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#### Abstract

An assessment of the cod stock in NAFO Division 3M is performed. A Bayesian model, as used in the last assessments, was used to perform the analysis. As there are inconsistencies with total catch of the last three years, a prior was added for 2011 and 2012 catch and the Daily Catch Report data were used in 2013. Results indicate a general increase in SSB since 2005, reaching a value well above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ since 2009.


## Introduction

This stock had been on fishing moratorium since 1999 to 2009 following its collapse, which has been attributed to three simultaneous circumstances: a stock decline due to overfishing, an increase in catchability at low abundance levels and a series of very poor recruitments starting in 1993. The assessments performed since the collapse of the stock confirmed the poor situation, with SSB at very low levels, well below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ (Vázquez and Cerviño, 2005). Nevertheless, Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) was estimated to increase a bit in 2004, 2005 and 2006 (Fernández, et al., 2007) and above average recruitment levels were estimated for 2005 and 2006. Another large increase in SSB in 2007-2009, largely due to the recruitments in 2005-2006, has happened, reaching in 2010-2012 values over the highest of the studied series, only below the recruitments of years 1991 and 1992 (González-Troncoso et al., 2013).

Since 1974, when a TAC was established for the first time, estimated catches ranged from 48000 tons in 1989 to a minimum value of 5 tons in 2004. Annual catches were about 30000 tons in the late 1980's (notwithstanding the fact that the fishery was under moratorium in 1988-1990) and diminished since then as a consequence of the stock decline. Since 1998 yearly catches have been less than 1000 tons and from 2000 to 2005 they were under 100 tons, mainly attributed to by-catches from other fisheries. Estimated commercial catches in 2006-2009 were between 339 and 1161 tons (Table 1 and Figure 1), which represent more than a ten-fold increase over the average yearly catch during the period 2000-2005. The results of the 2009 assessment led to a reopening of the fishery with 5500 tons of catch in 2010. With the results of the 2010-2012 assessments TACs of 10000 tons in 2011, 9280 tons in 2012, 14 113 tons in 2013 and 14521 tons in 2014 were established. The estimated catch by the Scientific Council for 2010 was 9291 tons, which almost double the TAC. Since 2011 there have not been available estimated catches by the Scientific Council. The STATLANT 21A catch was 9794 for 2011, 9003 for 2012 and 13544 for 2013.

A VPA based assessment of the cod stock in Flemish Cap was approved by NAFO Scientific Council (SC) in 1999 for the first time and was annually updated until 2002. However, catches between 2002 and 2005 were very small undermining the VPA based assessment, as its results are quite sensitive to assumed natural mortality when catches are at low levels. Cerviño and Vázquez (2003) developed a method which combines survey abundance indices at
age with catchability at age, the latter estimated from the last reliable accepted XSA. The method estimates abundances at age with their associated uncertainty and allows calculating the SSB distribution and, hence, the probability that SSB is above or below any reference value. The method was used to assess the stock since 2003. In 2007 results from an alternative Bayesian model were also presented (Fernández et al., 2007) and in 2008 this Bayesian model was further developed and approved by the NAFO SC (Fernández et al., 2008), having been used since then in the assessment of this stock.

An assessment of this stock using the Bayesian model used last years is presented. A $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ of 14000 tons was proposed by the NAFO Scientific Council in 2000. The appropriateness of this value given the results from the new method used to assess the stock was examined in 2008, concluding that it is still an appropriate reference.

## Material and Methods

## Used data

## Commercial data

## Total Catch

In 2013 there were catches of 3M cod from Estonia, Faroe Islands (Denmark), Norway, Portugal, Russia, Spain and United Kingdom with a total amount of 13985 tons from the Daily Catch Report (DCR) data (Table 1, Figure 1). To 2010 scientific catches were used; in 2011 and 2012, a prior over the total catch was applied. In 2013 the DCR data was used (see Assessment Methodology).

## Length distributions

In 2013 length sampling of catch was conducted by Estonia (SCS 14/16), Portugal (SCS 14/10), Russia (SCS 14/13) and Spain (SCS 14/06). Length frequency distributions from the commercial catch and from the EU survey (Mandado, 2014) are shown in Figure 2.

It must be noted that countries with a high proportion of TAC and catch, as Denmark ( $22.3 \%$ of the TAC) and Norway ( $9.25 \%$ of the TAC), have not reported length frequencies for the catch in 2013.

Estonia has measured 1357 individuals in a range of $23-95 \mathrm{~cm}$ and a mode in 47 cm . Portugal has measured 6117 individuals between 21 and 102 cm with a mode in 42 cm . Russia has a 2966 individuals sample in a range of 34124. The modal length is 42 cm . The number of sampled individuals for Spain was 5123 . The mode of this length distribution is at 40 cm . For UK the number of measured individuals was 14226 , the highest sample, in a range of $26-128 \mathrm{~cm}$. The mode was in 62 cm , quite highest than for the rest of the fleets. In general, fish in the length distribution of UK are bigger than those in the rest. The EU survey has a well-defined mode around 25 cm , followed with another mode in 37-46. The range is from 9 to 128 cm .

It was an update of the UK length distribution in years 2011 and 2012, so the consequence indices (catch-at-age and mean weight-at-age in catch) have slightly changed since last year assessment.

## Catch-at-age

Catch-at-age is presented in Table 2. Data from 1972 to 1987 were taken from the 1999 assessment, in which a review of those data were made (Vázquez et. al, 1999). As no age-length keys (ALK) were available for commercial catch from 1988 to 2008, each year the corresponding ALKs from the EU survey were applied in order to calculate annual catch-at-age. A commercial ALK was available for 2009-2011 only from the Portuguese commercial data and was applied to the total commercial length distribution. In 2012 otholits were no collected by the Portuguese fleet, and although a commercial ALK from the Spanish fleet was available, it was not used because it was no validated, so the commercial 2011 ALK was applied to the total commercial length distribution. In 2013 there are two available ALKs for commercial length distribution, one from Portugal and the other from Spain, but as they have not been validated yet, the 2013 survey ALK was used. In 2011 and 2012, as no consistent catch is available, the percentage of each age is presented.

The range of ages in the catch goes from 1 to $8+$. No catch-at-age was available for 2002-2005 due to the lack of length distribution information because of low catches.

Figure 3 shows a bubble plot of catch proportions at age over time (with larger bubbles corresponding to larger values), indicating that the bulk of the catch (including 2013 catch) is comprised of 3-5 years age cod. In years 2006 and 2009 catches containing mostly age 4 individuals. In 2007 the greatest presence was at ages 2 to 4 and at ages 2 and 3 in 2008 and 2013.

Figure 4 shows standardised catch proportions at age (each age standardised independently to have zero mean and standard deviation 1 over the range of years considered). Assuming that the selection pattern at age is not too variable over time, it should be possible to follow cohorts from such figure. Figure 5 shows the same figure for the last complete cohort taking into account that the plus group is at age 8 (2006-2013). Some strong and weak cohorts can be followed, although the pattern is not too evident. The biggest circle corresponds to the recruitment (age 1) of year 1987, the biggest caught, by far, of the entire series. But the corresponding cohort was weak. It is remarkable the catch over the recruitment in some of the last years. In 2013, all the values are negative except age 3, with a quite large positive value.

## Mean weight-at-age

There are available data of mean weight-at-age in catch for years 1972-1987 from the 1999 assessment (Vázquez et. al, 1999). For 1988-2012, the same data as last year assessment were taken.

For 2013, mean weight-at-age has been computed separately for the catch and for the stock, using length-weight relationships from the commercial sampling and from the EU survey, respectively. In the commercial case, there are three length-weight relationships available in 2013: Estonian, Portuguese and Spanish. All of them are presenting in Figure 6 with the survey one. There are no significant differences between them, although the Portuguese one gives highest weight to the same lengths. The Portuguese length-weight relationship was applied to the commercial data to calculate weight-at-age in the catch as it lead from the biggest sample. Results are showed in Table 3 and Figure 7. Since 2005 there are a general decrease in the trend of the mean-weight for the ages between 2 and 6 years old, especially since 2010. Ages 1,7 and $8+$ present a stable trend over these years. It must be noted that all the mean-weight-at-age are now higher than the ones at the beginning of the time series, especially for the oldest ages. In 2013 the mean weight of all the ages decreased.

The SOP (sum over ages of the product of catch weight-at-age and numbers at age) for the commercial catch differs in $4.8 \%$ from the estimated total catch.

## Survey data

## Canadian survey

Canada conducted research vessel surveys on Flemish Cap from 1978-1985. Surveys were done with the R/V Gadus Atlantica, a stern trawler of 74 m in length, fishing with a lined Engels 145 otter trawl. The surveys were conducted in January-February of each year from 1978 to 1985, using a stratified random design. Fishing sets were usually of 30 minutes duration, over a distance of 1.75 nautical miles, and covered depths between 130 and 728 m . All strata were surveyed each year, with the exception of 1982, when 4 deeper strata were omitted (Brodie and Bowering, 1992).

Survey indices of abundance at age are presented in Table 4. Figure 8 displays the estimated biomass and abundance indices over the time series. From a high value in 1978, a general decrease in both indices can be seen until 1985. Figure 9 shows a bubble plot of the abundances at age, in logarithmic scale, with each age standardised separately (each age to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1 over the range of survey years). Grey and black bubbles indicate values above and below average, respectively, with larger sized bubbles corresponding to larger magnitudes. The plot indicates that the survey was able to detect strength of recruitment and to track cohorts through time very well. It clearly shows a series of consecutive recruitment failures from 1978 to 1980, leading to very weak cohorts, specially the 1979 one (age 1 at 1980). The 1981 cohort was quite good.

## EU survey

The EU bottom trawl survey on Flemish Cap has been carried out since 1988, targeting the main commercial species down to 730 m of depth. The surveyed zone includes the complete distribution area for cod, which rarely occurs deeper than 500 m . The survey procedures have been kept constant throughout the entire period, although in 1989 and 1990 a different research vessel was used. Since 2003, the survey has been carried out with a new research vessel (R/V Vizconde de Eza, replacing R/V Cornide de Saavedra) and conversion factors to transform the values from the years before 2003 have been implemented (González- Troncoso and Casas, 2005).

The results of the survey for the years 1988-2013 are presented in Mandado, 2014.
Survey indices of abundance at age are presented in Table 5. Figure 8 displays the estimated biomass and abundance indices over time. There are differences between the level of biomass and abundance in the Canadian survey and in the EU one, probably due to the difference in the gear. Biomass and abundance show a high increase since 2005, higher in biomass than in abundance except for 2011, following an extremely low period starting in the mid 1990's. The large number in 2011 is due to a big presence of individuals of age 1. It must be noted that 2009-2010 and 2013 biomass is at the level of the first years of the assessment but abundance in these years is roughly the same as in 1994. In 2010 the biomass has suffered a bit decrease, probably due to the opening of the fishery, but a new huge increase can be seen in 2011 and 2012, reaching a value very near the highest of the series, that occurred in 1989. The abundance in 2011-2012 are the highest of the time series of this survey. In 2013 a new decrease in abundance and biomass occurred, both reaching the level of 2009-2010. Figure 10 shows a bubble plot of the abundances at age, in logarithmic scale, with each age standardised separately (each age to have mean 0 and standard deviation 1 over the range of survey years). Grey and black bubbles indicate values above and below average, respectively, with larger sized bubbles corresponding to larger magnitudes. The plot indicates that the survey is able to detect strength of recruitment and to track cohorts through time very well. It clearly shows a series of consecutive recruitment failures from 1996 to 2004, leading to very weak cohorts. Cohorts recruited from 2005 onwards appear to be above average. In 2010-2012 a good recruitment can be seen, especially in 2011. 2013 recruitment is not as good as in the last three years, but it is still at the level of the beginning of the recovery of the stock.

## Mean weight-at-age

Mean weight-at-age in the stock for Canadian survey is not available, so mean weight-at-age in the stock is only available from the EU survey from 1988 to 2013. For the previous years, as the stock change rapidly, it was decided to apply the weight-at-age for catch. As catch has no weight-at-age for the youngest ages (1 and 2), the mean of the EU survey weight-at-age between years 1988-1995 for those ages was taken. The reason for taking those years is that the stock seems to change suddenly its weights-at-age in 1996. The results are showed in Table 6.

Mean weight-at-age in the stock shows a strong increasing trend since the late 1990's, although in 2008 all the ages decreased their mean weight-at-age, but still remain much higher than at the beginning of the series. In 2009 youngest and oldest ages increased their mean weight-at-age with respect to 2008, while the ages 3-4 decreased them (Table 6 and Figure 11). In 2011 all ages except 4 and 8+ decreased their mean weight-at-age with regards to 2009-2010. In 2012 the weight-at-age for ages 1-3 increased with regards 2011, but decreased significantly for ages 4-8+. In 2013 the weight of all ages slightly decreased.

## Maturity at age

Maturity ogives from the Canadian survey are available for all the years (1978-1985) and from the EU survey for years 1990-1998, 2001-2006 and 2008-2013. For those years logistic regression models for proportion mature at age have been fitted independently for each year. For years 1983-1985 the fit was no consistent, so those years were omitted for the fit. For 1972 to 1977, the 1978 maturity ogive was applied. The 1982 maturity ogive was taken for 1983 to 1987. For 1988 and 1989 the 1990 maturity ogive was applied. For 1999 and 2000 maturity ogive was computed as a mixture of 1998 and 2001 data, and for 2007 as a mixed of 2006 and 2008 maturity ogive. Maturity data for 1991 were of poor quality and did not allow a good fit, so a mixture of the ogives for 1990 and 1992 was used. The median of the maturity ogives for the whole period are presented in the Table 7. It can be seen that the percentage of matures in all ages decreased since 2006 to 2011 , especially in 2011 . This fact, together with the decreasing mean weight at age, is consistent with a stock in a recovery process, whit a slower growth and maturing.

In 2012 the percentage in ages 4 and 5 increased, as in all ages in 2013 (especially for ages 3 to 5). This is not consistent with the decrease in the mean weight for all ages.

Figure 12 displays the evolution of the a50 (age at which $50 \%$ of fish are mature) through the years (estimate and $90 \%$ uncertainty limits). The figure shows a continuous decline of the a50 through time, from above 5 years old in the late 1980's to below 3 years old in 2002. Since 2005 the a50 has increased slowly, especially in 2011, reaching a value slightly above 4 years old. In 2012 and 2013 the age decreased, in particular in 2013, due to the increase in the percentage of maturation on all the ages.

## Assessment methodology

The Bayesian model used last years was updated with 2013 data. For years with catch-at-age data, it works starting from cohort survivors and reconstructing cohorts backwards in time using catch-at-age and the assumed mortality rate. When catch-at-age is not available for a year but an estimate of total catch in weight is available, this information can be incorporated in the model by means of an observation equation relating (stochastically) the estimated catch weight to the underlying population abundances (hence aiding in the estimation of fishing mortalities). An advantage of the model is that it allows combining years with catch-at-age and years where only total catch is available. Years with no information on commercial catch are also allowed. A detailed description of the model is in Fernandez et al., 2008. The priors were chosen this year as last approved assessment.

In years 2011 to 2013 there were a lack of information because estimated catches by the Scientific Council are not available and the available figures (from the STATLANT 21A) are no consistent with 2010 catch. For this reason, Scientific Council decided to incorporate a new prior for the total catch in 2011 and 2012. In 2011, the effort in the major fleets has increased $40 \%$ approximately regarding 2010 effort and the 2010 catch was 9192 tons, so it was decided to fit a prior to 2011 catch with a median value of approximately 12800 tons and a standard deviation that allows the catch to move between 9905 and 16630 tons ( $95 \%$ confidence interval). The chosen prior was a lognormal. In 2012 the TAC was slightly below the 2011 TAC and the effort was virtually the same, so no evidences of change in the catch of 2012 with regards to the catch of 2011 exists, therefore the same prior was taken. The priors for 2011 catch and 2012 catch are independent.

In 2013 some flag states significant in the Div. 3M cod fishery did not submit their 2013 STATLANT 21A data before the start of the meeting, so STATLANT 21A could not be compared to other catch estimates for 2013. Scientific Council analyzed the CPUEs resulting from Daily Catch Reports (DCR) of 3M cod for the period 20112013. These CPUEs were compared with the available scientific data. The results of this comparison show significant differences in 2011 and 2012 and a decrease of such differences in 2013. Based on these results, Scientific Council decided to use total catches from the DCR in 2013 (13 985 t), maintaining the model catch estimation for 2011 and 2012.

The inputs of the assessment of this year are as follow:
Catch data for 42 years, from 1972 to 2013
For 2011: TotalCatch $(2011) \sim L N($ median $=9.46, s d=0.1313)$
For 2012: TotalCatch $(2012) \sim L N($ median $=9.46, s d=0.1313)$
Years with catch-at-age: 1972-2001, 2006-2013
Tuning with Canadian survey for 1978 to 1985
EU survey for 1988 to 2013
Ages from 1 to 8+ in all cases
Catchability analysis
Catchability dependent on stock size for ages 1 and 2
Priors over parameters:

Priors over the survivors:
For (2013, $a$ ), $a=1, \ldots, 7$ and ( $y, 7$ ), $y=1972, \ldots, 2012$

$$
\operatorname{surv}(y, a) \sim L N\left(\operatorname{median}=\operatorname{medrec} \times e^{-\operatorname{medM-} \sum_{a g e=1}^{a} \operatorname{medFsurv(age)}}, c v=c v \operatorname{surv}\right),
$$

where medrec $=15000$
medFsurv $(1, \ldots, 7)=\{0.0001,0.1,0.5,0.7,0.7,0.7,0.7\}$
cvsurv=1
Prior over F for years with no catch-at-age:
For $\mathrm{a}=1, \ldots, 7$ and $\mathrm{y}=2002, \ldots, 2005$
$F(y, a) \sim L N($ median $=\operatorname{med} F(a), c v=c v F)$
where $\operatorname{medF}=\mathrm{c}(0.0001,0.005,0.01,0.01,0.01,0.005,0.005)$
cvsurv=0.7
Prior over the total catch in the years with no catch-at-age data:
For $\mathrm{y}=2002, \ldots, 2005$
$C W(y) \sim L N\left(\right.$ median $\left.=C W_{\text {mod }}(y), c v=c v C W\right)$
where $\quad \mathrm{CW}_{\text {mod }}$ is arised from the Baranov equation
$\mathrm{cvCW}=0.05$
Prior over the survey abundance at age indices:
For $\mathrm{a}=1, \ldots, 8$ and $\mathrm{y}=1978, \ldots, 1985$ (Canadian survey) and $\mathrm{y}=1988, \ldots, 2013$ (EU survey)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(y) \sim L N\left(\text { median }=\mu(y, a), c v=\sqrt{\frac{1}{e^{\psi(a)}}-1}\right) \\
& \mu(y, a)=q(a)\left(N(y, a) \frac{e^{-\alpha Z(y, a)}-e^{-\beta Z(y, a)}}{(\beta-\alpha) Z(y, a)}\right)^{\gamma(a)} \\
& \gamma(a)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sim N(\text { mean }=1, \text { variance }=0.25), \text { if } a=1,2 \\
=1, \text { if } a \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\log (q(a)) \sim N(\text { mean }=0, \text { variance }=5)
$$

$$
\psi(a) \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\text { shape }=2, \text { rate }=0.07)
$$

where I is the survey abundance index
q is the survey catchability at age
N is the commercial abundance index
$\alpha=0.5, \beta=0.58$ (survey made in July)
Z is the total mortality

Prior over natural mortality, M :

$$
M \sim L N(\text { median }=0.218, c v=0.3)
$$

In 2008 STACFIS recommended that retrospective analysis be performed as a standard diagnostic of the assessment with the Bayesian model. So, six year retrospective plot was made.

Three years projections were made with eight different scenarios, as later described, in order to see the possible evolution of the stock. The settings and the results are explained above.

## Results

Assessment results regarding to total biomass, SSB, recruitment and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ (ages 3-5) are presented in Table 8 and Figure 13.

Total biomass has had an increased trend since 2006, reaching the same level as before the collapse of the stock in the mid 1990's.

The SSB graph also includes the expected value at the beginning of the year 2014. To calculate it, weight-at-age and maturity-at-age from the last year were used (assuming always that maturity at age 1 is equal to 0 , as there is no estimate of recruitment in 2014). The results indicate that there has been a substantial increase in SSB in the last few years, with the largest increase occurring from 2007 onwards. SSB in 2009 (and even its confidence intervals) are well above $B_{\text {lim }}$, and since 2010 has been around the highest values of the time series, only below the 1972 and 1989 values. This increase is probably due to the increase in the percentage of maturity in all ages, that compensates the decrease in the mean weight in all ages, and to the incorporation of the strong 2010 year class. The SSB at the beginning of 2014 is expected to be the highest of the series, although the uncertainty associated with this value is very high and year by year the projection value is always larger than the actual one.

Recruitment has had an increasing trend since 2005 to 2011, being the 2009 and 2010 values at the level of the mean recruitment of the period and the 2011 and 2012 values above it. In 2013 the recruitment has decreased and is around the level at the beginning of the recovery of the stock. Take into account that the actual recruitment levels for last years can not yet be precisely estimated (wide uncertainty limits in Figure 13 and Table 8).
$F_{\text {bar }}$ (mean for ages 3-5) has been at very low levels in the period 2001-2009 (Figure 13), although an unusual high value has been estimated for 2006. In 2010, when the fishery was reopen, the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ has increased up to 0.27, although the 5500 tons TAC corresponded to a target $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ around 0.14 was established. In 2011, with a TAC of 10000 tons corresponding to a target $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ around 0.13 , a $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ of 0.3 was estimated. In $2012 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {bar }}$ is 0.32 , while the TAC of 9280 was established under a $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ of 0.13 . In 2013 the TAC was increased almost $50 \%$ with regards to 2012 TAC, and the $F_{\text {bar }}(0.35)$ is 2.5 times the $F_{\text {bar }}$ approved in 2012. Table 9 and Figure 15 provide more detailed information on the estimated F -at-age values, indicating that the increase in $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ in 2006 is mostly due to fishing mortality at age 3. In 2010 the highest fishing mortalities are in ages 4 and 6; in 2011 in 6-8+ and in 2012 in 5-8+. In 2013 the highest fishing mortality is in age 6 . So, since the reopening of the fishery $F_{b a r}$ is highly unsteady. To illustrate these changes, Figure 16 shows the PR along the years, being the PR the $F$ divided by $F_{b a r}$. Figure 17 shows the PR for the years in which the fishery was reopened (2010-2013) and Figure 18 the mean of the three last years (2011-2013) PR versus the 2013 PR. They are no significant differences between them except in age 7 .

Figure 14 shows total biomass and abundance by year. Except in the first years of the assessment and the period 1985-1989, there is a good concordance between numbers and weight, although in last years biomass has increased more than abundance. It must be noted that, although SSB is in 2010 at the level of the beginning of the time series (Figure 13), total biomass and abundance have not reached yet the highest analysed level.

Estimates of stock abundance at age for 1972-2013 are presented in Table 10 and Figure 19. Abundance at age in 2014 are the survivors of the same cohort in 2013, the last assessment year, so only abundances of ages older than age 1 can be estimated. It can be seen a general increase trend in all the age numbers since 2005 and in the total number of matures, especially in 2013, due probably to the decreasing in the age of maturity. Since the reopening of
the fishery ages 6 and 7 have suffered a slight decrease. From 2013 to 2014 a big decrease in number at age 2 and a big increase in age 4 have occurred.

Figure 20 depicts the prior and posterior distributions of survivors at age at the end of the final assessment year, where by survivors(2013, a) it is meant individuals of age $a+1$ at the beginning of 2014 (in other words, $\operatorname{survivors}(2013, a)=N(2014, a+1))$. The plotting range for the horizontal axis is the $95 \%$ prior credible interval in all cases, to facilitate comparison between prior and posterior distributions; the same procedure will be followed in all subsequent prior-posterior plots. There has been substantial updating of the prior distribution for survivors in almost all ages.

Figure 21 displays prior and posterior distributions for survivors of the last true age at the end of every year. By survivors $(y, 7)$ it is meant individuals of age 8 (not $8+$ ) at the beginning of year $y+1$. Whereas the prior distribution is the same every year, posterior distributions vary substantially depending on the year, displaying particularly low values in 1996, between 2002 and 2005 and in years 2008 and 2010.

In Figure 22 the priors and posteriors for the total catch in 2011 and 2012 are shown. In both cases, although there is a small update of the total catch, with a posterior value a little greater than the prior value, the update is no important. While the median of the priors is 12836 tons $(\exp (9.46))$, the posterior medians are 13650 tons for 2011 and 13570 tons for 2012.

Figure 23 shows the prior and the posterior of the natural morality, M. In this case the posterior indicates that an M of value 0.2 is overestimated, as the posterior median is 0.156 .

Bubble plot of standardised residuals (observed minus fitted values divided by estimated standard deviations and in logarithmic scale) for the survey abundance at age indices is displayed in Figure 24 for the Canadian survey and for the EU survey. As the residuals have been standardised, they should be mostly in the range ( $-2,2$ ) if model assumptions about variance are not contradicted by the data. This graph should highlight year effects, identified as years in which most of the residuals are above or below zero.

For the Canadian survey, a value near -2 is the age 7 of year 1985, so it could be seen that there are a few of values higher than 2 in absolute value. For years 1978-1981 all the ages higher than 3 have positive values while year 1982 has all its residuals except for age 1 negative or near 0, suggesting year effects (i.e. survey catchabilities that are below average in 1982 and above average in 1978-1981).

For the EU survey a value near to -2 is age 3 of year 2005. In the case of this survey almost all residuals are below 2 in absolute value, and all of them happened before 2005. In 1988 all residuals are negative except for the one for age 7 , whereas the opposite happens in 1996, 1997 and 2011, suggesting year effects (i.e. survey catchabilities that are below average in 1988 and above average in 1996, 1997 and 2011). All residuals were positive in 2008-2010 except for ages 1 in 2008, 1 and 2 in 2009 and 5 and 7 (this last value is almost 0 ) in 2010. In 2012 all the standardized residuals except age 3 are positive.

Figure 25 shows another plot of the standardized residuals for the EU surveys for better understanding the patterns. It seems to be a positive pattern in the last years.

## Biological Referent Points

Figure 26 shows a SSB-Recruitment plot and Figure 27 a SSB- $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ plot, both with the 14000 value of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ indicated with a vertical red line. The value of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ appears as a reasonable choice for $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}$ : only low recruitments have been observed with SSB below this level whereas both high and low recruitments have been seen at higher SSB values. SSB is well above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {lim }}$ in 2013. Figure 28 shows the Bayesian Yield per Recruit.

## Retrospective pattern

A retrospective analysis of six years was made (Figure 29). Retrospective analysis shows revisions in the recruitment, but no evident patterns can be seen. SSB and F show stability over the years.

## Recruits per Spawner

Figure 30 displays the Recruits per Spawner. The variability over the years of the assessment is very high. Since 2007 a decreasing trend can be seen, reaching in 2013 a low value.

## Projections

Stochastic projections over a three years period (2014-2016) have been performed. Variability of input data was taken from the results of the Bayesian assessment. Input data were as follows:

Numbers aged 2 to 8+ in 2014: estimates from the assessment
Recruitments for 2014-2016: Recruits per spawner were estimated for each year (Figure 30). As the variability over the years of the assessment is very high, using just the last 3 years was not considered realistic. Hence, in the projections, recruits per spawner were drawn randomly from the last nine years of the assessment (2005-2013), as these are the years in which recruitment has started to recover.

Maturity ogive for 2014-2016: 2013 maturity ogive
Natural mortality for 2014-2016: 2013 natural mortality from the assessment results.
Weight-at-age in stock and weight-at-age in catch for 2014-2016: 2013 weight-at-age in catch and in stock (Tables 3 and 6).

PR at age for 2014-2016: 2013 PR (Figures 16, 17 and 18).
$\mathbf{F}_{\text {bar }}$ (ages 3-5): Eight options were considered. All Scenarios assumed that the 2014 catch is the TAC (14 521 tons):

1. $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ (median value at 0.090 ).
2. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ (median value at 0.145 ).
3. $2 / 3$ Fmax (median value at 0.097 ).
4. $3 / 4 \mathrm{Fmax}$ (median value at 0.109 ).
5. 0.85 Fmax (median value at 0.123 ).
6. $0.75 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}$ (median value at 0.259 ).
7. $\mathrm{F}_{\text {statusquo }}$ (median value at 0.346 ).
8. $1.25 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}$ (median value at 0.432 ).

Results for the eight options are presented in Tables 11-26 and Figure 32. They indicate that fishing at any of the considered values of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$, total biomass and SSB during the next 2 years have high probability of reaching levels equal or higher than all of the 1972-2013 estimates. The number of matures increases in less proportion than the SSB. The removals associated with these $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ levels are lower than those in the period before 1995 except in the cases of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{F}_{2013}$ and any of its probabilities, for which the catches reach the level found before the collapse of the stock.

Rapid changes in the biological parameters of this stock in recent years and the sudden decrease in the 2013 EUsurvey index has led to substantial revision in estimate numbers for 2014 in the current assessment, compared to projected numbers for 2014 in the previous assessment. If next year the same pattern happens, this year projections would be unrealistic. The update from one year to the next of the numbers at age is very important in some cases. Figure 31 shows these differences for the abundance at age (2-8) estimating for the year 2014, comparing the abundances estimated by the model in last assessment and the abundances estimated in this assessment. It can be seen a large update in ages 2 and 4 , with less individuals in the current assessment.

The 2014 yield projection was derived from an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ of 0.14 in the 2013 assessment. Given the revision to estimated numbers and significant changes in biological parameters since the last assessment, the same level of catch in 2014 can now only be generated with an $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ of 0.28 in the current assessment.

The projected values for the period 2014-2016 are heavily reliant on the relatively abundant most recent cohorts, especially the 2010 cohort, which is estimated to be extremely large, but with high uncertainty.
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Table 1.- Total commercial cod catch in Division 3M. Reported nominal catches since 1960 and estimated total catch

| Year | Estimated | Portugal | Russia | Spain | France | Faroes | UK | Poland | Norway | Germany | Cuba | Others | Total ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1960 |  | 9 | 11595 | 607 |  |  |  |  | 46 | 86 |  | 10 | 12353 |
| 1961 |  | 2155 | 12379 | 851 | 2626 |  | 600 | 336 |  | 1394 |  | 0 | 20341 |
| 1962 |  | 2032 | 11282 | 1234 |  |  | 93 | 888 | 25 | 4 |  | 349 | 15907 |
| 1963 |  | 7028 | 8528 | 4005 | 9501 |  | 2476 | 1875 |  |  |  | 0 | 33413 |
| 1964 |  | 3668 | 26643 | 862 | 3966 |  | 2185 | 718 | 660 | 83 |  | 12 | 38797 |
| 1965 |  | 1480 | 37047 | 1530 | 2039 |  | 6104 | 5073 | 11 | 313 |  | 458 | 54055 |
| 1966 |  | 7336 | 5138 | 4268 | 4603 |  | 7259 | 93 |  | 259 |  | 0 | 28956 |
| 1967 |  | 10728 | 5886 | 3012 | 6757 |  | 5732 | 4152 |  | 756 |  | 46 | 37069 |
| 1968 |  | 10917 | 3872 | 4045 | 13321 |  | 1466 | 71 |  |  |  | 458 | 34150 |
| 1969 |  | 7276 | 283 | 2681 | 11831 |  |  |  |  | 20 |  | 52 | 22143 |
| 1970 |  | 9847 | 494 | 1324 | 6239 |  | 3 | 53 |  |  |  | 35 | 17995 |
| 1971 |  | 7272 | 5536 | 1063 | 9006 |  |  | 19 |  | 1628 |  | 25 | 24549 |
| 1972 |  | 32052 | 5030 | 5020 | 2693 | 6902 | 4126 | 35 | 261 | 506 |  | 187 | 56812 |
| 1973 |  | 11129 | 1145 | 620 | 132 | 7754 | 1183 | 481 | 417 | 21 |  | 18 | 22900 |
| 1974 |  | 10015 | 5998 | 2619 |  | 1872 | 3093 | 700 | 383 | 195 |  | 63 | 24938 |
| 1975 |  | 10430 | 5446 | 2022 |  | 3288 | 265 | 677 | 111 | 28 |  | 108 | 22375 |
| 1976 |  | 10120 | 4831 | 2502 | 229 | 2139 |  | 898 | 1188 | 225 |  | 134 | 22266 |
| 1977 |  | 6652 | 2982 | 1315 | 5827 | 5664 | 1269 | 843 | 867 | 45 | 1002 | 553 | 27019 |
| 1978 |  | 10157 | 3779 | 2510 | 5096 | 7922 | 207 | 615 | 1584 | 410 | 562 | 289 | 33131 |
| 1979 |  | 9636 | 4743 | 4907 | 1525 | 7484 |  | 5 | 1310 |  | 24 | 76 | 29710 |
| 1980 |  | 3615 | 1056 | 706 | 301 | 3248 |  | 33 | 1080 | 355 | 1 | 62 | 10457 |
| 1981 |  | 3727 | 927 | 4100 | 79 | 3874 |  |  | 1154 |  |  | 12 | 13873 |
| 1982 |  | 3316 | 1262 | 4513 | 119 | 3121 | 33 |  | 375 |  |  | 14 | 12753 |
| 1983 |  | 2930 | 1264 | 4407 |  | 1489 |  |  | 111 | 3 |  | 1 | 10205 |
| 1984 |  | 3474 | 910 | 4745 |  | 3058 |  |  | 47 | 454 | 5 | 9 | 12702 |
| 1985 |  | 4376 | 1271 | 4914 |  | 2266 |  |  | 405 | 429 | 9 | 5 | 13675 |
| 1986 |  | 6350 | 1231 | 4384 |  | 2192 |  |  |  | 345 | 3 | 13 | 14518 |
| 1987 |  | 2802 | 706 | 3639 | 2300 | 916 |  |  |  |  |  | 269 | 10632 |
| 1988 | 28899 | 421 | 39 | 141 |  | 1100 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 14 | 1718 |
| 1989 | 48373 | 170 | 10 | 378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 359 | 917 |
| 1990 | 40827 | 551 | 22 | 87 |  | 1262 |  |  |  |  |  | 840 | 2762 |
| 1991 | 16229 | 2838 | 1 | 1416 |  | 2472 | 26 |  | 897 |  | 5 | 1334 | 8989 |
| 1992 | 25089 | 2201 | 1 | 4215 |  | 747 | 5 |  |  |  | 6 | 51 | 7226 |
| 1993 | 15958 | 3132 | 0 | 2249 |  | 2931 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 8316 |
| 1994 | 29916 | 2590 | 0 | 1952 |  | 2249 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 93 | 6885 |
| 1995 | 10372 | 1641 | 0 | 564 |  | 1016 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3221 |
| 1996 | 2601 | 1284 | 0 | 176 |  | 700 | 129 |  |  | 16 |  | 0 | 2305 |
| 1997 | 2933 | 1433 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1457 |
| 1998 | 705 | 456 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 456 |
| 1999 | 353 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 |
| 2000 | 55 | 30 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 36 |
| 2001 | 37 | 56 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 56 |
| 2002 | 33 | 32 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 33 |
| 2003 | 16 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 16 |
| 2004 | 5 | 18 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 23 |
| 2005 | 19 | 16 | 0 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 26 |
| 2006 | 339 | 51 | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 123 |
| 2007 | 345 | 58 | 6 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 125 |
| 2008 | 889 | 219 | 74 | 42 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 401 |
| 2009 | 1161 | 856 | 87 | 85 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 122 | 1172 |
| 2010 | 9192 | 1482 | 374 |  |  | 1183 | 761 |  | 519 |  |  | 85 | 4404 |
| 2011 | n.a. | 2412 | 655 | 1609 | 200 | 2211 | 1063 |  | 1117 |  | 185 | 342 | 9794 |
| 2012 | n.a. | 2663 | 745 | 1597 |  | 2045 | 868 |  | 826 |  | 172 | 87 | 9003 |
| 2013 | n.a. | 4709 | 899 | 2323 |  | 2819 | 1485 |  | 1296 |  |  | 455 | $13985^{2}$ |

[^0]Table 2.- Catch-at-age (thousands)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0 | 0 | 278 | 19303 | 12372 | 6555 | 3083 | 3177 |
| 1973 | 0 | 0 | 2035 | 116 | 11709 | 3470 | 853 | 1085 |
| 1974 | 0 | 0 | 5999 | 11130 | 2232 | 1894 | 271 | 257 |
| 1975 | 0 | 0 | 7090 | 2436 | 1241 | 238 | 281 | 258 |
| 1976 | 0 | 0 | 17564 | 10653 | 386 | 100 | 63 | 5 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 17581 | 8502 | 436 | 267 | 318 |
| 1978 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 3092 | 18077 | 3615 | 329 | 270 |
| 1979 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 2616 | 5599 | 5882 | 316 | 137 |
| 1980 | 0 | 0 | 551 | 500 | 1423 | 1051 | 1318 | 96 |
| 1981 | 0 | 0 | 1732 | 6768 | 161 | 326 | 189 | 539 |
| 1982 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 3040 | 1926 | 310 | 97 | 357 |
| 1983 | 0 | 0 | 2818 | 713 | 765 | 657 | 94 | 131 |
| 1984 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2229 | 966 | 59 | 90 | 146 |
| 1985 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5499 | 3549 | 1232 | 931 | 218 |
| 1986 | 0 | 2549 | 2266 | 4251 | 2943 | 1061 | 169 | 162 |
| 1987 | 814 | 1848 | 3102 | 1915 | 1259 | 846 | 313 | 112 |
| 1988 | 1 | 3500 | 25593 | 11161 | 1399 | 414 | 315 | 162 |
| 1989 | 0 | 52 | 15399 | 23233 | 9373 | 943 | 220 | 205 |
| 1990 | 7 | 254 | 2180 | 15740 | 10824 | 2286 | 378 | 117 |
| 1991 | 1 | 561 | 5196 | 1960 | 3151 | 1688 | 368 | 76 |
| 1992 | 0 | 15517 | 10180 | 4865 | 3399 | 2483 | 1106 | 472 |
| 1993 | 0 | 2657 | 14530 | 3547 | 931 | 284 | 426 | 213 |
| 1994 | 0 | 1219 | 25400 | 8273 | 386 | 185 | 14 | 182 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 6553 | 2750 | 651 | 135 | 232 |
| 1996 | 0 | 81 | 714 | 311 | 1072 | 88 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 810 | 762 | 143 | 286 | 48 | 0 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 170 | 286 | 30 | 19 | 2 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 96 | 60 | 3 | 1 |
| 2000 | 0 | 10 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 2001 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 0 | 22 | 19 | 81 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 27 | 1 | 14 | 5 |
| 2008 | 1 | 89 | 136 | 133 | 3 | 40 | 1 | 3 |
| 2009 | 0 | 23 | 51 | 210 | 108 | 0 | 32 | 7 |
| 2010 | 34 | 452 | 1145 | 1498 | 808 | 388 | 4 | 103 |
| $2011{ }^{1}$ | 0.003 | 0.098 | 0.293 | 0.126 | 0.198 | 0.161 | 0.063 | 0.056 |
| $2012{ }^{1}$ | 0.008 | 0.080 | 0.297 | 0.171 | 0.199 | 0.136 | 0.061 | 0.048 |
| 2013 | 31 | 894 | 5624 | 1236 | 1158 | 640 | 382 | 252 |

[^1]Table 3.- Weight-at-age (kg) in catch

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 |  |  | 0.811 | 0.722 | 0.981 | 1.500 | 1.930 | 2.296 |
| 1973 |  |  | 0.633 | 0.314 | 1.300 | 0.994 | 0.828 | 3.430 |
| 1974 |  |  | 0.657 | 0.805 | 1.769 | 2.829 | 3.983 | 7.701 |
| 1975 |  |  | 0.697 | 1.636 | 1.798 | 2.658 | 3.766 | 6.497 |
| 1976 |  |  | 0.671 | 1.293 | 4.192 | 5.085 | 5.923 | 6.298 |
| 1977 |  |  | 0.314 | 0.845 | 1.400 | 3.433 | 5.156 | 7.722 |
| 1978 |  |  | 0.374 | 0.600 | 1.102 | 1.582 | 2.658 | 6.351 |
| 1979 |  |  | 0.790 | 1.070 | 1.480 | 2.450 | 4.350 | 7.079 |
| 1980 |  |  | 0.859 | 1.137 | 1.747 | 2.466 | 3.167 | 4.676 |
| 1981 |  |  | 0.620 | 1.250 | 1.880 | 2.680 | 3.190 | 4.747 |
| 1982 |  |  | 0.760 | 1.340 | 2.450 | 2.870 | 4.680 | 6.146 |
| 1983 |  |  | 1.330 | 1.140 | 2.240 | 3.530 | 4.760 | 9.163 |
| 1984 |  |  | 0.460 | 1.866 | 3.695 | 3.660 | 6.588 | 6.655 |
| 1985 |  |  | 0.283 | 0.851 | 1.605 | 2.816 | 4.522 | 7.978 |
| 1986 |  | 0.165 | 0.411 | 0.784 | 1.631 | 2.836 | 4.317 | 7.389 |
| 1987 | 0.091 | 0.133 | 0.327 | 1.040 | 1.890 | 2.993 | 4.440 | 7.630 |
| 1988 | 0.058 | 0.198 | 0.442 | 0.821 | 2.190 | 3.386 | 5.274 | 7.969 |
| 1989 |  | 0.209 | 0.576 | 0.918 | 1.434 | 2.293 | 4.721 | 7.648 |
| 1990 | 0.080 | 0.153 | 0.500 | 0.890 | 1.606 | 2.518 | 3.554 | 7.166 |
| 1991 | 0.118 | 0.229 | 0.496 | 0.785 | 1.738 | 2.622 | 3.474 | 6.818 |
| 1992 |  | 0.298 | 0.414 | 0.592 | 1.093 | 1.704 | 2.619 | 3.865 |
| 1993 |  | 0.210 | 0.509 | 0.894 | 1.829 | 2.233 | 3.367 | 4.841 |
| 1994 |  | 0.289 | 0.497 | 0.792 | 1.916 | 2.719 | 2.158 | 4.239 |
| 1995 |  |  | 0.415 | 0.790 | 1.447 | 2.266 | 3.960 | 5.500 |
| 1996 |  | 0.286 | 0.789 | 1.051 | 1.543 | 2.429 |  |  |
| 1997 |  |  | 0.402 | 0.640 | 0.869 | 1.197 | 1.339 |  |
| 1998 |  |  | 0.719 | 1.024 | 1.468 | 1.800 | 2.252 | 3.862 |
| 1999 |  |  | 0.920 | 1.298 | 1.848 | 2.436 | 3.513 | 4.893 |
| 2000 |  | 0.583 | 0.672 | 1.749 | 2.054 | 2.836 | 3.618 |  |
| 2001 |  | 0.481 |  | 1.696 | 2.560 |  | 3.905 | 5.217 |
| 2002 |  | 0.588 | 1.323 | 1.388 | 2.572 | 3.770 | 5.158 | 5.603 |
| 2003 |  | 0.462 | 1.063 | 1.455 | 2.978 | 3.696 | 5.859 | 6.120 |
| 2004 |  | 0.839 | 1.677 | 2.009 | 3.353 | 5.576 | 6.241 | 8.273 |
| 2005 |  | 0.895 | 1.618 | 2.368 | 3.259 | 4.767 | 6.177 | 6.553 |
| 2006 |  | 1.081 | 1.462 | 2.283 | 3.966 | 5.035 | 6.332 |  |
| 2007 |  | 0.974 | 1.858 | 3.388 | 4.062 | 6.128 | 6.809 | 9.440 |
| 2008 | 0.088 | 0.448 | 1.364 | 3.037 | 3.498 | 5.248 | 6.643 | 8.251 |
| 2009 | 0.172 | 0.507 | 1.026 | 2.087 | 3.727 |  | 5.900 | 9.534 |
| 2010 | 0.162 | 0.700 | 1.279 | 1.829 | 2.764 | 4.372 | 4.199 | 8.575 |
| 2011 | 0.086 | 0.396 | 0.939 | 1.523 | 2.224 | 3.558 | 5.979 | 8.677 |
| 2012 | 0.086 | 0.374 | 0.99 | 1.491 | 2.135 | 3.585 | 6.198 | 9.041 |
| 2013 | 0.067 | 0.284 | 0.758 | 1.289 | 2.027 | 2.868 | 4.476 | 8.243 |

Table 4- Canadian bottom trawl survey abundance at age (thousands)

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1978 | 0 | 95 | 4757 | 15531 | 45688 | 12135 | 476 | 570 |
| 1979 | 0 | 4675 | 1067 | 5619 | 5465 | 6676 | 1706 | 405 |
| 1980 | 0 | 1030 | 19475 | 2377 | 2990 | 2737 | 3912 | 224 |
| 1981 | 32 | 0 | 5172 | 15479 | 975 | 2108 | 1041 | 2211 |
| 1982 | 627 | 1781 | 21 | 1663 | 978 | 32 | 150 | 377 |
| 1983 | 293 | 71000 | 7817 | 319 | 2357 | 958 | 45 | 401 |
| 1984 | 43 | 1527 | 15834 | 1897 | 74 | 646 | 427 | 221 |
| 1985 | 39 | 520 | 6212 | 19955 | 774 | 50 | 105 | 196 |

Table 5.- EU bottom trawl survey abundance at age (thousands)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1988 | 4850 | 78920 | 49050 | 13370 | 1450 | 210 | 220 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 22100 | 12100 | 106400 | 63400 | 23800 | 1600 | 200 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 2660 | 14020 | 5920 | 19970 | 18420 | 5090 | 390 | 170 | 90 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 146100 | 29400 | 20600 | 2500 | 7800 | 2100 | 300 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 75480 | 44280 | 6290 | 2540 | 410 | 1500 | 270 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 4600 | 156100 | 35400 | 1300 | 1500 | 200 | 600 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 3340 | 4550 | 31580 | 5760 | 150 | 70 | 10 | 120 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 1640 | 13670 | 1540 | 4490 | 1070 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1996 | 41 | 3580 | 7649 | 1020 | 2766 | 221 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1997 | 42 | 171 | 3931 | 5430 | 442 | 1078 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 27 | 94 | 106 | 1408 | 1763 | 87 | 165 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1999 | 7 | 96 | 128 | 129 | 792 | 491 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 186 | 16 | 343 | 207 | 100 | 467 | 180 | 11 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2001 | 487 | 2048 | 15 | 125 | 81 | 15 | 146 | 101 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 0 | 1340 | 609 | 24 | 68 | 36 | 28 | 96 | 33 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 | 665 | 53 | 610 | 131 | 22 | 47 | 7 | 8 | 37 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | 0 | 3379 | 25 | 602 | 168 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2005 | 8069 | 16 | 1118 | 78 | 708 | 136 |  | 17 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 19710 | 3883 | 62 | 1481 | 86 | 592 | 115 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2007 | 3910 | 11620 | 5020 | 21 | 1138 | 58 | 425 | 74 | 13 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | 6090 | 16670 | 12440 | 4530 | 70 | 940 | 60 | 230 | 80 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2009 | 5139 | 7479 | 16150 | 14310 | 4154 | 26 | 1091 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | 66370 | 27689 | 8654 | 7633 | 4911 | 1780 | 8 | 442 | 46 | 251 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2011 | 347674 | 142999 | 16993 | 6309 | 7739 | 3089 | 1191 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | 103494 | 128087 | 10942 | 11721 | 4967 | 4781 | 1630 | 832 | 24 | 93 | 30 | 101 | 0 | 17 |
| 2013 | 5525 | 67521 | 32339 | 4776 | 4185 | 2782 | 1807 | 963 | 278 | 40 | 29 | 32 | 5 | 0 |

Table 6.- Weight-at-age (kg) in stock

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.81 | 0.72 | 0.98 | 1.50 | 1.93 | 2.30 |
| 1973 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 1.30 | 0.99 | 0.83 | 3.43 |
| 1974 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.81 | 1.77 | 2.83 | 3.98 | 7.70 |
| 1975 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 1.64 | 1.80 | 2.66 | 3.77 | 6.50 |
| 1976 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 1.29 | 4.19 | 5.09 | 5.92 | 6.30 |
| 1977 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.85 | 1.40 | 3.43 | 5.16 | 7.72 |
| 1978 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.60 | 1.10 | 1.58 | 2.66 | 6.35 |
| 1979 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 1.07 | 1.48 | 2.45 | 4.35 | 7.08 |
| 1980 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.86 | 1.14 | 1.75 | 2.47 | 3.17 | 4.68 |
| 1981 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 1.25 | 1.88 | 2.68 | 3.19 | 4.75 |
| 1982 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.76 | 1.34 | 2.45 | 2.87 | 4.68 | 6.15 |
| 1983 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 1.33 | 1.14 | 2.24 | 3.53 | 4.76 | 9.16 |
| 1984 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 1.87 | 3.70 | 3.66 | 6.59 | 6.66 |
| 1985 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 1.61 | 2.82 | 4.52 | 7.98 |
| 1986 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.78 | 1.63 | 2.84 | 4.32 | 7.39 |
| 1987 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 1.04 | 1.89 | 2.99 | 4.44 | 7.63 |
| 1988 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.68 | 1.97 | 3.59 | 5.77 | 6.93 |
| 1989 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 1.04 | 1.60 | 2.51 | 4.27 | 6.93 |
| 1990 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.34 | 0.85 | 1.50 | 2.43 | 4.08 | 5.64 |
| 1991 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.86 | 1.61 | 2.61 | 4.26 | 7.69 |
| 1992 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 1.38 | 1.70 | 2.63 | 3.13 | 6.69 |
| 1993 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.66 | 1.21 | 2.27 | 2.37 | 3.45 | 5.89 |
| 1994 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.59 | 1.32 | 2.26 | 4.03 | 4.03 | 6.72 |
| 1995 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.96 | 1.85 | 3.16 | 5.56 | 8.48 |
| 1996 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.80 | 1.32 | 2.27 | 4.00 | 5.03 |
| 1997 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.64 | 1.00 | 1.31 | 2.10 | 2.00 | 9.57 |
| 1998 | 0.07 | 0.36 | 0.75 | 1.19 | 1.66 | 1.99 | 3.10 | 7.40 |
| 1999 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.92 | 1.30 | 1.85 | 2.44 | 3.51 | 4.89 |
| 2000 | 0.10 | 0.58 | 0.96 | 1.61 | 1.91 | 2.83 | 3.47 | 5.28 |
| 2001 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 1.25 | 1.70 | 2.56 | 3.42 | 3.91 | 5.22 |
| 2002 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 1.12 | 1.43 | 2.47 | 3.59 | 4.86 | 5.31 |
| 2003 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.90 | 1.50 | 2.86 | 3.52 | 5.52 | 5.80 |
| 2004 | 0.07 | 0.6 | 1.42 | 2.07 | 3.22 | 5.31 | 5.88 | 7.84 |
| 2005 | 0.02 | 0.64 | 1.37 | 2.44 | 3.13 | 4.54 |  | 6.21 |
| 2006 | 0.09 | 0.7 | 1.06 | 2.49 | 3.57 | 4.69 | 5.76 | 9.55 |
| 2007 | 0.05 | 0.59 | 1.60 | 3.40 | 4.01 | 5.69 | 6.27 | 8.76 |
| 2008 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 1.34 | 2.69 | 3.19 | 5.02 | 6.32 | 7.94 |
| 2009 | 0.08 | 0.41 | 0.98 | 2.07 | 3.88 | 6.96 | 6.58 | 9.46 |
| 2010 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 1.09 | 1.68 | 2.96 | 5.38 | 7.62 | 9.14 |
| 2011 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.97 | 1.70 | 2.45 | 3.74 | 6.26 | 9.67 |
| 2012 | 0.07 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 1.35 | 1.99 | 2.66 | 4.93 | 7.81 |
| 2013 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 2.00 | 2.75 | 4.21 | 7.61 |

Table 7.- Maturity at age and age of first maturation (median values of ogives)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | a50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1973 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1974 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1975 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1976 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1977 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1978 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.507 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 5.00 |
| 1979 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.154 | 0.813 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 5.54 |
| 1980 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.302 | 0.862 | 0.989 | 1.000 | 5.31 |
| 1981 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.104 | 0.716 | 0.982 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 4.70 |
| 1982 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1984 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1985 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1987 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.146 | 0.809 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 4.55 |
| 1988 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.175 | 0.291 | 0.441 | 0.603 | 0.745 | 0.879 | 5.36 |
| 1989 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.175 | 0.291 | 0.441 | 0.603 | 0.745 | 0.879 | 5.36 |
| 1990 | 0.054 | 0.099 | 0.175 | 0.291 | 0.441 | 0.603 | 0.745 | 0.879 | 5.36 |
| 1991 | 0.018 | 0.045 | 0.111 | 0.247 | 0.463 | 0.687 | 0.849 | 0.951 | 5.16 |
| 1992 | 0.002 | 0.011 | 0.048 | 0.184 | 0.503 | 0.819 | 0.953 | 0.993 | 4.99 |
| 1993 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.049 | 0.282 | 0.751 | 0.959 | 0.994 | 1.000 | 4.46 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.657 | 0.986 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.82 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.803 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.79 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.666 | 0.993 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.84 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.111 | 0.670 | 0.971 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.75 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.096 | 0.874 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.54 |
| 1999 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.130 | 0.902 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.46 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.160 | 0.971 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.34 |
| 2001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.315 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.12 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.636 | 0.997 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.89 |
| 2003 | 0.001 | 0.024 | 0.513 | 0.978 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 2.99 |
| 2004 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 0.967 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.40 |
| 2005 | 0.041 | 0.171 | 0.502 | 0.830 | 0.959 | 0.991 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 3.00 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.365 | 0.959 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.15 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.261 | 0.920 | 0.997 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.31 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.231 | 0.882 | 0.995 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.37 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.181 | 0.830 | 0.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.49 |
| 2010 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.167 | 0.812 | 0.989 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.52 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.072 | 0.428 | 0.878 | 0.986 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 4.13 |
| 2012 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.578 | 0.990 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.93 |
| 2013 | 0.004 | 0.037 | 0.285 | 0.804 | 0.977 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 3.39 |

Table 8.- Posterior results: total biomass, SSB, recruitment (tons) and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$.

|  | B quantiles |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | R quantiles |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 50\% | 5\% | 95\% | 50\% | 5\% | 95\% | 50\% | 5\% | 95\% | 50\% | 5\% | 95 |
| 1972 | 82679 | 78598 | 88552 | 36598 | 33621 | 40121 | 16300 | 13770 | 20211 | 0.709 | 0.668 | 0.739 |
| 1973 | 48894 | 45855 | 53369 | 20162 | 17152 | 23664 | 56310 | 46389 | 72540 | 0.601 | 0.555 | 0.628 |
| 1974 | 52114 | 47664 | 59268 | 14971 | 13202 | 19390 | 111600 | 92069 | 143305 | 1.4 | 1.221 | 1.512 |
| 1975 | 66298 | 59300 | 76188 | 7678 | 6213 | 11490 | 20720 | 16670 | 27530 | 0.701 | 0.581 | 0.782 |
| 1976 | 108071 | 98744 | 121236 | 8539 | 6535 | 12369 | 9190 | 7556 | 11840 | 0.352 | 0.319 | 0.382 |
| 1977 | 82730 | 76675 | 91647 | 20865 | 16895 | 27066 | 2706 | 2125 | 3664 | 0.476 | 0.444 | 0.502 |
| 1978 | 55917 | 52450 | 60789 | 28306 | 23464 | 33683 | 18380 | 15200 | 23491 | 0.484 | 0.446 | 0.512 |
| 1979 | 49217 | 45362 | 55092 | 23977 | 21167 | 28605 | 12290 | 10080 | 15981 | 0.737 | 0.674 | 0.79 |
| 1980 | 30652 | 27658 | 35354 | 11438 | 9733 | 15465 | 6866 | 5373 | 9388 | 0.577 | 0.524 | 0.616 |
| 1981 | 33530 | 29191 | 39822 | 13081 | 9298 | 18870 | 18920 | 15530 | 24620 | 0.519 | 0.483 | 0.551 |
| 1982 | 29550 | 26958 | 33496 | 13131 | 11630 | 15521 | 18680 | 15210 | 24440 | 0.623 | 0.573 | 0.663 |
| 1983 | 39372 | 35536 | 44755 | 11992 | 10430 | 14398 | 11790 | 9622 | 15290 | 0.29 | 0.258 | 0.317 |
| 1984 | 45151 | 41386 | 50586 | 19317 | 16962 | 22380 | 13330 | 10860 | 17360 | 0.244 | 0.222 | 0.26 |
| 1985 | 38122 | 35708 | 41783 | 20681 | 19076 | 22565 | 53050 | 43870 | 68131 | 0.595 | 0.54 | 0.63 |
| 1986 | 40030 | 36341 | 45533 | 15417 | 13838 | 18053 | 109400 | 92599 | 136000 | 0.771 | 0.708 | 0.821 |
| 1987 | 52733 | 47718 | 60215 | 12492 | 11110 | 15118 | 69180 | 58850 | 85270 | 0.454 | 0.406 | 0.491 |
| 1988 | 64499 | 59890 | 70969 | 19125 | 15310 | 23974 | 14345 | 11900 | 18250 | 0.515 | 0.473 | 0.549 |
| 1989 | 104523 | 986 | 112845 | 33537 | 27409 | 41015 | 19200 | 16390 | 23590 | 0.871 | 0.816 | 0.912 |
| 1990 | 64181 | 60679 | 68948 | 25320 | 21710 | 29433 | 24260 | 21000 | 29261 | 0.908 | 0.852 | 0.951 |
| 1991 | 43930 | 40994 | 48294 | 17615 | 14974 | 21190 | 61430 | 54120 | 72492 | 0.499 | 0.467 | 0.526 |
| 1992 | 57821 | 54850 | 62023 | 20835 | 18400 | 23621 | 55660 | 48590 | 66351 | 1.554 | 1.481 | 1.613 |
| 1993 | 45782 | 42885 | 49780 | 10452 | 8803 | 12727 | 2999 | 2629 | 3595 | 1.035 | 0.968 | 1.089 |
| 1994 | 49403 | 46278 | 54116 | 21335 | 18587 | 25926 | 4141 | 3182 | 5904 | 0.956 | 0.911 | 0.993 |
| 1995 | 22452 | 21275 | 24254 | 19211 | 18063 | 20828 | 2175 | 1817 | 2773 | 1.413 | 1.271 | 1.515 |
| 1996 | 5715 | 5111 | 6680 | 3470 | 3083 | 4087 | 130 | 87 | 206 | 0.668 | 0.561 | 0.763 |
| 1997 | 4822 | 4121 | 5954 | 3250 | 2693 | 4163 | 126 | 83 | 205 | 0.748 | 0.6 | 0.893 |
| 1998 | 3488 | 2577 | 4960 | 3279 | 2379 | 4730 | 194 | 140 | 288 | 0.308 | 0.227 | 0.416 |
| 1999 | 2479 | 06 | 3870 | 337 | 1573 | 702 | 32 | 24 | 48 | 0.289 | 0.218 | 0.378 |
| 2000 | 2286 | 1433 | 3821 | 2128 | 1279 | 3644 | 313 | 193 | 521 | 0.191 | 0.132 | 0.272 |
| 2001 | 1938 | 1397 | 2792 | 1748 | 1207 | 2589 | 554 | 351 | 894 | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.051 |
| 2002 | 2264 | 09 | 3103 | 70 | 1424 | 2795 | 66 | 42 | 108 | 0.014 | 0.007 | 0.031 |
| 2003 | 2530 | 1983 | 3327 | 2262 | 1727 | 3040 | 1188 | 772 | 1853 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.018 |
| 2004 | 4076 | 3326 | 5119 | 3357 | 2666 | 4348 | 80 | 59 | 115 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.005 |
| 2005 | 4459 | 3689 | 5412 | 3677 | 3011 | 4528 | 3622 | 2500 | 5646 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.011 |
| 2006 | 6960 | 5655 | 8795 | 4000 | 3158 | 5037 | 7484 | 5339 | 11180 | 0.214 | 0.164 | 0.272 |
| 2007 | 12976 | 10530 | 16582 | 5687 | 4334 | 7434 | 10030 | 7537 | 14370 | 0.03 | 0.023 | 0.04 |
| 2008 | 20339 | 16611 | 25599 | 10054 | 8118 | 12798 | 8382 | 6402 | 11851 | 0.073 | 0.056 | 0.095 |
| 2009 | 30775 | 25789 | 37517 | 19240 | 15848 | 24013 | 11010 | 7935 | 16990 | 0.043 | 0.034 | 0.052 |
| 2010 | 44888 | 38625 | 53171 | 32699 | 27570 | 39375 | 12885 | 8151 | 22190 | 0.268 | 0.222 | 0.317 |
| 2011 | 49289 | 41110 | 60239 | 32506 | 26240 | 41465 | 35000 | 20700 | 64374 | 0.308 | 0.228 | 0.405 |
| 2012 | 52339 | 40864 | 69256 | 28681 | 21364 | 41061 | 28950 | 13070 | 61601 | 0.322 | 0.227 | 0.439 |
| 2013 | 52533 | 39458 | 72319 | 32719 | 24409 | 45110 | 9619 | 3592 | 27245 | 0.346 | 0.229 | 0.543 |
| 2014 |  |  |  | 46878 | 33352 | 67375 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9.- F at age (posterior median)

| Year | $F$ at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| 1972 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.068 | 0.759 | 1.302 | 1.907 | 3.305 | 3.305 |
| 1973 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.122 | 0.035 | 1.649 | 2.154 | 2.091 | 2.091 |
| 1974 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.787 | 1.792 | 1.654 | 1.614 | 1.196 | 1.196 |
| 1975 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.206 | 0.842 | 1.070 | 0.751 | 1.208 | 1.208 |
| 1976 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.264 | 0.513 | 0.282 | 0.200 | 0.425 | 0.425 |
| 1977 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.437 | 0.983 | 0.567 | 1.191 | 1.191 |
| 1978 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 | 0.301 | 1.082 | 1.794 | 1.121 | 1.121 |
| 1979 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.096 | 0.752 | 1.365 | 1.378 | 0.720 | 0.720 |
| 1980 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.045 | 0.435 | 1.253 | 1.026 | 1.512 | 1.512 |
| 1981 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.234 | 1.096 | 0.230 | 1.122 | 0.473 | 0.473 |
| 1982 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.776 | 1.090 | 0.876 | 1.277 | 1.277 |
| 1983 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.248 | 0.199 | 0.424 | 1.568 | 0.691 | 0.691 |
| 1984 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.302 | 0.430 | 0.049 | 0.943 | 0.943 |
| 1985 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.711 | 1.076 | 1.644 | 2.704 | 2.704 |
| 1986 | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.290 | 0.982 | 1.050 | 1.142 | 1.116 | 1.116 |
| 1987 | 0.013 | 0.022 | 0.096 | 0.405 | 0.867 | 0.989 | 1.335 | 1.335 |
| 1988 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 0.435 | 0.556 | 0.558 | 0.762 | 1.343 | 1.343 |
| 1989 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.440 | 0.865 | 1.311 | 0.898 | 1.249 | 1.249 |
| 1990 | 0.000 | 0.017 | 0.256 | 1.083 | 1.385 | 1.505 | 1.156 | 1.156 |
| 1991 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.522 | 0.366 | 0.612 | 0.793 | 1.087 | 1.087 |
| 1992 | 0.000 | 0.384 | 1.018 | 1.387 | 2.264 | 1.528 | 2.685 | 2.685 |
| 1993 | 0.000 | 0.062 | 0.720 | 1.273 | 1.118 | 1.869 | 1.296 | 1.296 |
| 1994 | 0.000 | 0.722 | 1.265 | 1.209 | 0.396 | 0.656 | 0.382 | 0.382 |
| 1995 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.313 | 1.461 | 2.479 | 3.279 | 1.580 | 1.580 |
| 1996 | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.297 | 0.709 | 1.014 | 0.529 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1997 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.864 | 0.565 | 0.813 | 0.798 | 0.589 | 0.589 |
| 1998 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.096 | 0.412 | 0.407 | 0.369 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 1999 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.194 | 0.249 | 0.411 | 0.132 | 0.054 | 0.054 |
| 2000 | 0.000 | 0.495 | 0.532 | 0.017 | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2001 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.041 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 2002 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 2003 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 2004 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 2005 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 2006 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.442 | 0.127 | 0.068 | 0.047 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
| 2007 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.022 | 0.054 | 0.050 | 0.079 | 0.079 |
| 2008 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.027 | 0.068 | 0.124 | 0.102 | 0.063 | 0.063 |
| 2009 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.052 | 0.069 | 0.000 | 0.105 | 0.105 |
| 2010 | 0.003 | 0.054 | 0.227 | 0.307 | 0.275 | 0.358 | 0.277 | 0.277 |
| 2011 | 0.001 | 0.058 | 0.279 | 0.218 | 0.426 | 0.642 | 0.690 | 0.690 |
| 2012 | 0.002 | 0.015 | 0.205 | 0.217 | 0.532 | 0.510 | 0.507 | 0.507 |
| 2013 | 0.003 | 0.040 | 0.278 | 0.246 | 0.471 | 0.673 | 0.480 | 0.480 |

Table 10.- N at age (posterior median), with the total number and number of matures (posterior median) by year.

| Year | N at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ | Total | Matures |
| 1972 | 16300 | 22360 | 4585 | 39245 | 18390 | 8355 | 3473 | 3437 | 116145 | 24928 |
| 1973 | 56310 | 13930 | 19130 | 3652 | 15700 | 4262 | 1054 | 1304 | 115342 | 14741 |
| 1974 | 111600 | 48160 | 11910 | 14470 | 2996 | 2567 | 420 | 392 | 192515 | 5096 |
| 1975 | 20720 | 95515 | 41200 | 4634 | 2051 | 488 | 434 | 392 | 165434 | 2506 |
| 1976 | 9190 | 17735 | 81755 | 28690 | 1701 | 598 | 196 | 15 | 139880 | 1899 |
| 1977 | 2706 | 7862 | 15170 | 53670 | 14700 | 1092 | 416 | 487 | 96103 | 9892 |
| 1978 | 18380 | 2312 | 6721 | 12860 | 29630 | 4694 | 527 | 426 | 75550 | 20838 |
| 1979 | 12290 | 15720 | 1979 | 5353 | 8136 | 8542 | 666 | 286 | 52972 | 9262 |
| 1980 | 6866 | 10510 | 13440 | 1536 | 2157 | 1774 | 1828 | 130 | 38241 | 4269 |
| 1981 | 18920 | 5872 | 8992 | 10990 | 849 | 524 | 542 | 1533 | 48222 | 4457 |
| 1982 | 18680 | 16190 | 5024 | 6087 | 3142 | 576 | 145 | 526 | 50370 | 4774 |
| 1983 | 11790 | 15980 | 13860 | 4273 | 2395 | 900 | 204 | 281 | 49683 | 4089 |
| 1984 | 13330 | 10090 | 13670 | 9244 | 2992 | 1336 | 160 | 255 | 51077 | 5665 |
| 1985 | 53050 | 11400 | 8627 | 11690 | 5838 | 1657 | 1082 | 245 | 93589 | 9524 |
| 1986 | 109400 | 45370 | 9741 | 7356 | 4906 | 1692 | 272 | 256 | 178993 | 7433 |
| 1987 | 69180 | 93610 | 36450 | 6230 | 2352 | 1461 | 460 | 162 | 209905 | 5281 |
| 1988 | 14345 | 58425 | 78370 | 28320 | 3545 | 842 | 463 | 234 | 184544 | 31366 |
| 1989 | 19200 | 12270 | 46735 | 43380 | 13890 | 1725 | 334 | 306 | 137840 | 30964 |
| 1990 | 24260 | 16425 | 10440 | 25740 | 15620 | 3187 | 598 | 182 | 96452 | 21774 |
| 1991 | 61430 | 20750 | 13820 | 6916 | 7451 | 3339 | 601 | 122 | 114429 | 11858 |
| 1992 | 55660 | 52560 | 17230 | 7014 | 4104 | 3441 | 1286 | 531 | 141826 | 9578 |
| 1993 | 2999 | 47605 | 30610 | 5328 | 1498 | 364 | 636 | 312 | 89352 | 5810 |
| 1994 | 4141 | 2564 | 38270 | 12750 | 1276 | 417 | 48 | 616 | 60082 | 12756 |
| 1995 | 2175 | 3533 | 1063 | 9237 | 3252 | 733 | 184 | 310 | 20487 | 11942 |
| 1996 | 130 | 1858 | 3013 | 663 | 1825 | 232 | 24 | 1 | 7746 | 2636 |
| 1997 | 126 | 111 | 1513 | 1911 | 278 | 563 | 116 | 1 | 4619 | 2454 |
| 1998 | 194 | 108 | 95 | 545 | 927 | 105 | 216 | 23 | 2213 | 1810 |
| 1999 | 32 | 166 | 92 | 74 | 308 | 527 | 62 | 21 | 1282 | 1028 |
| 2000 | 313 | 28 | 142 | 65 | 49 | 174 | 394 | 1 | 1166 | 734 |
| 2001 | 554 | 267 | 14 | 71 | 55 | 41 | 146 | 145 | 1293 | 468 |
| 2002 | 66 | 474 | 220 | 12 | 57 | 45 | 35 | 246 | 1155 | 552 |
| 2003 | 1188 | 57 | 403 | 184 | 10 | 48 | 38 | 238 | 2166 | 738 |
| 2004 | 80 | 1012 | 48 | 340 | 155 | 9 | 41 | 235 | 1920 | 789 |
| 2005 | 3622 | 68 | 867 | 41 | 289 | 132 | 7 | 236 | 5262 | 1322 |
| 2006 | 7484 | 3092 | 58 | 736 | 35 | 245 | 112 | 21 | 11783 | 1204 |
| 2007 | 10030 | 6387 | 2611 | 32 | 554 | 28 | 200 | 66 | 19908 | 1737 |
| 2008 | 8382 | 8570 | 5450 | 2200 | 27 | 446 | 22 | 61 | 25158 | 3924 |
| 2009 | 11010 | 7151 | 7237 | 4504 | 1756 | 20 | 344 | 78 | 32100 | 7434 |
| 2010 | 12885 | 9396 | 6084 | 6136 | 3648 | 1397 | 17 | 461 | 40024 | 11816 |
| 2011 | 35000 | 10990 | 7600 | 4145 | 3838 | 2360 | 831 | 618 | 65382 | 9862 |
| 2012 | 28950 | 29890 | 8843 | 4872 | 2838 | 2128 | 1052 | 963 | 79536 | 10344 |
| 2013 | 9619 | 24780 | 25130 | 6128 | 3339 | 1412 | 1084 | 710 | 72202 | 20456 |
| $2014{ }^{1}$ |  | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 52086 | 28206 |

${ }^{1}$ Results without recruitment data

Table 11.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.090$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total | Matures |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 | 28349 |
| 2015 | 103538 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 202258 | 33294 |
| 2016 | 117190 | 88135 | 52790 | 5310 | 11004 | 8391 | 1647 | 1466 | 285933 | 48990 |

Table 12.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{F}_{0.1}=0.090$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P ( S S B < \mathbf { B } _ { \text { lim } } )}$ |  | Yield quantiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 51148 | 85726 | 141169 | 33526 | 58334 | 96126 | 0.0000 | 3717 | 7091 | 13216 |
| 2016 | 80488 | 140565 | 242288 | 50201 | 84280 | 140612 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 13.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{F}_{\max }=0.145$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 |
| 2015 | 100065 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 198785 |
| 2016 | 117889 | 85312 | 52366 | 5080 | 10560 | 7760 | 1462 | 1357 | 281786 |

Table 14.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=\mathrm{F}_{\max }=0.145$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | P(SSB<B $\mathbf{B}_{\lim }$ ) |  | Yield quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 51007 | 85528 | 141921 | 33538 | 58341 | 96142 | 0.0000 | 5804 | 10838 | 19894 |
| 2016 | 75911 | 134970 | 233068 | 47116 | 79646 | 133162 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 15.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=2 / 3 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.097$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total | Matures |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 | 28349 |
| 2015 | 103011 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 201731 | 33348 |
| 2016 | 115496 | 87444 | 52767 | 5286 | 10967 | 8331 | 1626 | 1454 | 283371 | 48808 |

Table 16.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=2 / 3 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.097$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P ( S S B < \mathbf { B } _ { \mathbf { l i m } } )}$ |  | Yield quantiles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 51600 | 85659 | 140511 | 33564 | 58355 | 96133 | 0.0000 | 3984 | 7463 | 13901 |
| 2016 | 79919 | 139414 | 241557 | 49720 | 83828 | 140158 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 17.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=3 / 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.109$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total | Matures |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 | 28349 |
| 2015 | 100438 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 199158 | 33352 |
| 2016 | 113155 | 86181 | 52610 | 5227 | 10846 | 8171 | 1583 | 1430 | 279203 | 48381 |

Table 18.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=3 / 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.109$.

| Total Biomass quantiles |  |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\left.\mathbf{P ( S S B}<\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\right)$ |  |  | F quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 51451 | 85707 | 141013 | 33554 | 58302 | 96130 | 0.0000 | 4449 | 8327 | 15461 |  |  |
| 2016 | 79064 | 138195 | 238799 | 49331 | 82737 | 138519 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.85 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.123$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total | Matures |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 | 28349 |
| 2015 | 100812 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 199532 | 33360 |
| 2016 | 119828 | 85805 | 52505 | 5171 | 10735 | 8007 | 1534 | 1400 | 284985 | 47900 |

Table 20.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.85 \mathrm{~F}_{\max }=0.123$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{S S B}<\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\right)$ |  | Yield quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 50976 | 85605 | 140451 | 33567 | 58341 | 96114 | 0.0000 | 4999 | 9351 | 17275 |
| 2016 | 77772 | 136555 | 239130 | 48233 | 81562 | 136327 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 21.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}=0.75 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.259$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 |
| 2015 | 102372 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 201092 |
| 2016 | 116421 | 86878 | 51581 | 4598 | 9634 | 6554 | 1152 | 1143 | 277961 |

Table 22.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=0.75 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.259$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{S S B}<\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}\right)$ | Yield quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 5\% | 50\% | 95\% | 5\% | 50\% | 95\% |  | 5\% | 50\% | 95\% |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 50963 | 85988 | 141194 | 33526 | 58346 | 96068 | 0.0000 | 12494 | 17926 | 27715 |
| 2016 | 68617 | 125904 | 226920 | 39178 | 70884 | 121773 | 0.0000 |  |  |  |

Table 23.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.346$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 |
| 2015 | 99671 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 198391 |
| 2016 | 120276 | 84747 | 50952 | 4280 | 9061 | 5823 | 966 | 1010 | 277115 |

Table 24.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.346$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P ( S S B < \mathbf { B } _ { \mathbf { l i m } } )}$ |  | Yield quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |
| 2015 | 51451 | 85545 | 140120 | 33538 | 58375 | 96144 | 0.0000 | 15768 | 22605 | 34554 |
| 2016 | 64236 | 119001 | 216119 | 35038 | 65093 | 113266 | 0.0004 |  |  |  |

Table 25.- N -at-age in prediction years (medians) with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=1.25 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.432$ including total number and number of matures.

| Year/Age | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8+$ | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 73478 | 8193 | 20292 | 16155 | 4103 | 1778 | 616 | 949 | 125564 |
| 2015 | 100257 | 62610 | 6740 | 13871 | 11298 | 2352 | 882 | 967 | 198977 |
| 2016 | 117361 | 85062 | 50484 | 3974 | 8501 | 5153 | 808 | 892 | 272235 |

Table 26.- Projections results with $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}=1.25 \mathrm{~F}_{\text {statusquo }}=0.432$.

|  | Total Biomass quantiles |  |  | SSB quantiles |  |  | $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{S S B}<\mathbf{B}_{\text {lim }}\right)$ |  |  | F quantiles |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  | $5 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |
| 2014 | 45089 | 66953 | 100551 | 29651 | 44869 | 67628 | 0.0000 |  | 14521 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 51073 | 85533 | 139749 | 33525 | 58327 | 96233 | 0.0000 | 18611 | 26799 | 40670 |  |  |
| 2016 | 59161 | 113669 | 207151 | 31681 | 60010 | 106017 | 0.0006 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Cod 3M: yearly catches and TAC



Figure 1.- Catch and TAC of the 3M cod for the period 1959-2013.


Figure 2.- Length frequencies in commercial catches and EU survey in 2013.


Figure 3.- Commercial catch proportions at age.


Figure 4.- Commercial catch standardised proportions at age. Grey and black values indicate values above and below the average. The larger the bubble size the larger the magnitude of the value.


Figure 5.- Commercial catch standardised proportions at age for the last cohort (2006-2013). Grey and black values indicate values above and below the average. The larger the bubble size the larger the magnitude of the value.

## Length-Weight relationships



Figure 6.- Length-weight relationships for commercial catches and EU survey in 2013.


Figure 7.- Catch mean weight at age.

Survey Indices: Canadian (1978-1985, Engel) and EU (1988-2013, Lofoten)


Figure 8.- Biomass and abundance from Canadian and EU surveys.


Figure 9.- Standardised $\log (1+$ Abundance at age $)$ indices from Canadian survey. Grey and black values indicate values above and below the average. The larger the bubble size the larger the magnitude of the value.


Figure 10.- Standardised $\log (1+$ Abundance at age) indices from EU survey. Grey and black values indicate values above and below the average. The larger the bubble size the larger the magnitude of the value.


Figure 11.- Stock mean weight at age


Figure 12.- Age at which $50 \%$ of fish are mature.
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Figure 13.- Estimated trends in biomass, SSB, recruitment and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$. The solid lines are the posterior medians and the dashed lines show the limits of $90 \%$ posterior credible intervals. Red horizontal line in the SSB graph represents $\mathrm{B}_{\lim }=14000$ tons.

Biomass and Abundance


Figure 14.- Estimated trends in biomass and abundance.


Figure 15.- Estimated fishing mortality at age. The $y$-axis scale is different in all the graphs


Figure 16.- Estimated $\mathrm{PR}\left(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}\right)$ per age and year

PR for years 2010-2013


Figure 17.- Estimated $\mathrm{PR}\left(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{bar}}\right)$ per age for the last four years.

Mean PR over 2011-2013 versus PR 2013


Figure 18.- Mean of 2011-2013 PR versus 2013 PR (posterior medians). Bold line is the mean of the last three years PR.


Figure 19.- Estimated numbers at age. The y-axis scale is different in all the graphs.


Figure 20.- Survivors at age at the end of 2012 (survivors $(2012, a)$ are the number of individuals of age $a+1$ at the beginning of 2013 ). The $y$-axis scale is different in all the graphs.
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Figure 21.- Survivors from age 7 in each year (survivors ( $y, 7$ ) are the individuals of age 8 at the beginning of year $y+1$ ). The $y$-axis scale is different in all the graphs.


Figure 21 (cont.).- Survivors from age 7 in each year (survivors ( $y, 7$ ) are the individuals of age 8 at the beginning of year $y+1$ ). The $y$-axis scale is different in all the graphs.



Figure 22.- Estimated total catch in 2011 and 2012


Figure 23.- Estimated natural mortality.


Figure 24.- Standardised residuals (observed minus fitted value) in logarithmic scale of survey abundance indices at age: Canadian and EU surveys. Grey and black values indicate values above and below the average. The larger the bubble size the larger the magnitude of the value. The red square indicates a bubble with a value near 2 (in absolute values).
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Figure 25.- Standardised residuals (observed minus fitted value) in logarithmic scale of survey abundance indices at age for EU survey by age.


Figure 26.- Stock-Recruitment plots. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=14000$ is shown as the red vertical line.


Figure 27.- $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$ versus SSB plots. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lim}}=14000$ is shown as the red vertical line.

## Yield per Recruit



Figure 28.- Bayesian Yield per Recruit versus $\mathrm{F}_{\text {bar }}$. The values of $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2013}$ are indicated


Figure 29.- Retrospective patterns.


Figure 30.- Estimated recruits (age 1) per spawner.


Figure 31.- Numbers at ages 2 to 8 in 2014 from the assessment of 2013 and 2014.


Figure 32.- Projections for SSB, number of matures, total Biomass and Yield with different scenarios.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recalculated from NAFO Statistical data base using the NAFO 21A Extraction Tool
    ${ }^{2}$ Daily Catch Report from the NAFO Secretariat

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ As there is no total catch available, the proportion of number per age is given

