 |  |  | 121 | 1 | 121 | 1 |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 165 | 1 | 147 | 2 | 153 | 3 |
| 24 | 165 | 2 |  |  | 165 | 2 |
| 25 | 188 | 3 |  |  | 188 | 3 |
| 26 | 221 | 3 |  |  | 221 | 3 |
| 27 | 242 | 5 | 230 | 3 | 238 | 8 |
| 28 | 261 | 3 | 271 | 1 | 264 | 4 |
| 29 | 297 | 5 | 291 | 2 | 295 | 7 |
| 30 | 330 | 1 | 340 | 1 | 335 | 2 |
| 31 | 356 | 3 | 376 | 1 | 361 | 4 |
| 32 | 380 | 2 | 410 | 2 | 395 | 4 |
| 33 | 468 | 3 | 402 | 2 | 441 | 5 |
| 34 | 515 | 10 |  |  | 515 | 10 |
| 35 | 542 | 3 | 515 | 1 | 535 | 4 |
| 36 | 575 | 5 | 555 | 1 | 572 | 6 |
| 37 | 681 | 5 | 658 | 2 | 674 | 7 |
| 38 | 665 | 1 |  |  | 665 | 1 |
| 39 | 695 | 2 |  |  | 695 | 2 |
| 40 | 860 | 3 |  |  | 860 | 3 |
| Total number |  | 60 |  | 20 |  | 80 |
| Avg. weight | 441 |  | 339 |  | 415 |  |
| Avg. length | 32.0 |  | 29.5 |  | 31.4 |  |

Table 9 b. Redfish trawl data $>500 \mathrm{~m}$. Sub-Area F. Mean weight by length.

| Length (cm) | Males |  | Females |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers | Weight (g) | Numbers |
| 24 | 151 | 1 | 171 | 1 | 161 | 2 |
| 25 |  |  | 175 | 1 | 175 | 1 |
| 26 | 228 | 2 | 253 | 1 | 236 | 3 |
| 27 | 238 | 2 |  |  | 238 | 2 |
| 28 | 265 | 2 | 263 | 2 | 264 | 4 |
| 29 | 300 | 1 | 283 | 2 | 289 | 3 |
| 30 | 305 | 1 |  |  | 305 | 1 |
| 31 | 363 | 3 | 393 | 1 | 370 | 4 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 473 | 2 | 490 | 1 | 479 | 3 |
| 34 | 528 | 1 | 503 | 1 | 516 | 2 |
| 35 | 572 | 2 |  |  | 572 | 2 |
| 36 | 593 | 1 |  |  | 593 | 1 |
| 37 | 665 | 2 |  |  | 665 | 2 |
| 38 |  |  | 744 | 2 | 744 | 2 |
| 39 | 734 | 1 |  |  | 734 | 1 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 952 | 1 |  |  | 952 | 1 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 1068 | 1 |  |  | 1068 | 1 |
| Total number |  | 23 |  | 12 |  | 35 |
| Avg. weight | 461 |  | 380 |  | 433 |  |
| Avg. length | 32.6 |  | 30.3 |  | 31.8 |  |

Table 10a. Results from experimental estimation of redfish between 0 and 500 m .
The Redfish Abundance Estimation Results by the Trawl Method at the Depthes above 500 m (Trawlings type 1 and 2).
(TS = 20LgL - 71.3)

| Subarea | Area, sq.nm | Number of Trawlings | Mean SAtr, sq.m/sq.nm | Mean Length, cm | Mean Weight, <br> g | Abundance, $10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{sp}$ | Biomass, 10^3 tonn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 80982 | 20 | 2.17 | 34.57 | 530.4 | 157.61 | 83.59 |
| B | 103579 | 23 | 5.63 | 35.26 | 555.5 | 503.24 | 279.54 |
| C | 8464 | 2 | 6.60 | 36.54 | 591.7 | 44.92 | 26.58 |
| D | 62897 | 13 | 14.44 | 34.74 | 580.9 | 807.92 | 469.30 |
| E | 69000 | 15 | 6.17 | 33.32 | 496.6 | 411.48 | 204.33 |
| F | 32470 | 5 | 0.83 | 31.11 | 405.6 | 29.93 | 12.14 |
| Total | 357392 | 78 | 6.09 | 34.55 | 550.1 | 1955.10 | 1075.48 |

Table 10b. Results from experimental estimation of redfish at depths below 500 m .
The Redfish Abundance Estimation Results by the Trawl Method at the Depthes below 500 m (Trawlings type 3).
(TS = 20LgL - 71.3)

| Subarea | Area, sq.nm | Number of Trawlings | Mean SAtr, sq.m/sq.nm | Mean Length, cm | $\underset{a}{\text { Mean Weight, }}$ | Abundance, $10^{\wedge} 6 \mathrm{sp}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Biomass, } \\ & 10^{\wedge} 3 \text { tonn } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 125975 | 40 | 7.80 | 39.72 | 805.5 | 668.57 | 538.54 |
| B | 127125 | 27 | 4.75 | 39.12 | 767.8 | 423.97 | 325.54 |
| C | 28934 | 4 | 1.82 | 37.60 | 691.5 | 39.97 | 27.64 |
| D | 62897 | 6 | 2.49 | 35.20 | 602.7 | 135.42 | 81.62 |
| E | 69000 | 9 | 1.97 | 32.91 | 478.7 | 134.50 | 64.38 |
| F | 32470 | 5 | 1.28 | 31.82 | 433.2 | 43.97 | 19.05 |
| Total | 446401 | 91 | 4.42 | 38.19 | 730.6 | 1446.40 | 1056.77 |

Table 11a. Length distribution (numbers of fish in ' 000 per cm class) of redfish by area, derived from the acoustic estimate <500m.

| Sub-Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (cm) | A | B | C | D | E | F | Total |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 203078 | 203078 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232900 | 203078 | 435978 |
| 22 | 0 |  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 1623096 | 0 | 0 | 931601 | 609233 | 3163930 |
| 24 | 0 | 811548 | 0 | 565767 | 2096103 | 406156 | 3879573 |
| 25 | 2373893 | 1623096 | 0 | 0 | 1164501 | 609233 | 5770724 |
| 26 | 791298 | 811548 | 0 | 282884 | 3959305 | 609233 | 6454268 |
| 27 | 3165191 | 5680836 | 0 | 2263069 | 4658006 | 1624622 | 17391724 |
| 28 | 3560840 | 6492384 | 0 | 1980185 | 6288308 | 812311 | 19134028 |
| 29 | 2769542 | 8927028 | 0 | 9052276 | 10480513 | 1421544 | 32650904 |
| 30 | 6726031 | 8927028 | 0 | 11881113 | 13974017 | 406156 | 41914344 |
| 31 | 9495573 | 10550124 | 0 | 18387437 | 13974017 | 812311 | 53219462 |
| 32 | 8704275 | 15419412 | 2593311 | 27156829 | 13275316 | 812311 | 67961455 |
| 33 | 11473817 | 12173220 | 0 | 57142495 | 21193926 | 1015389 | 102998847 |
| 34 | 15034657 | 52750621 | 6051059 | 84299325 | 30044137 | 2030778 | 190210576 |
| 35 | 18595497 | 52750621 | 7779934 | 90522765 | 27948034 | 812311 | 198409161 |
| 36 | 24134581 | 60866101 | 7779934 | 70720910 | 26550633 | 1218467 | 191270624 |
| 37 | 15430306 | 56808361 | 6915496 | 50919055 | 13275316 | 1421544 | 144770079 |
| 38 | 15430306 | 38142757 | 7779934 | 31400084 | 9781812 | 203078 | 102737970 |
| 39 | 11078168 | 24346440 | 2593311 | 14427066 | 4658006 | 406156 | 57509147 |
| 40 | 5539084 | 11361672 | 3457748 | 5940556 | 1164501 | 609233 | 28072796 |
| 41 | 1978244 | 4057740 | 1728874 | 1131535 | 465801 | 0 | 9362194 |
| 42 | 395649 | 811548 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1207197 |
| 43 | 395649 | 1623096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2018745 |
| Total | 168068 | 402917 | 49947 | 511538 | 220545 | 17383 | 1370399 |
| Mean L | 34.7 | 35.2 | 36.5 | 34.7 | 33.3 | 31.4 | 34.6 |

Table 11b. Length distribution (numbers of fish in ' 000 per cm class) of redfish by area, derived from the trawl data $>500 \mathrm{~m}$.

| Sub-Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (cm) | A | B | C | D | E | F | Total |
| 22 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2745 | 0 | 3045 |
| 23 | 300 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 1372 | 0 | 2244 |
| 24 | 900 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 2745 | 2513 | 6729 |
| 25 | 2701 | 0 | 0 | 2764 | 4117 | 1256 | 10838 |
| 26 | 2101 | 1143 | 1211 | 2764 | 2745 | 3769 | 13732 |
| 27 | 2401 | 2857 | 0 | 0 | 2745 | 2513 | 10515 |
| 28 | 3001 | 4000 | 0 | 0 | 4117 | 5025 | 16143 |
| 29 | 5401 | 2857 | 0 | 2764 | 13724 | 3769 | 28515 |
| 30 | 7802 | 6857 | 1211 | 5527 | 8235 | 1256 | 30888 |
| 31 | 8702 | 13142 | 0 | 5527 | 4117 | 5025 | 36514 |
| 32 | 12603 | 11428 | 0 | 8291 | 9607 | 0 | 41929 |
| 33 | 16804 | 11999 | 1211 | 11055 | 9607 | 3769 | 54445 |
| 34 | 17705 | 21141 | 4845 | 13818 | 13724 | 2513 | 73746 |
| 35 | 25506 | 27427 | 3634 | 30400 | 15097 | 2513 | 104577 |
| 36 | 29407 | 30284 | 6056 | 13818 | 12352 | 1256 | 93174 |
| 37 | 34209 | 26855 | 4845 | 13818 | 9607 | 2513 | 91847 |
| 38 | 34809 | 23427 | 2422 | 11055 | 9607 | 2513 | 83833 |
| 39 | 42311 | 26284 | 4845 | 2764 | 1372 | 1256 | 78832 |
| 40 | 48012 | 25712 | 1211 | 2764 | 4117 | 0 | 81817 |
| 41 | 67217 | 27998 | 1211 | 5527 | 0 | 0 | 101954 |
| 42 | 60315 | 38854 | 1211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100381 |
| 43 | 70818 | 40569 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1256 | 112643 |
| 44 | 62116 | 31998 | 3634 | 2764 | 1372 | 0 | 101883 |
| 45 | 59115 | 19999 | 0 | 0 | 1372 | 0 | 80486 |
| 46 | 29708 | 17142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46849 |
| 47 | 14704 | 7428 | 2422 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24554 |
| 48 | 7502 | 2857 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10359 |
| 49 | 1500 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1256 | 3328 |
| 50 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 |
| 56 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 |
|  | 668570 | 423970 | 39970 | 135420 | 134500 | 43970 | 1446400 |
| Mean L | 40.2 | 39.0 | 37.5 | 34.8 | 32.9 | 31.8 | 38.3 |

Table 12. Redfish trawl data. Observations on stomach contents, from fish caught shallower and deeper than 500 m .

| <500m | Sub-Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | E |  | F |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Total | 141 |  | 333 |  | 49 |  | 1029 |  | 717 |  | 14 |  | 2283 |  |
| everted | 73 | 51.8 | 83 | 24.9 | 5 | 10.2 | 581 | 56.5 | 337 | 47.0 | 8 | 57.1 | 1087 | 47.6 |
| empty | 36 | 25.5 | 29 | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89 | 8.6 | 51 | 7.1 | 1 | 7.1 | 206 | 9.0 |
| with content | 32 | 17.0 | 221 | 66.4 | 44 | 89.8 | 359 | 34.9 | 329 | 45.9 | 5 | 35.7 | 990 | 43.4 |
| little | 8 | 9.9 | 27 | 8.1 | 3 | 6.1 | 87 | 8.5 | 64 | 8.9 | 1 | 7.1 | 190 | 8.3 |
| medium | 14 | 7.1 | 38 | 11.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 243 | 23.6 | 204 | 28.5 | 3 | 21.4 | 502 | 22.0 |
| high | 10 | 0.0 | 156 | 46.8 | 41 | 83.7 | 29 | 2.8 | 61 | 8.5 | 1 | 7.1 | 298 | 13.1 |
|  | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% |
| Amphipoda | 11 | 20.8 | - | 0.0 |  |  | 55 | 45.5 | 28 | 50.0 |  |  | 94 | 39.2 |
| Euphausiacea | 21 | 39.6 | 5 | 50.0 |  |  | 8 | 6.6 |  | 23.2 |  |  | 47 | 19.6 |
| Cephalopoda | 12 | 22.6 | - | 0.0 |  |  | 4 | 3.3 | 1 | 1.8 |  |  | 17 | 7.1 |
| Shrimp | 4 | 7.5 | 1 | 10.0 |  |  | 1 | 0.8 | 2 | 3.6 |  |  | 8 | 3.3 |
| Jellyfish | - | 0.0 | 2 | 20.0 |  |  | 2 | 1.7 |  | 1.8 |  |  | 5 | 2.1 |
| Fish | - | 0.0 | 1 | 10.0 |  |  | 5 | 4.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 6 | 2.5 |
| Other | 5 | 9.4 | 1 | 10.0 |  |  | 46 | 38.0 | 11 | 19.6 |  |  | 63 | 26.3 |


| $>500 \mathrm{~m}$ | Sub-Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A |  | B |  | C |  | D |  | E |  | F |  |  |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Total | 942 |  | 433 |  | 31 |  | 48 |  | 98 |  | 26 |  | 1578 |  |
| everted | 525 | 55.7 | 211 | 48.7 | 5 | 16.1 | 27 | 56.3 | 63 | 64.3 | 17 | 65.4 | 848 | 53.7 |
| empty | 277 | 29.4 | 124 | 28.6 | 1 | 3.2 | 1 | 2.1 | 14 | 14.3 | 4 | 15.4 | 421 | 26.7 |
| with content | 140 | 14.9 | 98 | 22.6 | 25 | 80.6 | 20 | 41.7 | 21 | 21.4 | 5 | 19.2 | 309 | 19.6 |
| little | 25 | 2.7 | 19 | 4.4 | 1 | 3.2 | 5 | 10.4 | 11 | 11.2 | 2 | 7.7 | 63 | 4.0 |
| medium | 21 | 2.2 | 13 | 3.0 | 2 | 6.5 | 11 | 22.9 | 8 | 8.2 | 3 | 11.5 | 58 | 3.7 |
| high | 94 | 10.0 | 66 | 15.2 | 22 | 71.0 | 4 | 8.3 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 188 | 11.9 |
|  | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% | Freq. | \% |
| Amphipoda | 2 | 3.8 | - |  |  |  | 4 | 40.0 | 5 | 35.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 12 | 11.3 |
| Euphausiacea | 9 | 17.0 | 3 | 11.1 |  |  | - |  | 3 | 21.4 | - |  | 15 | 14.2 |
| Cephalopoda | 14 | 26.4 | 13 | 48.1 |  |  | 3 | 30.0 | - |  | - |  | 30 | 28.3 |
| Shrimp | 9 | 17.0 | 7 | 25.9 |  |  | 2 | 20.0 | 1 | 7.1 | - |  | 19 | 17.9 |
| Jellyfish | 1 | 1.9 | 1 | 3.7 |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | 1.9 |
| Fish | 8 | 15.1 | 1 | 3.7 |  |  |  | 10.0 | - |  | - |  | 10 | 9.4 |
| Other | 10 | 18.9 | 2 | 7.4 |  |  | - |  | 5 | 35.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 18 | 17.0 |

Table 13. Redfish trawl data. Maturity stages by sex and Sub-area, shallower and deeper than 500 m .

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sub-area } \\ & (<500 \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Maturity stages males |  |  |  |  |  | Maturity stages females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | I (1) | M (2) | F (3) | P (4) | S (5) | R (6) |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 19 | 134 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 10 |
|  |  | 12.0 | 84.8 | 1.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 27.8 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 13.9 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 31 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 140 | 18 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 27 |
|  |  | 1.0 | 16.1 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 72.9 | 14.8 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 22.1 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 254 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 67 | 71 | 18 | 0 | 56 | 202 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 36.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 16.2 | 17.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 48.8 |
| E | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 191 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 241 | 104 | 33 | 11 | 0 | 64 | 97 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 40.2 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.7 | 33.7 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 31.4 |
| F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.0 | 31.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 26.7 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 21 | 620 | 165 | 1 | 2 | 779 | 213 | 148 | 35 | 0 | 221 | 336 |
|  |  | 1.3 | 39.0 | 10.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 49.1 | 22.4 | 15.5 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 35.3 |


| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Sub-area } \\ (>500 \mathrm{~m}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Maturity stages males |  |  |  |  |  | Maturity stages females |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | I (1) | M (2) | F (3) | P (4) | S (5) | R (6) |
| A | No. | 28 | 874 | 33 |  | 0 | 21 | 76 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 94 |
|  | \% | 2.9 | 90.8 | 3.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 10.5 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 13.0 |
| B | No. | 6 | 187 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 85 | 27 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 62 |
|  | \% | 2.1 | 65.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 29.6 | 14.2 | 35.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 32.6 |
| C | No. | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 |
|  | \% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.3 | 6.7 |
| D | No. | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
|  | \% | 0.0 | 46.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.6 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 45.0 |
| E | No. | 1 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  | \% | 1.5 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.3 | 46.9 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| F | No. | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% | 0.0 | 58.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 55.6 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| Total | No. | 35 | 1129 | 45 | 7 | 2 | 159 | 125 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 169 |
|  | \% | 2.5 | 82.0 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 17.1 |

Table 14a. Incidence of Sphyrion lumpi and pigment abnormalites (depth < 500 m )


Table 14b. Incidence of Sphyrion lumpi and pigment abnormalites (depth > 500 m )


Table 15. Division of redfish abundace within NAFO and NEAFC areas.

|  | NEAFC | NAFO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 000 tonnes | $\%$ | 000 tonnes | $\%$ |
| Acoustic $0-500 \mathrm{~m}$ | 338 | $47 \%$ | 377 | $53 \%$ |
| Trawl method <br> above 500 m <br> below 500 m | 390 | $36 \%$ | 686 | $64 \%$ |



Figure 1. Cruise tracks and stations taken in the joint international redfish survey in June/July 2001.



Figure 2. Hydroacoustic SA-values from the two research vessels, Atlantniro and Bjarni Sæmundsson, measured during the intercalibration excercise at the depth range 13-400 m (??-500 mode: MEDIUM / WIDE for Bjarni Sæmundsson; LONG / NARROW for Atlantniro).


Figure 3. Sub-areas used on international surveys for redfish in the Irminger Sea and adjacent waters.


Figure 4. Data from Bjarni Sæmundsson. Different regression between catches and observed hydroacoustic values SA above 500 m depth.


Figure 5. Data from Árni Friðriksson. Different regression between catches and observed hydroacoustic values SA above 500 m depth.


Figure 6. Data from Walther Herwig III. Different regression between catches and observed hydroacoustic values SA above 500 m depth.


Figure 7. Data from Atlantniro. Different regression between catches and observed hydroacoustic values SA above 500 m depth.


Figure 8. Mean values of area back scattering strength $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{nm}^{2}\right)$ in June/July 2001 at depths between $0-500 \mathrm{~m}$ within statistical rectangles.

Time of the day (Hour)


Figure 9. Average depth and standard deviation of the deep-scattering layer during the survey in June/July 2001.


Figure 10. Depth distribution of redfish measured acoustically in the Irminger Sea in June/July 2001.


Figure 11. Diurnal variations of integrator values of redfish in June/July 2001, along with $95 \%$ confidence limits. Data combined for German, Icelandic and Russian vessels.


Figure 12. Geographical distribution patterns of standardised redfish catches below 500 m depth.


Figure 13. Calculated SA values above 500 m , obtained from correlation between catch and SA values. See text for further explanation.


Figure 14. Length distribution of redfish in $150-450 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, by sub-area and total. The values are derived from the acoustic abundance estimation.
1)

2)


Figure 15. Length distribution of the redfish derived from the trawl method 1$)=0-500 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, 2$)=500-950 \mathrm{~m}$ depth.


Figure 16. Redfish trawl data. Maturity ogives by sex, shallower and deeper than 500 m .


Figure 17. Data collected onboard G.O.Sars. Age-length composition of female and male S. mentella. The age material from depths shallower and deeper than 500 meters has been combined.


Figure 18. Horizontal temperature ( $\mathrm{t}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) distribution at 200 m depth in June/July 2001.


Figure 19. Horizontal temperature $\left(\mathrm{t}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ distribution at 400 m depth in June/July 2001.


Figure 20. Horizontal temperature $\left(\mathrm{t}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ distribution at 600 m depth in June/July 2001


Figure 21 Vertical temperature distribution $\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a section along the $60^{\circ} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude between $41^{\circ} 50 \mathrm{~W}$ and $28^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.


Figure 22. Vertical temperature distribution $\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a section along the $58^{\circ} 45 \mathrm{~N}$ latitude between $51^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $24^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.


Figure 23. Vertical temperature distribution $\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a section along the $56^{\circ} 30 \mathrm{~N}$ latitude between $52^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $30^{\circ} 45 \mathrm{~W}$.


Figure 24. Vertical temperature distribution $\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a section along the $55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude between $51^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $42^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.


Figure 25. Vertical temperature distribution $\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ on a section along the $53^{\circ} 30 \mathrm{~N}$ latitude between $49^{\circ} 45 \mathrm{~W}$ and $39^{\circ} 30 \mathrm{~W}$.


Figure 26. Temperature at 200 m depth in June/July 1994 (ICES C.M 1994/G:44), in June/July 1996 (ICES C.M 1996/G:8), June/July 1999 (ICES C.M 1999/ACFM:17) and June/July 2001.

